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## 1 Introduction

Scattering amplitudes in string theories are derived from moduli-space integrals over punctured worldsheets of various genera. The integrands are correlation functions in the conformal field theory on the worldsheet of vertex operators encoding the scattering data. Simplified representations of these integrated correlators became increasingly important, not only for the
sake of computational efficiency but primarily to unravel elegant structures and relations of string amplitudes and their field-theory limit. It proved particularly rewarding to decompose these correlators into basis functions of the moduli using integration by parts in the punctures along with algebraic manipulations of the integrand.

In $n$-point tree-level amplitudes of various string theories, the basis of functions can be spanned by so-called Parke-Taylor factors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{PT}(1,2, \ldots, n):=\frac{1}{z_{1,2} z_{2,3} \ldots z_{n-1, n} z_{n, 1}}, \quad z_{i, j}=z_{i}-z_{j} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

depending on $n$ punctures $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$ on a sphere or disk. Permutations of (1.1) in the external-state labels $1,2, \ldots, n$ are related by integration-by-parts relations and, as firstly derived by Aomoto [1], fall into ( $n-3$ )!-dimensional bases. The underlying integration-by-parts relations at genus zero are driven by the universal Koba-Nielsen factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\text {tree }}=\prod_{1 \leq i<j}^{n}\left|z_{i, j}\right|^{\alpha^{\prime} k_{i} \cdot k_{j}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which accompanies (1.1) and depends on the inverse string tension $\alpha^{\prime}$ as well as the external momenta $k_{i}$. Moreover, the Koba-Nielsen factor aligns genus-zero string integrals into the setup of twisted (co)homologies, see $[2-4]$ and $[5-8]$ for references in the physics and mathematics literature.

The integration-by-parts relations among permutations of $\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\text {tree }} \mathrm{PT}(1,2, \ldots, n)$ and related building blocks of genus-zero correlators have profound implications for field theory, string theory and mathematics, see [9] for a review: first, they determine the Kleiss-Kuijf [10] and Bern-Carrasco-Johansson [11] relations among gauge-theory amplitudes [12, 13] and reduce Einstein-Yang-Mills tree amplitudes to gauge-theory ones [14]. Second, ( $n-3$ )!-term representations of genus-zero correlators reveal field-theory double-copy structures in openand closed-string tree amplitudes [12, 15-17] that resemble the Kawai-Lewellen-Tye relations between gravity amplitudes and squares of gauge-theory ones [18]. Third, in deriving the $\alpha^{\prime}$-expansion of string tree-level amplitudes from the Drinfeld associator [19], the constituting braid matrices [4, 20] are obtained from integration-by-parts manipulations of genus-zero correlators with an additional unintegrated puncture.

The variety of tree-level insights resulting from Parke-Taylor bases motivate the quest for analogous integration-by-parts bases for loop-level correlators. In one-loop string amplitudes, the correlators on a genus-one surface such as the torus or the cylinder can be expressed in terms of Jacobi theta functions. In particular, genus-one correlators involve a ubiquitous uplift of the Koba-Nielsen factor (1.2) including $\left|\theta_{1}\left(z_{i, j}, \tau\right)\right|^{\alpha^{\prime} k_{i} \cdot k_{j}}$ in the place of $\left|z_{i, j}\right|^{\alpha^{\prime} k_{i} \cdot k_{j}}$, where $\theta_{1}$ is the odd Jacobi theta function depending on the modular parameter $\tau$ of the surface. The main focus of this work lies on the leftover functions of $z_{j}, \tau$ that accompany the one-loop Koba-Nielsen factor. These functions may be viewed as one-loop analogues of the Parke-Taylor factors (1.1) and will be systematically reduced to conjectural bases under integration by parts and so-called Fay relations among the theta functions. The entirety of Fay and integration-by-parts relations will be referred to as F-IBP.

Genus-one correlators for massless bosonic, heterotic and supersymmetric string amplitudes can be expressed via coefficients $f^{(w)}\left(z_{i, j}, \tau\right)$ of the Kronecker-Eisenstein series
with modular weights $w \geq 0$ [21-23]. While specific string amplitudes impose multiplicitydependent upper bounds on the weight $w$ of $f^{(w)}$, a recent proposal for F-IBP bases at genus one [24-26] is built at the level of generating series, i.e. products of Kronecker-Eisenstein series. The necessity of generating series is plausible by the fact that $\tau$-derivatives effectively add two units of modular weight, so any bounded collection of $f^{(w)}\left(z_{i, j}, \tau\right)$ cannot close under moduli derivatives.

More specifically, the conjectural genus-one bases of [24-26] are built from chains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega\left(z_{1,2}, \eta_{2}+\eta_{3}+\ldots+\eta_{n}, \tau\right) \Omega\left(z_{2,3}, \eta_{3}+\ldots+\eta_{n}, \tau\right) \ldots \Omega\left(z_{n-1, n}, \eta_{n}, \tau\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

of Kronecker-Eisenstein series $\Omega(z, \eta, \tau)=\sum_{w=0}^{\infty} \eta^{w-1} f^{(w)}(z, \tau)$, whose permutations in the labels $j=2,3, \ldots, n$ of $z_{j}, \eta_{j}$ yield $(n-1)$ !-component vectors. By isolating different orders in the Laurent expansion w.r.t. the bookkeeping variables $\eta_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$, one recovers the combinations of $f^{(w)}\left(z_{i, j}, \tau\right)$ in the integrands of string amplitudes. In particular, correlators in different string theories reside at different orders in $\eta_{j}$, e.g. integrands for bosonic string amplitudes are typically found at four subleading orders in $\eta_{j}$ as compared to their supersymmetric counterparts.

Under $\tau$-derivatives, the vector of Koba-Nielsen integrals over chains (1.3) obeys a first-order differential equation of KZB type. The homogeneity of this equation not only substantiates the claim that the ( $n-1$ )!-vectors of chains yield a Koba-Nielsen integral basis but also leads to powerful techniques to extract the $\alpha^{\prime}$-expansions of configuration-space integrals at genus one: based on the solution of the respective KZB-type equations via Picard iteration, the elliptic multiple zeta values in the expansion of open-string integrals [22, 27] are determined in terms of iterated integrals over holomorphic Eisenstein series [24, 25]. ${ }^{1}$ In the closed-string case, similar first-order equations [26] and their perturbative solution [30] clarified the relation between modular graph forms $[31,32]$ and iterated Eisenstein integrals. This in turn paved the way for identifying modular graph forms [33] with Brown's equivariant iterated Eisenstein integrals [34, 35]. In view of these mathematical developments, it is a burning question if the closure of the chains (1.3) under $\partial_{\tau}$ is merely a coincidence or really identifies an F-IBP basis at genus one.

Instead of attempting a mathematically rigorous proof, we gather further evidence for (1.3) to form a basis by decomposing cyclic products and more general arrangements of Kronecker-Eisenstein series into the chain form. In this way, we not only add further credence to the conjectural basis but also arrive at practical tools to

- simplify the genus-one correlators in heterotic- and bosonic-string amplitudes (say converting their cycles of $f^{(w)}\left(z_{i, j}, \tau\right)$ into chains) and set the stage to investigate physical interpretations of the basis coefficients,
- reduce the $\alpha^{\prime}$-expansions of genus-one integrals with Kronecker-Eisenstein integrands beyond the chain form to the all-order results of $[24,25,30]$ and thereby facilitate the computation of low-energy effective couplings in heterotic and bosonic string theories.

The explicit basis decompositions in this work via F-IBP relations generalize the tree-level computations in [14, 36-38] and should be useful in placing the ( $n-1$ )!-bases on rigorous

[^0]footing. It would be exciting if the expansion coefficients in our basis decompositions can be interpreted as suitable generalizations of intersection numbers which need to accommodate differential operators in the bookkeeping variables $\eta_{j}[24,25,30]$.

While the original motivation for this work arises from conventional string theories with infinite spectra, our results can be exported to both ambitwistor strings [39, 40] and chiral strings [41, 42]: as for instance discussed in [37, 38, 43-45], the integration-by-parts manipulations of moduli-space integrands can be smoothly translated between these types of string theories (possibly involving a formal $\alpha^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$ limit). It is also conceivable that our results shed further light on massive loop amplitudes in conventional and chiral string theories as done at tree level in [46] based on Parke-Taylor decompositions.

The computation of closed-string loop amplitudes greatly simplifies in the framework of chiral splitting [47, 48]: the introduction of loop momenta reduces closed-string correlators to double copies of meromorphic open-string building blocks known as chiral amplitudes. However, F-IBP simplifications of chiral amplitudes are more subtle than those of the manifestly doubly-periodic $f^{(w)}\left(z_{i, j}, \tau\right)$-integrands that arise after loop integration. Certain total derivatives in the punctures of chiral amplitudes may integrate to non-vanishing boundary terms in a closed-string context. We will discuss the role of these boundary terms in the quest for a chiral-splitting analogue of the $(n-1)$ ! genus-one bases of $f^{(w)}\left(z_{i, j}, \tau\right)$-integrands.

A Mathematica implementation of our results as well as chain decompositions of more general classes of genus-one string integrands can be found in a companion paper [49].

Outline. The present work is organized as follows: the conjectural chain bases of genus-one integrals and their building blocks are reviewed in section 2 . We then reduce a single cycle of Kronecker-Eisenstein series to combinations of chains, with a detailed discussion of the two-point case in section 3 and the $n$-point generalization in section 4 . In section 5 , the results and techniques are reformulated in the framework of chiral splitting, with a discussion of boundary terms beyond an $(n-1)$ ! basis. Section 6 is dedicated to basis decompositions for products of two or three cycles of Kronecker-Eisenstein series. We present our conclusions and provide an outlook in section 7. Additional examples relevant for applications to specific one-loop heterotic-string amplitudes can be found in appendix A, and intermediate steps for the reduction of double cycles are given in appendix B. Moreover, the reader is referred to section 2.3.5 for a more detailed outline of this work.

## 2 Review, notation and conventions

### 2.1 Basic definitions

One-loop string amplitudes are computed from moduli-space integrals over correlation functions of certain worldsheet fields that carry the external-state information. All the dependence of these genus-one correlators on the punctures $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and modular parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Im} \tau>0$ can be deduced from the Kronecker-Eisenstein series [50]

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z, \eta, \tau):=\frac{\theta_{1}^{\prime}(0, \tau) \theta_{1}(z+\eta, \tau)}{\theta_{1}(z, \tau) \theta_{1}(\eta, \tau)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the standard odd Jacobi theta function with $q:=\exp (2 \pi i \tau)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}(z, \tau):=2 q^{1 / 8} \sin (\pi z) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)\left(1-q^{n} e^{2 \pi i z}\right)\left(1-q^{n} e^{-2 \pi i z}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on a non-holomorphic admixture in the exponent of [51]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(z, \eta, \tau):=\exp \left(2 \pi i \eta \frac{\operatorname{Im} z}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}\right) F(z, \eta, \tau) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we attain a doubly-periodic completion of the meromorphic Kronecker-Eisenstein series (2.1) subject to $\Omega(z, \eta, \tau)=\Omega(z+1, \eta, \tau)=\Omega(z+\tau, \eta, \tau)$. Laurent expansions in the bookkeeping variables $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ define Kronecker-Eisenstein coefficients $g^{(w)}$, $f^{(w)}$ with $w \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z, \eta, \tau)=: \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} \eta^{w-1} g^{(w)}(z, \tau) \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega(z, \eta, \tau)=: \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} \eta^{w-1} f^{(w)}(z, \tau) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for instance $g^{(0)}(z, \tau)=f^{(0)}(z, \tau)=1$ as well as $g^{(1)}(z, \tau)=\partial_{z} \log \theta_{1}(z, \tau)$ and $f^{(1)}(z, \tau)=$ $g^{(1)}(z, \tau)+2 \pi i \frac{\operatorname{Im} z}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}$. While the meromorphic $g^{(w)}$ feature $B$-cycle monodromies generated by $F(z+\tau, \eta, \tau)=e^{-2 \pi i \eta} F(z, \eta, \tau)$, the doubly-periodic $f^{(w)}$ have non-vanishing antiholomorphic derivatives ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \Omega(z, \eta, \tau)=-\frac{\pi \eta}{\operatorname{Im} \tau} \Omega(z, \eta, \tau) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} f^{(w)}(z, \tau)=-\frac{\pi}{\operatorname{Im} \tau} f^{(w-1)}(z, \tau), \quad w \geq 1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Laurent expansion of (2.3) relates the two types of Kronecker-Eisenstein coefficients via

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{(w)}(z, \tau)=\sum_{k=0}^{w} \frac{(-\nu)^{k}}{k!} f^{(w-k)}(z, \tau), \quad f^{(w)}(z, \tau)=\sum_{k=0}^{w} \frac{\nu^{k}}{k!} g^{(w-k)}(z, \tau) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\nu:=2 \pi i \frac{\operatorname{Im} z}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}$. Iterated integrals of the $g^{(w)}$ and $f^{(w)}$ give rise to different descriptions of elliptic polylogarithms $[22,51,52]$ which had dramatic impact on recent developments in both string perturbation theory [53] and particle physics [54].

### 2.1.1 Holomorphic Eisenstein series

Evaluating the $f^{(w)}(z, \tau)$ at the origin produces holomorphic Eisenstein series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{w}(\tau):=\sum_{(m, n) \neq(0,0)} \frac{1}{(m \tau+n)^{w}}=-f^{(w)}(0, \tau), \quad w \geq 4 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

of modular weight $(w, 0)$, represented via absolutely convergent double sums over integers $m, n$ if $w \geq 4$. While the analogous $z \rightarrow 0$ limit of $f^{(2)}(z, \tau)$ is ill-defined, we will later encounter a non-holomorphic but modular variant of the weight-two Eisenstein series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2}(\tau):=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \sum_{(m, n) \neq(0,0)} \frac{1}{(m \tau+n)^{2}|m \tau+n|^{s}}=\mathrm{G}_{2}(\tau)-\frac{\pi}{\operatorname{Im} \tau} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor of $|m \tau+n|^{-s}$ is necessary for absolute convergence of the double sum, and the meromorphic counterpart $\mathrm{G}_{2}(\tau)$ of $\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2}(\tau)$ is defined through the Eisenstein summation prescription

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{2}(\tau):=\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=-M}^{M} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \frac{1}{(m \tau+n)^{2}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]
### 2.1.2 Properties of the Kronecker-Eisenstein series

The Kronecker-Eisenstein series as well as its doubly-periodic completion satisfy the antisymmetry property

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(-z,-\eta, \tau)=-F(z, \eta, \tau), \quad \Omega(-z,-\eta, \tau)=-\Omega(z, \eta, \tau) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as Fay identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(z_{1}, \eta_{1}, \tau\right) F\left(z_{2}, \eta_{2}, \tau\right)=F\left(z_{1}, \eta_{1}+\eta_{2}, \tau\right) F\left(z_{2}-z_{1}, \eta_{2}, \tau\right)+F\left(z_{2}, \eta_{1}+\eta_{2}, \tau\right) F\left(z_{1}-z_{2}, \eta_{1}, \tau\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which hold in identical form for $F(z, \eta, \tau) \rightarrow \Omega(z, \eta, \tau)$ and can be thought of as quasi- and doubly-periodic generalizations of the partial-fraction relation $\frac{1}{z_{i} z_{j}}=\frac{1}{z_{i}-z_{j}}\left(\frac{1}{z_{j}}-\frac{1}{z_{i}}\right)$.

By carefully taking the limit $z_{1} \rightarrow z$ and $z_{2} \rightarrow-z$ in (2.11), one can derive the following identities

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(z, \eta_{1}, \tau\right) F\left(-z, \eta_{2}, \tau\right)=F\left(z, \eta_{1}-\eta_{2}, \tau\right)\left(g^{(1)}\left(\eta_{2}, \tau\right)-g^{(1)}\left(\eta_{1}, \tau\right)\right)+\partial_{z} F\left(z, \eta_{1}-\eta_{2}\right),  \tag{2.12}\\
& \Omega\left(z, \eta_{1}, \tau\right) \Omega\left(-z, \eta_{2}, \tau\right)=\Omega\left(z, \eta_{1}-\eta_{2}, \tau\right)\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{2}, \tau\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{1}, \tau\right)\right)+\partial_{z} \Omega\left(z, \eta_{1}-\eta_{2}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where the main difference between the meromorphic and the doubly-periodic case concerns the Eisenstein series $\mathrm{G}_{2}(\tau)$ or $\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2}(\tau)$ in the expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{(1)}(\eta, \tau) & =\partial_{\eta} \log \theta_{1}(\eta, \tau)=\frac{1}{\eta}-\eta \mathrm{G}_{2}(\tau)-\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \eta^{n-1} \mathrm{G}_{n}(\tau)  \tag{2.14}\\
\hat{g}^{(1)}(\eta, \tau) & :=\partial_{\eta} \log \theta_{1}(\eta, \tau)+\frac{\pi \eta}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}=\frac{1}{\eta}-\eta \hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2}(\tau)-\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \eta^{n-1} \mathrm{G}_{n}(\tau) \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

One can employ the $\eta_{j}$-expansions of (2.12) and (2.13) to rewrite derivatives $\partial_{z} g^{(w)}(z, \tau)$ and $\partial_{z} f^{(w)}(z, \tau)$ in terms of bilinears in undifferentiated Kronecker-Eisenstein coefficients and Eisenstein series. Similar rewritings of $\partial_{z} g^{(w)}(z, \tau)$ and $\partial_{z} f^{(w)}(z, \tau)$ can be attained from the expansion of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{z} F(z, \eta, \tau)-\partial_{\eta} F(z, \eta, \tau)=\left(g^{(1)}(\eta, \tau)-g^{(1)}(z, \tau)\right) F(z, \eta, \tau)  \tag{2.16}\\
& \partial_{z} \Omega(z, \eta, \tau)-\partial_{\eta} \Omega(z, \eta, \tau)=\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}(\eta, \tau)-f^{(1)}(z, \tau)\right) \Omega(z, \eta, \tau) \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

which straightforwardly follow from the definition (2.1). Upon isolating fixed orders in $\eta$, we obtain identities such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{z} f^{(1)}(z, \tau)=2 f^{(2)}(z, \tau)-\left(f^{(1)}(z, \tau)\right)^{2}-\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2}(\tau) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and more generally

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{z} f^{(w)}(z, \tau)= & (w+1) f^{(w+1)}(z, \tau)-f^{(w)}(z, \tau) f^{(1)}(z, \tau)  \tag{2.19}\\
& -\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2}(\tau) f^{(w-1)}(z, \tau)-\sum_{n=4}^{w+1} \mathrm{G}_{n} f^{(w+1-n)}(z, \tau)
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.1.3 Shorthand notation

Since the main results of this work concern configuration-space integrals over several punctures $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots$, it will be convenient to use the shorthand notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{j}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}, \quad g_{i j}^{(w)}:=g^{(w)}\left(z_{i}-z_{j}, \tau\right), \quad f_{i j}^{(w)}:=f^{(w)}\left(z_{i}-z_{j}, \tau\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i j}(\eta):=F\left(z_{i}-z_{j}, \eta, \tau\right), \quad \Omega_{i j}(\eta):=\Omega\left(z_{i}-z_{j}, \eta, \tau\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this notation, (2.16) and (2.17) for instance take the alternative form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{i} F_{i j}(\eta)=-g_{i j}^{(1)} F_{i j}(\eta)+\left(g_{1}(\eta)+\partial_{\eta}\right) F_{i j}(\eta)  \tag{2.22}\\
& \partial_{i} \Omega_{i j}(\eta)=-f_{i j}^{(1)} \Omega_{i j}(\eta)+\left(\hat{g}_{1}(\eta)+\partial_{\eta}\right) \Omega_{i j}(\eta) \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where fixed orders in $\eta$ allow to eliminate $\partial_{i} g_{i j}^{(w)}$ and $\partial_{i} f_{i j}^{(w)}$ in favor of undifferentiated Kronecker-Eisenstein coefficients, cf. (2.19). Similarly, the expansion of the Fay identity (2.11) in $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$ yields quadratic relations involving three points $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ [22]

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{12}^{(n)} f_{23}^{(m)}=-f_{13}^{(m+n)} & +\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{m-1+j}{j} f_{13}^{(n-j)} f_{23}^{(m+j)}  \tag{2.24}\\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{n-1+j}{j} f_{13}^{(m-j)} f_{12}^{(n+j)}
\end{align*}
$$

which hold in identical form for $f_{i j}^{(w)} \rightarrow g_{i j}^{(w)}$.

### 2.2 The structure of one-loop string amplitudes

Open-string $n$-point amplitudes at one loop descend from worldsheets of cylinder- and Moebius-strip topologies with modular parameter $\tau$ and punctures $z_{i}$ on the boundary,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{n}=\sum_{\text {top }} C_{\mathrm{top}} \int_{D_{\mathrm{top}}^{\tau}} \frac{d \tau}{(\operatorname{Im} \tau)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \int_{D_{\mathrm{top}}^{z}} \underbrace{d z_{2} \ldots d z_{n}}_{d \mu_{n}^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{op}}\left(z_{i}, \tau, k_{i}\right) K_{n}^{\mathrm{op}}\left(f^{(w)}, \tau, k_{i}, \epsilon_{i}, \cdots\right), \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [55] for the color (or Chan-Paton) factors $C_{\text {top }}$ as well as the associated integration domains $D_{\text {top }}^{\tau}$ and $D_{\text {top }}^{z}$ for $\tau$ and $z_{i}$. The exponent of $\operatorname{Im} \tau$ depends on the number $D$ of spacetime dimensions, and the contributions $\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\text {op }}$ and $K_{n}^{\text {op }}$ to the $n$-point integrands depend on the moduli $\tau, z_{i}$ as well as the momenta $k_{i}$ and polarizations $\epsilon_{i}$ of the external legs.

Closed-string one-loop amplitudes in turn are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{n}=\int_{\mathfrak{F}} \frac{d^{2} \tau}{(2 \operatorname{Im} \tau)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \int_{\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}^{n-1}} \underbrace{d^{2} z_{2} \ldots d^{2} z_{n}}_{d \mu_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}}} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}}\left(z_{i}, \tau, k_{i}\right) K_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}}\left(f^{(w)}, \bar{f}^{(w)}, \tau, k_{i}, \epsilon_{i}, \bar{\epsilon}_{i}, \cdots\right), \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the modular parameter $\tau$ of the torus worldsheet is integrated over the fundamental domain $\mathfrak{F}$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. The punctures $z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$ are integrated over the torus $\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}$, parametrized by the standard parallelogram with corners $0,1, \tau+1, \tau$ in the complex $z_{i}$-plane depicted in figure 1. The contribution $K_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ to closed-string integrands depends on two species of polarizations $\epsilon_{i}$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_{i}$ associated with left- and right-moving worldsheet degrees of freedom.

Although we fixed $z_{1}=0$ using translation invariance on open- and closed-string worldsheets at genus one, we shall keep $z_{1}$ generic throughout this work.


Figure 1. The parametrization of the torus worldsheet $\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}$ through a parallelogram where the non-contractible A- and B-cycles are taken to be the path from the origin to 1 and the modular parameter $\tau$, respectively.

### 2.2.1 Koba-Nielsen factors $\mathcal{I}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$

In the integrands of both open- and closed-string amplitudes (2.25) and (2.26), we separate universal Koba-Nielsen factors

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{op}}=\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{op}}\left(z_{i}, \tau, k_{i}\right):=\exp \left(-\sum_{i<j}^{n} s_{i j}\left[\log \left|\theta_{1}\left(z_{i j}, \tau\right)\right|-\frac{\pi}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}\left(\operatorname{Im} z_{i j}\right)^{2}\right]\right), \\
\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}}=\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}}\left(z_{i}, \tau, k_{i}\right):=\exp \left(-\sum_{i<j}^{n} s_{i j}\left[\log \left|\theta_{1}\left(z_{i j}, \tau\right)\right|^{2}-\frac{2 \pi}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}\left(\operatorname{Im} z_{i j}\right)^{2}\right]\right), \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

from theory-dependent factors $K_{n}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $K_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ to be described below. Our conventions for the dimensionless Mandelstam invariants are fixed by,

$$
s_{i j}=-k_{i} \cdot k_{j}, \quad s_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{r}}=-\sum_{1 \leq p<q \leq r} k_{i_{p}} \cdot k_{i_{q}}, \quad \alpha^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
1 / 2: & \text { open strings }  \tag{2.28}\\
2 & : \text { closed strings }
\end{array}\right.
$$

We will study the Koba-Nielsen factors (2.27) at independent values of all the $s_{j i}=s_{i j}$ with $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ even though the amplitudes (2.25) and (2.26) are eventually evaluated on the support of on-shell conditions for $k_{j}^{2}$ and momentum-conserving delta functions. ${ }^{3}$ In this way, Koba-Nielsen factors at $n=2$ and $n=3$ points are taken to depend on one variable $s_{12}$ and three variables $\left\{s_{12}, s_{13}, s_{23}\right\}$, respectively.

### 2.2.2 Theory dependent integrands $K_{n}$

The theory-dependent open- and closed-string integrands $K_{n}^{\text {op }}$ and $K_{n}^{\text {cl }}$ carry the entire polarization dependence of the amplitudes (2.25) and (2.26), and the main goal of this work is to provide tools for their systematic simplification. As a key result of [21-23], their

[^2]dependence on the punctures in massless amplitudes of type I, type II and heterotic theories ${ }^{4}$ is entirely expressible in terms of the Kronecker-Eisenstein coefficients $f^{(w)}$ reviewed in section 2.1. Closed-string integrands $K_{n}^{\text {cl }}$ may additionally feature powers of $\frac{\pi}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}$ due to Wick contractions between left- and right-movers. Hence, the theory-dependent integrands take the schematic form
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{n}^{\mathrm{op}} & =Z^{\mathrm{op}}(\tau, D, \ldots) \sum N^{\mathrm{op}}\left(\epsilon_{i}, k_{i}\right)\left(f_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\left(k_{1}\right)} f_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\left(k_{2}\right)} \cdots\right),  \tag{2.29}\\
K_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}} & =Z^{\mathrm{cl}}(\tau, D, \ldots) \sum_{w} \sum N_{w}^{\mathrm{cl}}\left(\epsilon_{i}, \bar{\epsilon}_{i}, k_{i}\right)\left(\frac{\pi}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}\right)^{w}\left(f_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\left(k_{1}\right)} f_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\left(k_{2}\right)} \cdots\right)\left(\bar{f}_{p_{1} q_{1}}^{\left(r_{1}\right)} \bar{f}_{p_{2} q_{2}}^{\left(r_{2}\right)} \cdots\right),
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where the numerators $N^{\mathrm{op}}\left(\epsilon_{i}, k_{i}\right)$ and $N^{\mathrm{cl}}\left(\epsilon_{i}, \bar{\epsilon}_{i}, k_{i}\right)$ separate the polarization dependence from the moduli $z_{i}, \tau$. In heterotic or bosonic string amplitudes as well as (toroidal or orbifold) compactifications, one additionally encounters $z_{i}$-independent partition functions $Z^{\mathrm{op}}(\tau, D, \ldots)$ and $Z^{\mathrm{cl}}(\tau, D, \ldots)$. The latter depend on the number $D$ of spacetime dimensions and compactification details if $D<10$ such as radii of circular dimensions or twist parameters of orbifolds, see for instance [60].

The structure (2.29) of genus-one integrands $K_{n}^{\text {op }}$ and $K_{n}^{\text {cl }}$ is established for massless external states, but expected to also capture one-loop amplitudes of massive modes.

### 2.3 One-loop string integrals and their F-IBP relations

In order to simplify the Koba-Nielsen integrals over the expressions (2.29) for $K_{n}^{\text {op }}$ and $K_{n}^{\text {cl }}$, it is essential to minimize the number of independent products $f_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\left(k_{1}\right)} f_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\left(k_{2}\right)} \ldots$ of KroneckerEisenstein kernels. To some extent, this can be achieved via algebraic identities at the level of the integrand such as Fay relations (2.24) or the removal of $z_{i}$-derivatives of $f_{i j}^{(w)}$ via (2.19). However, the main driving force for reductions of open- and closed-string integrands (2.29) to a basis is integration by parts to be reviewed in this section. We reiterate that the entirety of integration-by-parts relations and Fay identities will be referred to as F-IBP.

### 2.3.1 Koba-Nielsen derivatives and IBP relations

The integration domains $D_{\text {top }}$ in open-string amplitudes (2.25) only leave one real degree of freedom for each modulus $z_{j}$ and $\tau$. Accordingly, there is no separate reference to their complex conjugates $\bar{z}_{j}$ and $\bar{\tau}$ as in the closed-string setting (2.26) where the integration domains $\mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}$ have two real dimensions. We can therefore write the derivatives w.r.t. punctures $z_{i}$ of both Koba-Nielsen factors (2.27) in the unified form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}=-\left(\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} x_{i, j}\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}, \quad x_{i, j}:=s_{i j} f_{i j}^{(1)}, \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with derivatives $\partial_{i}$ in the sense of real analysis for $\bullet \rightarrow$ op and holomorphic derivatives $\partial_{i}$ (as opposed to $\bar{\partial}_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}$ ) in case of $\bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{cl}$.

[^3]In the context of open- and closed-string amplitudes (2.25) and (2.26), total derivatives $\partial_{i}$ w.r.t. the punctures typically act on the $z_{i}$-dependence of $K_{n}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $K_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ besides the respective Koba-Nielsen factor. These two types of contributions are gathered in the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} \varphi:=\partial_{i} \varphi-\left(\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} x_{i, j}\right) \varphi=\frac{1}{\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}} \partial_{i}\left(\varphi \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary contributions $\varphi=\varphi\left(z_{i}, \tau\right)$ to open- or closed-string integrands (2.29). The images of these operators $\nabla_{i}$ integrate to zero within both open- and closed-string settings,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{\mathrm{top}}^{z}} d \mu_{n}^{\mathrm{op}} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{op}} \nabla_{i} \varphi=0=\int_{\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}^{n-1}} d \mu_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}} \nabla_{i} \varphi, \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which relies on the following two salient points:
(i) Both $\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}$ and the $\varphi$ of interest descend from correlation functions on the torus and are therefore doubly-periodic under $z_{i} \rightarrow z_{i}+1$ and $z_{i} \rightarrow z_{i}+\tau$. As will be illustrated in section 5.1 , this is particularly important in a closed-string context.
(ii) The Koba-Nielsen factors $\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\text {op }}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\text {cl }}$ exhibit local behaviour $\left|z_{i, j}\right|^{-s_{i j}}$ and $\left|z_{i, j}\right|^{-2 s_{i j}}$ when pairs of punctures $z_{i}, z_{j}$ collide. Upon analytic continuation to the kinematic region where $\operatorname{Re}\left(s_{i j}\right)<0$, boundary terms $z_{i} \rightarrow z_{j}$ are suppressed (and similarly $z_{i} \rightarrow z_{j}+m \tau+n$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by double-periodicity).

Since the images of these total derivative do not contribute to open- and closed-string amplitudes (2.25) and (2.26), we identify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} \varphi:=\partial_{i} \varphi-\left(\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} x_{i, j}\right) \varphi \cong 0, \quad \forall \text { doubly periodic } \varphi\left(z_{j}, \tau\right) \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the simplification of $K_{n}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $K_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}}$. Note that the operator $\nabla_{i}$ does not obey the Leibniz rule known from $\partial_{i}$ but instead acts on products via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i}\left(\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)=\left(\nabla_{i} \varphi_{1}\right) \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{1} \partial_{i}\left(\varphi_{2}\right)=\left(\partial_{i} \varphi_{1}\right) \varphi_{2}+\varphi_{1} \nabla_{i}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, two operators $\nabla_{i}$ and $\nabla_{j}$ are easily checked to still commute with each other,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{i} \nabla_{j}-\nabla_{j} \nabla_{i}\right) \varphi=\varphi\left(\partial_{i}+\partial_{j}\right) x_{i, j}=0, \quad \text { for } i \neq j \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3.2 Conjectural bases of genus-one string integrals

It is conjectured in $[24,25]$ that any $n$-point open-string one-loop integrand relevant to $K_{n}^{\mathrm{op}}$ in $(2.29)$ can be lined up with an $(n-1)$ !-basis of generating functions. The latter are composed of products (1.3) of doubly-periodic Kronecker-Eisenstein series (2.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots n}:=\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{23 \ldots n}\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3 \ldots n}\right) \ldots \Omega_{n-1, n}\left(\eta_{n}\right), \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 2. Graphical representation of Kronecker-Eisenstein series $\Omega_{i j}(\eta)=\Omega\left(z_{i}-z_{j}, \eta, \tau\right)$ and their products $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots n}$ in the integrand (2.36) of the conjectural chain basis (2.38) of open-string genus-one integrals.
and the $(n-1)!$-counting arises from permutations in the labels $i=2,3, \ldots, n$ of $z_{i}$ and $\eta_{i}$. In contrast to the differences $z_{i, j}=z_{i}-z_{j}$ of the punctures, the multi-index notation for the $n-1$ bookkeeping variables $\eta_{2}, \eta_{3}, \ldots, \eta_{n}$ in (2.36) refers to the sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{i j \cdots k}=\eta_{i}+\eta_{j}+\ldots+\eta_{k} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

As illustrated in figure 2 we visualize each factor of $\Omega_{i j}(\eta)=\Omega\left(z_{i}-z_{j}, \eta, \tau\right)$ through an edge connecting vertices $z_{i}$ and $z_{j}$ for the punctures. The first arguments $z_{12}, z_{23}, \ldots, z_{n-2, n-1}$ and $z_{n-1, n}$ of the products in (2.36) then lead to a chain structure akin to the Parke-Taylor factors (1.1) in an $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-frame, where one of the punctures on a genus-zero surface is mapped to $\infty$. In contrast to the genus-zero kernels $z_{i j}^{-1}$, the $\Omega_{i j}(\eta)$ depend on additional bookkeeping variables $\eta$ that can be attributed to the edges in figure 2. For a given tree-level graph, the combinations (2.37) of $\eta_{i}$ for each edge can be determined from the rules in section 4.1 of [29].

The ( $n-1$ )!-element set of configuration-space integrals [24, 25]

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\vec{\eta}}^{\tau}\left(\text { top } \mid \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right):=\int_{D_{\text {top }}^{z}} d \mu_{n}^{\mathrm{op}} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{op}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \alpha_{2} \cdots \alpha_{n}} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with permutations $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ of $2,3, \ldots, n$ is claimed to generate the $\tau$-integrands of openstring amplitudes in (2.25) upon expansion in $\eta_{2}, \eta_{3}, \ldots, \eta_{n}$. For open-superstring amplitudes that preserve 16 or 8 supercharges, the configuration-space integrals (2.25) typically occur at homogeneity degrees $\eta_{j}^{-4}$ and $\eta_{j}^{-2}$ relative to those of open bosonic strings [22, 23, 57$59,61,62]$. Nevertheless, the study of $\alpha^{\prime}$-expansions for any number of supercharges is greatly facilitated when studying complete generating functions (2.38) and their differential equations in $\tau$ instead of the component integrals at fixed orders in $\eta_{j}$ [24, 25].

Similarly, any closed-string one-loop integrand $K_{n}^{\text {cl }}$ is conjectured to be generated by linear combination of products $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \cdots \alpha_{n}} \overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_{1 \beta_{2} \beta_{3} \cdots \beta_{n}}$ [26], with complex conjugates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Omega}_{12 \ldots n}:=\overline{\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{23 \ldots n}\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3 \ldots n}\right) \ldots \Omega_{n-1, n}\left(\eta_{n}\right)} . \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

More precisely, the $(n-1)!\times(n-1)!$ matrix of generating functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\bar{\eta}}^{\tau}(\alpha \mid \beta):=\int_{\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}^{n-1}} d \mu_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \cdots \alpha_{n}} \overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}_{1 \beta_{2} \beta_{3} \cdots \beta_{n}} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

indexed by two independent permutations $\alpha=\alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ and $\beta=\beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ of $2,3, \ldots, n$ is claimed to contain any closed-string configuration-space integral in (2.26) upon expansion in the $2 n-2$ bookkeeping variables $\eta_{j}$ and $\bar{\eta}_{j}$. Closed-string integrands in type II, heterotic and bosonic theories are again encountered at different orders in $\eta_{j}$ and $\bar{\eta}_{j}$ since the simplifications from spacetime supersymmetry typically cancel the contributions from higher orders in the $\eta, \bar{\eta}$-expansions.

The main goal of this paper is to validate the above conjectural bases (2.38) and (2.40) by reducing infinite families of non-trivial examples to linear combinations of $Z_{\vec{\eta}}^{\tau}($ top $\mid \alpha)$ and $Y_{\vec{\eta}}^{\tau}(\alpha \mid \beta)$. More specifically, we will spell out the explicit form of the $(n-1)$ ! reductions for generating functions of genus-one integrals where the graphical representation of the Kronecker-Eisenstein arguments $z_{i, j}$ in figure 2 features cycles instead of the chains in (2.36).

### 2.3.3 Shuffle relations from Fay identities

The permutations of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots n}$ in (2.38) single out the first puncture $z_{1}$ to reside at the end of the chain structure of the product in (2.36). Similarly, the bookkeeping variables $\eta_{2}, \eta_{3}, \ldots, \eta_{n}$ related to the punctures $z_{2}, z_{3}, \ldots, z_{n}$ via $\partial_{\bar{z}_{j}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots n}=\frac{\pi \eta_{j}}{\operatorname{Im} \tau} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots n}$ exclude $\eta_{1}$. One can refer to all pairs $\left(z_{j}, \eta_{j}\right)$ with $j=1,2, \ldots, m$ and all the $m$ ! permutations of the ordered set $\alpha=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \cdots \alpha_{m}$ on an equal footing by introducing $\eta_{1}$ through the delta function in the following more general definition of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\alpha}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \cdots \alpha_{m}}:=\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \eta_{\alpha_{i}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \Omega_{\alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+1}}\left(\eta_{\alpha_{i+1} \cdots \alpha_{m}}\right) \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{m}\right\}$ could be any subset of $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ with two or more elements. When $\alpha_{1}=1$ and $m=n$, the above generalized definition reduces to the original one (2.36). As a particular virtue of the definition (2.41), the antisymmetry property (2.10) of the individual Kronecker-Eisenstein factors translates into the reflection identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\alpha^{\mathrm{T}}}=(-1)^{|\alpha|-1} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\alpha} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the chain product for any ordered set $\alpha=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{|\alpha|}$ with $|\alpha|$ elements and reversal $\alpha^{\mathrm{T}}=\alpha_{|\alpha|} \ldots \alpha_{2} \alpha_{1}$. Moreover, iterations of the Fay identities (2.11) among pairs of KroneckerEisenstein factors imply the following chain identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\alpha, i, \beta}=(-1)^{|\alpha|} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i, \alpha^{\mathrm{T}}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i, \beta}=(-1)^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\rho \in \alpha^{\mathrm{T}} ш \beta} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{i, \rho}, \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

which resemble Kleiss-Kuijf relations of gauge-theory tree amplitudes [10] and only leave ( $m-1$ )! out of the $m$ ! permutations of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \cdots \alpha_{m}}$ independent [25, 26, 29]. The shuffle $\alpha ш \beta$ of ordered sets $\alpha, \beta$ in the summation range of (2.43) gathers all permutations of the composed ordered set $\alpha \beta$ that preserve the order among the elements of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. The simplest twoand three-point examples of (2.42) and (2.43) are

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} & =-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{21}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}=\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{321}  \tag{2.44}\\
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{213} & =-\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \Omega_{13}\left(\eta_{3}\right)=-\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{23}\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}\right)-\Omega_{13}\left(\eta_{23}\right) \Omega_{32}\left(\eta_{2}\right)=-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the chain identities (2.43) following from iterated Fay identities can be equivalently written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\rho \in \alpha \amalg \beta} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\rho}=0, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \neq \emptyset \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on (2.43) or (2.45), any product of $n-1$ Kronecker-Eisenstein series whose first arguments $z_{i, j}$ form a tree graph can be reduced to the conjectural $(n-1)$ ! chain basis $(2.38)$,
see e.g. appendix E of [26] or section 4.1 of [29]. Upon Laurent expansion in the $\eta_{i . . . j}$ variables, this implies algebraic rearrangements of the Kronecker-Eisenstein coefficients $f_{i j}^{(w)}$ in the open- and closed-string integrands (2.29). These simplifications terminate in the chain basis of $f_{1 \alpha_{2}}^{\left(k_{1}\right)} f_{\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}}^{\left(k_{2}\right)} \ldots f_{\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_{n}}^{\left(k_{n-1}\right)}$ with $z_{1}$ at one end without any need for integration by parts (2.33) provided that the starting point already had the structure of a tree graph.

### 2.3.4 Kronecker-Eisenstein cycles

The main target of this work for F-IBP reduction into the conjectural chain bases are Koba-Nielsen integrals over cyclic products of Kronecker-Eisenstein series. The explicit basis reductions of the associated cycles of coefficients $f_{i j}^{(w)}$ can then be obtained as corollaries upon $\eta$-expansion.

In order to set the stage for the products of cycles in section 6, we define cycles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi):=\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \eta_{i}\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{23 \cdots m}+\xi\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3 \cdots m}+\xi\right) \cdots \Omega_{m-1, m}\left(\eta_{m}+\xi\right) \Omega_{m, 1}(\xi) \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

for general multiplicities $2 \leq m \leq n$. Already for a single cycle at $m=n$, the reduction to products (2.41) of chain topology is beyond the reach of algebraic manipulations due to (2.10) or (2.11) and calls for integration by parts.

The $m$ factors of $\Omega_{i j}$ in (2.46) are associated with $m$ independent bookkeeping variables which can be taken as $\eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{m}, \xi$ on the support of the delta function. The arguments of the individual factors $\Omega_{j-1, j}\left(\eta_{j \ldots m}+\xi\right)$ in (2.46) are chosen such as to

- attain simple transformation properties under cyclic shifts and cycle reversal

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi+\eta_{1}\right) & =\boldsymbol{C}_{(23 \cdots m 1)}(\xi),  \tag{2.47}\\
\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(-\xi) & =(-1)^{m} \boldsymbol{C}_{(m \cdots 21)}(\xi),
\end{align*}
$$

where the relabelling $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}_{(23 \cdots m 1)}$ affects both the $z_{i}$ and $\eta_{i}$

- preserve the antiholomorphic differential equations of the chains (2.41),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\bar{z}_{j}} \boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)=\frac{\pi \eta_{j}}{\operatorname{Im} \tau} \boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi) \leftrightarrow \partial_{\bar{z}_{j}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots m}=\frac{\pi \eta_{j}}{\operatorname{Im} \tau} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots m}, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq m \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

At two points, for instance, we obtain $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}(\xi)=\delta\left(\eta_{12}\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}+\xi\right) \Omega_{21}(\xi)$ such that $\eta_{2}=-\eta_{1}$ brings the cyclic image into the form $\boldsymbol{C}_{(21)}(\xi)=\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi+\eta_{1}\right)$. The reflection properties in turn specialize to $\boldsymbol{C}_{(21)}(-\xi)=\delta\left(\eta_{12}\right) \Omega_{21}\left(\eta_{1}-\xi\right) \Omega_{12}(-\xi)=\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}(\xi)$.

As will be detailed in the next section, the main results of this paper are explicit F-IBP decomposition formulae for single cycles $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots n)}(\xi)$ or products $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots i)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(i+1 \cdots j)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \ldots$ into the conjectural chain basis of (2.38). The reductions will be performed in an open-string context, i.e. in absence of complex-conjugate $\bar{\Omega}_{i j}$, but can be easily adapted to closed strings: first, the reduction formulae in later sections will track the $\nabla_{j}$-derivatives (2.33) discarded in this process. Second, the additional IBP contributions in presence of chains or cycles of $\bar{\Omega}_{i j}$ can be straightforwardly inferred from the complex conjugates of the differential equations (2.48).

Note that the breaking of an $n$-point cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots n)}(\xi)$ via F-IBP will resemble the genus-zero IBP reduction [14] of products of two Parke-Taylor factors (1.1): the $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$-fixing
of one puncture at genus zero to $z_{j} \rightarrow \infty$ breaks one of the Parke-Taylor cycles. In a graphical representation of $z_{i j}^{-1}$ by edges as in figure 2 , the $z_{j} \rightarrow \infty$ fixing at genus zero always reduces the loop order by one. Similarly, the basis reductions of $k$ genus-one cycles $\boldsymbol{C}_{(\ldots)}\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ necessitate F-IBP identities of the same combinatorial complexity as those for genus-zero reductions of $(k+1)$ Parke-Taylor factors [37, 38].

### 2.3.5 A more detailed outline

In the subsequent sections of this paper, we start with the F-IBP reduction of the two-point cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ in section 3 to illustrate the key ideas in breaking cycles of Kronecker-Eisenstein series. Section 4 culminates in an elegant closed formula (4.40) for the breaking of a single length- $m$ cycle, with numerous further details and intermediate steps up to six points. A parallel derivation of a formula to break any meromorphic Kronecker-Eisenstein cycle in the chiral-splitting framework is given in section 5 .

More complicated cases of doubly-periodic integrands including products of cycles $\boldsymbol{C}_{(\ldots)}\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ can be reduced to the conjectural chain basis by repeated applications of the single-cycle formula (4.40). In section 6 , we show a first non-trivial application of the iterative procedure to break a product of two cycles of arbitrary multiplicities $m_{1}, m_{2}$ and spell out all examples up to and including $m_{1}+m_{2}=6$. For the iterative breaking of three or more cycles, it is convenient to introduce additional combinatorial tools including labelled forests to organize the results in a compact way. Simple examples of triple cycles at low multiplicities can be found at the end of section 6 while we refer the readers to a companion paper [49] for F-IBP reductions of arbitrary numbers and multiplicities of cycles.

## 3 Warm-up at two points

In this section, we discuss the simplest case of F-IBP reductions and demonstrate the breaking of a length-two cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}(\xi)=\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}+\xi\right) \Omega_{21}(\xi)$ in (2.46) as a warm-up example. According to the coincident limit (2.13) of Fay identities, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}(\xi)=\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}(\xi, \tau)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{2}+\xi, \tau\right)\right)-\partial_{2} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\hat{g}^{(1)}$ defined by (2.15). In presence of $n$-point Koba-Nielsen factors (2.27), the $z_{2^{-}}$ derivative in the second term of (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\partial_{2} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet} & =\partial_{2}\left(\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)-\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\left(\partial_{2} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\left(-s_{12} f_{12}^{(1)}+\sum_{i=3}^{n} s_{2 i} f_{2 i}^{(1)}+\partial_{2}\right)\left(\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where • may refer to either open or closed strings. Together with the algebraic identity (2.23) to rewrite $f_{12}^{(1)} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)=\partial_{2} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)+\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{2}\right)+\partial_{\eta_{2}}\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$, this can be solved for the derivative $\partial_{2} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+s_{12}\right)\left(\partial_{2} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}=\left(\sum_{i=3}^{n} s_{2 i} f_{2 i}^{(1)}-s_{12}\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{2}\right)+\partial_{\eta_{2}}\right)+\partial_{2}\right)\left(\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and insertion into (3.1) yields the desired reduction identity

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}(\xi) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}= & \frac{1}{1+s_{12}}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \times\left(s_{12} \partial_{\eta_{2}}-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{2}\right)+\left(1+s_{12}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{2}, \xi\right)-\sum_{i=3}^{n} s_{2 i} f_{2 i}^{(1)}-\partial_{2}\right)\left(\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We will use the shorthand $v_{1}(\eta, \xi)$ for the elliptic function of two variables

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1}(\eta, \xi) & :=\hat{g}^{(1)}(\eta)+\hat{g}^{(1)}(\xi)-\hat{g}^{(1)}(\eta+\xi)=g^{(1)}(\eta)+g^{(1)}(\xi)-g^{(1)}(\eta+\xi) \\
& =\frac{1}{\eta}+\frac{1}{\xi}-\frac{1}{\eta+\xi}+\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \mathrm{G}_{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-2}\binom{k-1}{\ell} \eta^{\ell} \xi^{k-1-\ell} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

which is ubiquitous to the generalizations in later sections. We shall furthermore introduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{M}_{12}(\xi):=\left(s_{12} \partial_{\eta_{2}}-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{2}\right)+\left(1+s_{12}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{2}, \xi\right)\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the contribution from the two-point chain $\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$ and employ the extended derivative $\nabla_{i}$ in (2.31) to rewrite (3.4) without explicit reference to the Koba-Nielsen factor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}(\xi)=\frac{1}{1+s_{12}}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{12}(\xi)-\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \sum_{i=3}^{n} s_{2 i} f_{2 i}^{(1)}-\nabla_{2} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In applications to closed-string integrands (such as (3.10) below), the cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}(\xi)$ may be multiplied by additional $z_{2}$-dependent factors. That is why the $\nabla_{2}$-derivative in (3.7) is tracked, and one may still drop it in simplified situations without further $z_{2}$ dependence. The first two terms $\sim \boldsymbol{M}_{12}(\xi)$ and $\sim \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$ in (3.7) are considered as accomplishing the reduction to a chain basis since

- each contribution to $\boldsymbol{M}_{12}(\xi)$ in (3.6) is a $z_{i}$-independent linear operator (multiplication or $\eta_{2}$-derivative) acting on the chain-basis element $\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$ - said operators can be pulled out of the integral over the punctures $z_{i}$ in one-loop string amplitudes,
- the products of $\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$ with the extra terms $s_{2 i} f_{2 i}^{(1)}$ in case of $(n \geq 3)$-point KobaNielsen factors do not feature any loops in the sense of figure 2 - there merely realize specific components in the $\eta$-expansion of higher-point chains $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 i}$.


### 3.1 Connection with the two-point chain basis

We shall now employ (3.7) to illustrate the role of the open- and closed-string integrals $Z_{\vec{\eta}}^{\tau}$ and $Y_{\vec{\eta}}^{\tau}$ in (2.38) and (2.40) as a two-point basis. This specializes the Koba-Nielsen factor to $n=2$ and removes the sum over $s_{2 i} f_{2 i}^{(1)}$ from (3.7). Its corollary in the open-string setting of (2.25) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{D_{\text {top }}^{z}} d z_{2} \mathcal{I}_{2}^{\mathrm{op}} \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}(\xi) & =\frac{1}{1+s_{12}} \int_{D_{\mathrm{top}}^{z}} d z_{2} \mathcal{I}_{2}^{\mathrm{op}} \boldsymbol{M}_{12}(\xi)  \tag{3.8}\\
& =\left(\frac{s_{12} \partial_{\eta_{2}}-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}{1+s_{12}}+v_{1}\left(\eta_{2}, \xi\right)\right) Z_{\eta_{2}}^{\tau}(\operatorname{top} \mid 2)
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficient of the basis integral $Z_{\eta_{2}}^{\tau}$ is a differential operator in the bookkeeping variable $\eta_{2}$. Similar operator-valued coefficients of the conjectural ( $n-1$ )!-basis integrals (2.38) were encountered in the $\tau$-derivatives of $Z_{\vec{\eta}}^{\tau}$ and $Y_{\vec{\eta}}^{\tau}$ determined in [25] and [26], respectively.

In an application of the cycle reduction (3.7) to the closed-string setting (2.26), the $\nabla_{2}$-term turns out to be essential: in presence of a complex conjugate integrand $\overline{\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}$, we obtain an additional contribution from the IBP relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)} \nabla_{2}\left(\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right)=\nabla_{2}\left(\overline{\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right)-\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \partial_{2}\left(\overline{\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to (2.34) and find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}} d^{2} z_{2} \mathcal{I}_{2}^{\text {cl }} \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}(\xi) \overline{\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{1+s_{12}} \int_{\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}} d^{2} z_{2} \mathcal{I}_{2}^{\mathrm{cl}}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{12}(\xi) \overline{\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}+\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \partial_{2}\left(\overline{\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}\right)\right), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

since only the first term $\nabla_{2}\left(\overline{\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right)$ in (3.9) integrates to zero. With the derivative $\partial_{2}\left(\overline{\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}\right)=\frac{\pi \bar{\eta}_{2}}{\operatorname{Im} \tau} \overline{\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}$ in (2.5) intertwining left- and right-movers, this gives rise to the last term $\sim \frac{\bar{\eta}_{2}}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}$ in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}} d^{2} z_{2} \mathcal{I}_{2}^{\mathrm{cl}} \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}(\xi) \overline{\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}=\left(\frac{s_{12} \partial_{\eta_{2}}-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{2}\right)}{1+s_{12}}+v_{1}\left(\eta_{2}, \xi\right)+\frac{\pi \bar{\eta}_{2}}{\operatorname{Im} \tau\left(1+s_{12}\right)}\right) Y_{\eta_{2}}^{\tau}(2 \mid 2) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\eta_{2}$-expansions of (3.8) and (3.11) are readily obtained from those of $\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$ in (2.15) and its doubly periodic combination $v_{1}(\eta, \xi)$ in (3.5). Upon insertion into (3.8) and (3.11), these expansions generate F-IBP reductions of Koba-Nielsen integrals over products of coefficients $f_{12}^{\left(k_{1}\right)} f_{12}^{\left(k_{2}\right)}$ that may appear in open- and closed-string integrands (2.29). In both cases, we encounter denominators $1+s_{12}$ that signal tachyon propagation in applications to bosonic string amplitudes and occur in the analogous integral reductions at genus zero [14, 36-38]. In applications to heterotic or supersymmetric theories, such factors of $\left(1+s_{12}\right)^{-1}$ do not translate into poles of the amplitude, either by the zeros of the accompanying torus integrals or by factors of $1+s_{12}$ in the associated numerators $N^{\mathrm{op}}, N_{w}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ in (2.29).

## 4 Breaking of single cycles at arbitrary length

In this section, we develop a general method to break a single cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)$ in (2.46) of arbitrary length $m$ and spell out the coefficients in its F-IBP decomposition into ( $m-1$ )! chain integrands $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \cdots \alpha_{m}}$ in (2.36). The accompanying Koba-Nielsen factors $\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\text {op }}, \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ in (2.27) are kept at independent multiplicity $n \geq m$ to make the results of this section applicable to the breaking of multiple cycles in section 6 and [49].

### 4.1 Length-three cycles

We start by adapting the key ideas in the two-point example of section 3 to length-three cycles $\boldsymbol{C}_{(123)}(\xi)=\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{23}+\xi\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}+\xi\right) \Omega_{31}(\xi)$. Apart from chain integrands such as $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}=$ $\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{23}\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}\right)$ in the final formula (4.18) below, we will encounter the following $z_{i}$-derivatives in intermediate steps,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}, \quad \partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}, \quad \partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}, \quad \partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
f_{12}^{(1)} \Omega_{12}(\eta) \cong \stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\cdots} \ddots}{z_{1} \quad \eta \quad \ddot{z}_{2}}
$$



Figure 3. Examples of $f-\Omega$ cycles at length two and length three. Factors of $\Omega_{i j}(\eta)$ and $f_{i j}^{(1)}$ are represented by solid and dotted lines between vertices $z_{i}$ and $z_{j}$, respectively.
which fall into two pairs under relabellings $\left(z_{2}, \eta_{2}\right) \leftrightarrow\left(z_{3}, \eta_{3}\right)$ such as $\partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}=\left.\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}\right|_{2 \leftrightarrow 3}$. Hence, the main task is to derive a system of F-IBP identities to solve for all of $\boldsymbol{C}_{(123)}(\xi)$ and the four derivatives in (4.1) in terms of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}$ without any $z_{i}$-derivatives.

### 4.1.1 The F-IBP equation system for chain derivatives

The first source of identities is the three-point analogue of the IBP relation (3.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}=\left(-s_{13} f_{13}^{(1)}-s_{23} f_{23}^{(1)}+\sum_{i=4}^{n} s_{3 i} f_{3 i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we again employ the operator $\nabla_{i}$ in (2.31) to capture the Koba-Nielsen factor in $\left(\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}=\partial_{3}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}\left(\partial_{3} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)$. The right-hand side of (4.2) features a chain of Kronecker-Eisenstein series as visualized in figure 2. However, the factors of $f_{13}^{(1)}$ and $f_{23}^{(1)}$ introduce a second species of edges which are visualized by dotted lines in figure 3 and lead to cycles similar to $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}(\xi)$ and $\boldsymbol{C}_{(123)}(\xi)$. Cycles with a mix of $f_{i j}^{(w)}$ and $\Omega_{i j}(\eta)$ factors will be referred to as $f-\Omega$ cycle, and the contributions $f_{23}^{(1)} \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}\right)$ and $f_{13}^{(1)} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}$ to (4.2) furnish simple examples at length two and three, respectively.

The length-two example of $f-\Omega$ cycles, i.e. the pair $f_{i j}^{(1)} \Omega_{i j}(\eta)$, can be traded for a derivative of $\Omega_{i j}(\eta)$ via (2.23). Hence, for $f_{23}^{(1)} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{23}^{(1)} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} & =\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{23}\right)\left(\partial_{3} \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}\right)+\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}\right)+\partial_{\eta_{3}}\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}\right)\right) \\
& =\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}+\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}\right)-\partial_{\eta_{2}}+\partial_{\eta_{3}}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In passing to the second line, we have rearranged $z_{i^{-}}$and $\eta_{i^{-}}$-derivatives of the individual Kronecker-Eisenstein factors to act on the entire chains. Throughout this work, we will extensively use

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i} \Omega_{i j}(\eta)=-\partial_{j} \Omega_{i j}(\eta), \quad \partial_{\eta_{i}} \Omega\left(z, \eta_{i j \ldots k}\right)=\partial_{\eta_{j}} \Omega\left(z, \eta_{i j \ldots k}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

to iteratively reorganize expressions $\Omega \partial \Omega$ as total derivatives $\partial(\Omega \Omega)$ as long as the products $(\Omega \Omega)$ do not form a cycle. Simple applications of (4.4) include $\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{23}\right) \partial_{\eta_{3}} \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}\right)=$ $\left(\partial_{\eta_{3}}-\partial_{\eta_{2}}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}$ and $\left(\partial_{3} \Omega_{13}\left(\eta_{23}\right)\right) \Omega_{32}\left(\eta_{2}\right)=\left(\partial_{3}+\partial_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}$.

The $f-\Omega$ cycle $f_{13}^{(1)} \Omega_{123}$ of length three in (4.2) reduces to length two by virtue of the Fay identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{13}^{(1)} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}=f_{13}^{(1)} \Omega_{13}\left(\eta_{3}\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)-f_{13}^{(1)} \Omega_{13}\left(\eta_{23}\right) \Omega_{32}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (2.23) to $f_{13}^{(1)} \Omega_{13}\left(\eta_{3}\right)$ and $f_{13}^{(1)} \Omega_{13}\left(\eta_{23}\right)$ then results in

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{13}^{(1)} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}= & \left(\partial_{3} \Omega_{13}\left(\eta_{3}\right)+\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}\right)+\partial_{\eta_{3}}\right) \Omega_{13}\left(\eta_{3}\right)\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
& -\left(\partial_{3} \Omega_{13}\left(\eta_{23}\right)+\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{23}\right)+\partial_{\eta_{3}}\right) \Omega_{13}\left(\eta_{23}\right)\right) \Omega_{32}\left(\eta_{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By rewriting the $z_{i^{-}}$and $\eta_{i}$ derivatives via (4.4) to act on the complete chains as in (4.3), we arrive at the simplified form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{13}^{(1)} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}=\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}-\partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}+\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}\right)+\partial_{\eta_{3}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{23}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (4.3) and (4.7) into (4.2) results in one equation for the four derivatives in (4.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+s_{13}+s_{23}\right) \partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}-s_{13} \partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}=\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 23}, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right-hand side is formally free of $z_{i}$-derivatives $\partial_{i} \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ and denoted by $\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 23}$ for future reference

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 23}:= & \left(-\left(s_{13}+s_{23}\right)\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}\right)+\partial_{\eta_{3}}\right)+s_{23} \partial_{\eta_{2}}+\sum_{i=4}^{n} s_{3 i} f_{3 i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} \\
& +s_{13}\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{23}\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132} . \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The above steps to rewrite the derivative $\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}$ w.r.t. the endpoint $z_{3}$ of the chain in (4.2) can be straightforwardly adapted to $\left(\partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}=\partial_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}\left(\partial_{2} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)$, where the derivative is now taken w.r.t. the puncture $z_{2}$ in the middle of the chain $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}$. After manipulations similar to those in (4.3) to (4.7), we arrive at a second equation for the $\partial_{i} \boldsymbol{\Omega}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+s_{12}\right) \partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}+\left(s_{12}-s_{23}\right) \partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}=\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 23}, \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the building block $\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 23}$ on the right-hand side is again free of $z_{i}$-derivatives $\partial_{i} \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ but not a relabelling of $\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 23}$ in (4.9):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 23}:=\left(s_{23}\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}\right)+\partial_{\eta_{3}}\right)-s_{12} \hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{23}\right)-\left(s_{12}+s_{23}\right) \partial_{\eta_{2}}+\sum_{i=4}^{n} s_{2 i} f_{2 i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relabelling $2 \leftrightarrow 3$ in (4.8) and (4.10) by trading $\left(z_{2}, \eta_{2}, s_{12}\right) \leftrightarrow\left(z_{3}, \eta_{3}, s_{13}\right)$ yields another pair of equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1+s_{12}+s_{23}\right) \partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}-s_{12} \partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} & =\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 32}, \\
\left(1+s_{13}\right) \partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}+\left(s_{13}-s_{23}\right) \partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132} & =\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 32}, \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 32}=\left.\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 23}\right|_{2 \leftrightarrow 3}$ and $\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 32}=\left.\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 23}\right|_{2 \leftrightarrow 3}$ are obtained by relabelling (4.9) and (4.11).
Based on the four equations in (4.8), (4.10) and (4.12), we can now expand the four $z_{i}$-derivatives (4.1) in the chain basis,

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} & =\frac{\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 23}\left(1+s_{12}+s_{23}\right)+\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 32} s_{13}}{\left(1+s_{23}\right)\left(1+s_{123}\right)},  \tag{4.13}\\
\partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} & =\frac{\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 23}\left(1+s_{23}\right)\left(1+s_{123}\right)-\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 32} s_{13}\left(s_{12}-s_{23}\right)-\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 23}\left(s_{12}-s_{23}\right)\left(1+s_{12}+s_{23}\right)}{\left(1+s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{23}\right)\left(1+s_{123}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining two derivatives $\partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}$ and $\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}$ are obtained by relabelling $2 \leftrightarrow 3$. By the expressions (4.9) and (4.11) for $\boldsymbol{h}_{i \mid j i}$ and $\boldsymbol{h}_{i \mid i j}$, the right-hand sides of (4.13) are in a chain basis: similar to the comments below (3.7), each contribution to $\boldsymbol{h}_{i \mid j i}, \boldsymbol{h}_{i \mid i j}$ is one of

- a linear, $z_{i}$-independent operation (multiplication or $\eta_{i}$-derivative) of the chains $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}$ or $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}$ which can be pulled out of the integrals over the punctures,
- a Koba-Nielsen derivative $\nabla_{i}$ which will contribute factors of $\frac{\pi \bar{\eta}_{i}}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}$ in closed-string applications with complex conjugate chains and cycles, see (2.48) and (3.11),
- products of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}$ or $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}$ with $f_{3 i}^{(1)}$ or $f_{2 i}^{(1)}$ with $i \geq 4$ which fall into four-point chain bases (possibly after rearranging $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} f_{2 i}^{(1)}$ or $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132} f_{3 i}^{(1)}$ via Fay identities).


### 4.1.2 Breaking the length-three cycle

The chain-basis reduction (4.13) of derivatives $\partial_{i} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}$ is the key to break the cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(123)}(\xi)$. Using Fay identities, the length-three cycle can be reduced to length two,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(123)}(\xi)=\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{23}\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}+\xi\right) \Omega_{32}(\xi)-\Omega_{13}\left(\eta_{23}\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}+\xi\right) \Omega_{32}\left(\eta_{23}+\xi\right), \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can now follow (3.1) to convert the bilinears in $\Omega_{23}$ to $z$-derivatives. We again apply (4.4) to reorganize derivatives,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{23}\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}+\xi\right) \Omega_{32}(\xi) & =\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{23}\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}\right)\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}(\xi)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}+\xi\right)\right)+\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{23}\right) \partial_{2} \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}\right) \\
& =\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}(\xi)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}+\xi\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}-\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}, \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

and simplify the second term on the right-hand side of (4.14) with the same methods,

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(123)}(\xi)= & -\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}+\partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}+\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}(\xi)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}+\xi\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} \\
& +\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{23}+\xi\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}+\xi\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132} . \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the chain-basis expansion of the length-three cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(123)}(\xi)$ reduces to that of the $z_{i}$-derivatives (4.1) of the chains $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}$. Substituting the solutions (4.13) into (4.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(123)}(\xi)=\frac{\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 32}-\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 23}}{1+s_{123}}+\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}(\xi)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}+\xi\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}+\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{23}+\xi\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}+\xi\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note in particular that only the first tachyon pole from the expressions (4.13) for $\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} \sim$ $\left(1+s_{123}\right)^{-1}\left(1+s_{23}\right)^{-1}$ is left. Moreover, only $\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 23}$ and $\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 32}$ given by (4.9) appear in (4.13) whereas their relabelling-inequivalent counterparts $\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 23}, \boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 32}$ determined by (4.11) dropped out from (4.17). After inserting (4.9), our final result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(123)}(\xi)=\frac{\boldsymbol{M}_{123}(\xi)}{1+s_{123}}-\frac{1}{1+s_{123}}\left[\left(\sum_{i=4}^{n} x_{3, i}+\nabla_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}-\left(\sum_{i=4}^{n} x_{2, i}+\nabla_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}\right], \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x_{3, i}=s_{3 i} f_{3 i}^{(1)}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{M}_{123}(\xi):= & {\left[\left(\left(s_{13}+s_{23}\right) \partial_{\eta_{3}}-s_{23} \partial_{\eta_{2}}-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}\right)-s_{12} v_{1}\left(\eta_{3}, \eta_{2}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}-(2 \leftrightarrow 3)\right] } \\
& +\left(1+s_{123}\right)\left(v_{1}\left(\eta_{3}, \xi\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}-v_{1}\left(\eta_{2}, \eta_{3}+\xi\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}\right) . \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

The elliptic function $v_{1}\left(\eta_{3}, \xi\right)$ is defined in (3.5), and each term on the right-hand side of (4.18) is in a chain basis by the discussion below (4.13).

### 4.2 Length-four cycles

Also for the length-four cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(1234)}(\xi)=\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{234}+\xi\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{34}+\xi\right) \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}+\xi\right) \Omega_{41}(\xi)$, we follow the strategy of section 4.1 and start by reducing the 18 permutations of the $z_{i}$-derivatives $\partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}, \partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}, \partial_{4} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}$ to a chain basis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho(2,3,4)} \quad \text { with } 2 \leq i \leq 4, \rho \in S_{3} . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2.1 The F-IBP equation system for chain derivatives

As a convenient starting point for the F-IBP manipulations to expand the total of 18 derivatives (4.20) in a chain basis, we rewrite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{4} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}=\left(-s_{14} f_{14}^{(1)}-s_{24} f_{24}^{(1)}-s_{34} f_{34}^{(1)}+\sum_{i=5}^{n} s_{4 i} f_{4 i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{4}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

using $\left(\partial_{4} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}=\partial_{4}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}\left(\partial_{4} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)$. The products of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}$ with $f_{34}^{(1)}, f_{24}^{(1)}$ and $f_{14}^{(1)}$ on the right-hand side of (4.21) form $f-\Omega$ cycles in the sense of figure 3 of length two, three and four, respectively. Similar to (4.5), repeated Fay identities allow to reduce all of these $f-\Omega$ cycles to length two, i.e. to products of $f_{i 4}^{(1)} \Omega_{i 4}$ with chains. These products in turn can be readily converted to derivatives acting on a single $\Omega_{i j}$, see (2.23). Since none of these derivatives act on $\Omega_{i j}$ within cycles, all of the $\partial_{i}$ can be moved to act on full-fledged chains $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho(2,3,4)}$ by iterative use of (4.4). After applying these steps to all the products $f_{j 4}^{(1)} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}$ in (4.21) with $j=1,2,3$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34}\right) \partial_{4} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}-\left(s_{14}+s_{24}\right) \partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1243}-s_{14} \partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1423}+s_{14} \partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1432}=\boldsymbol{h}_{4 \mid 234}, \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the following combination is free of cycles or $z_{i}$-derivatives,

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{h}_{4 \mid 234}:= & \left(-\left(s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34}\right)\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{4}\right)+\partial_{\eta_{4}}\right)+s_{24} \partial_{\eta_{2}}+s_{34} \partial_{\eta_{3}}+\sum_{i=5}^{n} s_{4 i} f_{4 i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{4}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234} \\
& +\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{34}\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\right)\left(\left(s_{14}+s_{24}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1243}+s_{14} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1423}\right) \\
& +\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{34}\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{234}\right)\right) s_{14} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1432} . \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

The analogous IBPs $\left(\partial_{i} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}=\partial_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}\left(\partial_{i} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)$ with $i=2,3$, yield two other equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1+s_{12}\right) \partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}+\left(s_{12}-s_{23}\right) \partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}+\left(s_{12}-s_{23}-s_{24}\right) \partial_{4} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}+s_{24} \partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1243} & =\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 234}, \\
\left(1+s_{13}+s_{23}\right) \partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}+\left(s_{13}+s_{23}-s_{34}\right) \partial_{4} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}-s_{13} \partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1324}-s_{13} \partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1342} & =\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 234}, \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 234}, \boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 234}$ are free of $z$-derivatives, take a form similar to (4.23) but cannot be obtained from its relabellings. Permutations of (4.22) and (4.24) in the labels $2,3,4$ of $z_{i}, \eta_{i}, s_{i j}$ yield a system of 18 equations, which can be solved for the $18 z_{i}$-derivatives in (4.20). In particular, we arrive at an expression which is completely determined by permutations of $\boldsymbol{h}_{4 \mid 234}$ in (4.23),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{34}\right)\left(1+s_{234}\right)\left(1+s_{1234}\right) \partial_{4} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}=-\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 432} s_{14}\left(1+s_{23}+s_{34}\right)-\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 342} s_{13} s_{24}  \tag{4.25}\\
& \quad+\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 243}\left(s_{14}\left(1+s_{234}\right)+s_{24}\left(1+s_{12}+s_{234}\right)\right)+\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 423} s_{14}\left(1+s_{23}+s_{34}\right) \\
& \quad+\boldsymbol{h}_{4 \mid 234}\left(s_{12}\left(1+s_{23}+s_{34}\right)+\left(1+s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{34}\right)\left(1+s_{234}\right)\right)+\boldsymbol{h}_{4 \mid 324} s_{13} s_{24}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. where all permutations of $\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 234}$ and $\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 234}$ in 2,3,4 dropped out.

### 4.2.2 Breaking the length-four cycle

The next step is to apply the chain expansion (4.25) of $\partial_{4} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}$ and its relabellings to break the length-four cycle. Similar to the length-three strategy in (4.14), we reduce $\boldsymbol{C}_{(1234)}(\xi)$ to a combination of length-two cycles (possibly multiplied by chains) by virtue of Fay identities,

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(1234)}(\xi)= & -\Omega_{14}\left(\eta_{234}\right)\left[\Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{34}+\xi\right) \Omega_{32}\left(\eta_{4}+\xi\right)\right] \Omega_{42}\left(\eta_{23}\right)  \tag{4.26}\\
& +\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{234}\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{34}\right)\left[\Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}+\xi\right) \Omega_{43}(\xi)\right] \\
& +\Omega_{14}\left(\eta_{234}\right)\left[\Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{34}+\xi\right) \Omega_{32}\left(\eta_{234}+\xi\right)\right] \Omega_{43}\left(\eta_{23}\right) \\
& -\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{234}\right) \Omega_{24}\left(\eta_{34}\right)\left[\Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}+\xi\right) \Omega_{43}\left(\eta_{34}+\xi\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The length-two cycles on the right-hand side are once more converted to derivatives $\partial \Omega$ using (2.13), for instance,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{34}+\xi\right) \Omega_{32}\left(\eta_{4}+\xi\right)=\Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}\right)\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{4}+\xi\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{34}+\xi\right)\right)+\partial_{2} \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}\right) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, the rearrangement of derivatives via (4.4) leads to $\partial_{i}$ acting on full-fledged chains, for example $\Omega_{14}\left(\eta_{234}\right) \Omega_{42}\left(\eta_{23}\right) \partial_{2} \Omega_{23}\left(\eta_{3}\right)=-\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1423}$. Moreover, Fay identities (e.g. their shuffle representation in section 2.3.3) allow to express all the products of three $\Omega_{i j}$ in (4.26) in terms of the six chains $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho(2,3,4)}$. Based on these manipulations, the expression (4.26) for the cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(1234)}(\xi)$ reduces to four-point chains and their $z$-derivatives,

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(1234)}(\xi)= & \partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1243}+\partial_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1423}-\partial_{4} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}-\partial_{2} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1432} \\
& +\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}(\xi)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{4}+\xi\right)\right)+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1432}\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{34}+\xi\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{234}+\xi\right)\right) \\
& +\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1243}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1423}\right)\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{34}+\xi\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{4}+\xi\right)\right) \tag{4.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the chain-expansion of $\partial_{4} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}$ in (4.25) and its relabellings into (4.28), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(1234)}(\xi)= & \frac{\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 243}+\boldsymbol{h}_{3 \mid 423}-\boldsymbol{h}_{4 \mid 234}-\boldsymbol{h}_{2 \mid 432}}{1+s_{1234}}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}(\xi)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{4}+\xi\right)\right)  \tag{4.29}\\
& +\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1243}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1423}\right)\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{34}+\xi\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{4}+\xi\right)\right) \\
& +\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1432}\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{34}+\xi\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{234}+\xi\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In spite of the multiple tachyon poles $\left(1+s_{j k}\right)^{-1}\left(1+s_{i j k}\right)^{-1}\left(1+s_{1 i j k}\right)^{-1}$ in the expression (4.25) for the individual $\partial_{k} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 i j k}$, only the four-particle pole in $\left(1+s_{1234}\right)$ is left. Plugging in the expression (4.23) for $\boldsymbol{h}_{4 \mid 234}$ and its relabellings leads to the final result

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{C}_{(1234)}(\xi)=\frac{\boldsymbol{M}_{1234}(\xi)}{1+s_{1234}}-\frac{1}{1+s_{1234}} {[ } \\
&\left(\sum_{i=5}^{n} s_{4 i} f_{4 i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{4}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}+\left(\sum_{i=5}^{n} s_{2 i} f_{2 i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1432}  \tag{4.30}\\
&\left.-\left(\sum_{i=5}^{n} s_{3 i} f_{3 i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{3}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1423}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1243}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{M}_{1234}(\xi):=\left(1+s_{1234}\right)\left[\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234} v_{1}\left(\eta_{4}, \xi\right)+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1432} v_{1}\left(\eta_{2}, \eta_{34}+\xi\right)-\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1423}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1243}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{3}, \eta_{4}+\xi\right)\right] \\
& +\left[\left(\left(s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34}\right) \partial_{\eta_{4}}-s_{24} \partial_{\eta_{2}}-s_{34} \partial_{\eta_{3}}-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{4}\right)-\left(s_{13}+s_{23}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{4}, \eta_{3}\right)-s_{12} v_{1}\left(\eta_{4}, \eta_{23}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}\right. \\
& \quad-\left(\left(s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{34}\right) \partial_{\eta_{3}}-s_{23} \partial_{\eta_{2}}-s_{34} \partial_{\eta_{4}}-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}\right)-\left(s_{12}+s_{24}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{3}, \eta_{2}\right)-s_{14} v_{1}\left(\eta_{3}, \eta_{4}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1423} \\
& \quad+(2 \leftrightarrow 4)]-s_{13}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1324}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1342}\right)\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{23}\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{34}\right)+\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{234}\right)\right), \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where the relabelling $2 \leftrightarrow 4$ applies to the second and third lines and concerns the labels of all of $\eta_{i}, s_{i j}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 i j k}$. Note that the combination in the last line can be written as a combination of the elliptic function in (3.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1}\left(\eta_{3},-\eta_{23}\right)+v_{1}\left(\eta_{234},-\eta_{34}\right) & =\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{23}\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{34}\right)+\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{234}\right)  \tag{4.32}\\
& =g^{(1)}\left(\eta_{3}\right)-g^{(1)}\left(\eta_{23}\right)-g^{(1)}\left(\eta_{34}\right)+g^{(1)}\left(\eta_{234}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.3 Generalization to cycles of arbitrary length

With the experience from the previous sections, we shall now perform the chain reduction of cycles $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)$ of arbitrary length $m \geq 2$ in presence of Koba-Nielsen factors $\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}$ at $n \geq m$ points. Following (4.14) and (4.26), a key step is to apply Fay identities to rewrite $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)$ as a combination of chains of lower length multiplying length-two cycles $\Omega_{i j} \Omega_{j i}$. The latter can be immediately converted to derivatives $\partial_{i} \Omega_{i j}$ using (2.13) and ultimately to derivatives of length- $m$ chains via (4.4), resulting in the all-multiplicity formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)=\sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\in \in\{2,3, \cdots, b-1\} \\ \uplus\{m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b}\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{b+1, \cdots, m}+\xi\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{b, \cdots, m}+\xi\right)-\partial_{b}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}, \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

see (4.16) and (4.28) for the examples at $m=3$ and $m=4$. The leftover task is to set up and solve an F-IBP equation system that reduces the $(m-1)(m-1)$ ! derivatives of the shuffle-independent chains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho(2,3, \cdots, m)} \quad \text { with } 2 \leq i \leq m, \rho \in S_{m-1} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

to chains without any $z_{i}$-derivatives.

### 4.3.1 The F-IBP equation system for chain derivatives

As a generalization of (4.2) and (4.21), our starting point to generate F-IBP relations is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{m} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots m}=\left(-\sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} s_{\ell, m} f_{\ell, m}^{(1)}+\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} s_{m, i} f_{m, i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{m}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots m}, \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

based on $\left(\partial_{m} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots m}\right) \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}=\partial_{m}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots m} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots m}\left(\partial_{m} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}\right)$. The products of $f_{\ell, m}^{(1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots m}$ form $f-\Omega$ cycles in sense of figure 3 whose lengths range between two and $m$. Fay identities of the chains then reduce any $f-\Omega$ cycle to length two, which can be immediately converted to derivatives acting on a single $\Omega$. By the absence of cycles at this stage, these derivatives can again be rearranged via (4.4) to act on full-fledged chains, and we obtain the following $m$-point generalization of (4.8) and (4.22)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{m} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots m}+\sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, b-1\} \amalg\{m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}(-1)^{m-b} S_{m, \rho} \partial_{b} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}=\boldsymbol{h}_{m \mid 23 \cdots m}, \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the following generalization of (4.9) and (4.23) is free of cycles or $z_{i}$-derivatives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{h}_{m \mid 23 \cdots m}:=\left(\sum_{i=2}^{m-1} s_{i, m} \partial_{\eta_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} s_{i, m}\left(\partial_{\eta_{m}}+\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{m}\right)\right)+\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} s_{m i} f_{m i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{m}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots m} \\
& \quad+\sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\rho \in\{2, \cdots, b-1\} \amalg\{m, \cdots, b+1\}}(-1)^{m-b} S_{m, \rho}\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{b+1, \cdots, m}\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{b, \cdots, m}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b} . \tag{4.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, both of (4.36) and (4.37) feature the following shorthand $S_{j, \rho}$ for sums of Mandelstam variables

$$
S_{j, \rho}:= \begin{cases}s_{1 j}+\sum_{i \in \rho} s_{i j} & : \text { if } j \notin \rho  \tag{4.38}\\ s_{1 j}+\sum_{\substack{i \in \rho \\ i \text { precedes } j \text { in } \rho}} s_{i j} & : \text { if } j \in \rho\end{cases}
$$

e.g. $S_{4,23}=S_{4,234}=s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34}$ as well as $S_{4,423}=s_{14}$ and $S_{5,42536}=s_{15}+s_{45}+s_{25}$.

The same methods can be used to obtain representations of $\partial_{i} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho(2, \cdots, m)}$ similar to (4.36) for any $i=2,3, \ldots, m-1$ and $\rho \in S_{m-1}$. This leads to a total of $(m-1)(m-1)!$ F-IBP relations which suffice to solve for the chain derivatives in (4.34).

### 4.3.2 General formula for $\boldsymbol{m}$-cycles

The only chain derivatives in the expression (4.33) for the length- $m$ cycle are w.r.t. the endpoint, i.e. $\partial_{m} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots m}$ and its $(m-1)$ ! permutations in $2,3, \ldots, m$. Hence, the solution of the F-IBP relations (4.36) implements its reduction to undifferentiated chains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)=\sum_{\substack{b=2}}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2, \cdots, b-1\} \\ ш\{m, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b}\left(\frac{-\boldsymbol{h}_{b \mid \rho, b}}{1+s_{12 \cdots m}}+\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{b+1, \cdots, m}+\xi\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{b, \cdots, m}+\xi\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b} \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the expression (4.37) for $\boldsymbol{h}_{b \mid \rho, b}$ leads to the following closed formula for arbitrary cycle length $m$ and Koba-Nielsen multiplicity $n \geq m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)=\frac{\boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}(\xi)}{1+s_{12 \cdots m}}-\frac{1}{1+s_{12 \cdots m}} \sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, b-1\} \\ \\ w m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b}\left(\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} x_{b, i}+\nabla_{b}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}, \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

see (3.7), (4.18) and (4.30) for examples at $m=2,3$ and 4 . While the contributions from $x_{b, i}$ yield $(m+1)$-point chains upon multiplication by $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}$, the numerator $\boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}(\xi)$ generalizing (3.6), (4.19) and (4.31) is expressed in terms of the conjectural $(m-1)$ ! basis
of $m$－point chains $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \alpha(2,3, \ldots, m)}$ with $\alpha \in S_{m-1}$ ，

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}(\xi):=\sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, b-1\} \\
山\{m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i b} \partial_{\eta_{b}}-\sum_{i=2}^{m} s_{i b} \partial_{\eta_{i}}+\left(1+s_{12 \cdots m}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{b}, \eta_{b+1, \cdots, m}+\xi\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{b}\right)-\sum_{i=2}^{b-1} S_{i, \rho} v_{1}\left(\eta_{b}, \eta_{i, i+1, \cdots, b-1}\right)-\sum_{i=b+1}^{m} S_{i, \rho} v_{1}\left(\eta_{b}, \eta_{b+1, b+2, \cdots, i}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b} \\
& +\sum_{\leq p<u<v<w<q \leq m+1}(-1)^{m+u+v+w}\left(v_{1}\left(\eta_{u+1, \cdots, w-1},-\eta_{u, \cdots, w-1}\right)+v_{1}\left(\eta_{u, \cdots, w}-\eta_{u+1, \cdots, w}\right)\right)  \tag{4.41}\\
& \times\left(\sum_{i=q}^{m} s_{v i}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} s_{v i}\right) \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, p\} \uplus\{m, m-1, \cdots, q\} \\
\gamma \in\{p+1, p+2, \cdots, 1-1\} 山 v-1, v-2, \ldots, u+1\} \\
\pi \in\{v+1, v+2, \cdots, w-1\} \uplus\{q-1, q-2, \cdots, w+1\}}} \sum_{\sigma \in\{\gamma, u\} \uplus\{\pi, w\}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, v, \sigma},
\end{align*}
$$

which has been checked up to and including $m=10$ ．In sections 4．3．3 and 4．3．4 below，we shall give a more detailed discussion of the contributions $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}$ in the second line and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, v, \sigma}$ in the fourth line．The sums of $v_{1}$ functions in the third line can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1}\left(\eta_{u+1, \cdots, w-1},-\eta_{u, \cdots, w-1}\right)+v_{1}\left(\eta_{u, \cdots, w},-\eta_{u+1, \cdots, w}\right)  \tag{4.42}\\
& =\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{u+1, \cdots, w-1}\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{u, \cdots, w-1}\right)-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{u+1, \cdots, w}\right)+\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{u, \cdots, w}\right) \\
& =g^{(1)}\left(\eta_{u+1, \cdots, w-1}\right)-g^{(1)}\left(\eta_{u, \cdots, w-1}\right)-g^{(1)}\left(\eta_{u+1, \cdots, w}\right)+g^{(1)}\left(\eta_{u, \cdots, w}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

see（4．32）for a length－four example．Starting from $m=6$ ，the expression（4．41）does not involve all the（ $m-1$ ）！independent chains（e．g． $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132546}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{153246}$ do not occur in $\boldsymbol{M}_{123456}$ ）．

We emphasize that（4．40）is an exact formula instead of an equivalence relation under IBP，since we have tracked the Koba－Nielsen derivatives $\nabla_{b}(\cdots)$ ．Hence，our chain reduction of length－$m$ cycles can be readily applied in a closed－string context：similar to the two－point example in（3．9）to（3．11），any contribution of $\nabla_{b} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}$ to（4．40）in presence of $\overline{\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \sigma(2, \cdots, m)}}$ or $\overline{\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)}$ translates into $-\frac{\pi \bar{\eta}_{b}}{\operatorname{Im} \tau} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}$ ．

The expansion of our key result（4．40）in the bookkeeping variables $\eta_{23 \ldots m}+\xi, \eta_{3 \ldots m}+\xi$ ， $\cdots, \eta_{m}+\xi, \xi$ yields the F－IBP reduction of cycles $f_{12}^{\left(k_{1}\right)} f_{23}^{\left(k_{2}\right)} \ldots f_{m-1, m}^{\left(k_{m-1}\right)} f_{m 1}^{\left(k_{m}\right)}$ to chains．In this way，the complexity of closed－and open－string integrands（2．29）can be dramatically reduced，see section 4.4 below for examples．

## 4．3．3 Examples of contributions $\Omega_{1, \rho, b}$ to（4．41）

The contributions to $\boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}(\xi)$ of the form $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}$ in the first two lines of（4．41）are illustrated up to four points by（3．6），（4．19）and（4．31）．We shall here add examples of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}$ in the
analogous reduction of length－five chains，

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\boldsymbol{M}_{12345}(\xi)\right|_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12345}}= & \left(s_{15}+s_{25}+s_{35}+s_{45}\right) \partial_{\eta_{5}}-s_{25} \partial_{\eta_{2}}-s_{35} \partial_{\eta_{3}}-s_{45} \partial_{\eta_{4}}-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{5}\right) \\
& -s_{12} v_{1}\left(\eta_{5}, \eta_{234}\right)-\left(s_{13}+s_{23}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{5}, \eta_{34}\right)-\left(s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{5}, \eta_{4}\right) \\
& +\left(1+s_{12345}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{5}, \xi\right), \\
\left.\boldsymbol{M}_{12345}(\xi)\right|_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{15234}}= & -\left(s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34}+s_{45}\right) \partial_{\eta_{4}}+s_{24} \partial_{\eta_{2}}+s_{34} \partial_{\eta_{3}}+s_{45} \partial_{\eta_{5}}+\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{4}\right) \\
& +s_{15} v_{1}\left(\eta_{4}, \eta_{5}\right)+\left(s_{12}+s_{25}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{4}, \eta_{23}\right)+\left(s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{35}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{4}, \eta_{3}\right) \\
& -\left(1+s_{12345}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{4}, \eta_{5}+\xi\right), \tag{4.43}
\end{align*}
$$

where neither $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12345}$ nor $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{15234}$ arise in the last two lines of（4．41）at $m=5$ ．

## 4．3．4 Examples of contributions $\Omega_{1, \rho, v, \sigma}$ to（4．41）

The contributions to $\boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}(\xi)$ of the form $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, v, \sigma}$ in the last two lines of（4．41）firstly arise at $m=4$ ，see the terms $\sim s_{13}$ in the last line of（4．31）．While the coefficients of these chains $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, v, \sigma}$ are simple combinations of elliptic $v_{1}$ functions and Mandelstam variables，the coupled summation ranges call for further illustration：the condition $1 \leq p<u<v<w<q \leq m+1$ in the third line of（4．41）implies $p+4 \leq q$ and $p+2 \leq v \leq q-2$ ．When $q=m+1$ ，which can be identified as 1 modulo $m$ ，the set $\{m, m-1, \cdots, q\}$ is understood as the empty set $\emptyset$ and $\sum_{i=q}^{m} s_{v i}=0$ ．

The way how a given choice of $(p, u, v, w, q)$ translates into the ordered sets $\rho, \sigma$ of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, v, \sigma}$ in the fourth line of（4．41）is illustrated in figure 4 below．The outermost summation variables $p, q$ delimit ordered sets $\{2,3, \cdots, p\}$ and $\{m, m-1, \cdots, q\}$ whose shuffle gives rise to $\rho$ ．The remaining summation variables $u, v, w$ then separate the ordered set $\{p+1, p+2, \ldots, q-1\}$ into four parts which determine $\sigma$ through an iteration of shuffles and reversals detailed in figure 4.

In the length－four example（4．31），the only admissible choice for the summation variables is $p=q=1, u=2, v=3, w=4$ ．All of $\rho, \gamma, \pi$ in the fourth line of（4．41）then reduce to empty sets and $\sigma=2 \amalg 4=\{24,42\}$ ，leading to the contributions $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1324}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1342}$ ．At length $m=5$ ，the last two lines of（4．41）yield a total of 16 contributions $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 i j k l}$ to $\boldsymbol{M}_{12345}$ after expanding out all shuffles，

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(v_{1}\left(\eta_{3},-\eta_{23}\right)+v_{1}\left(\eta_{234},-\eta_{34}\right)\right) s_{13}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{13254}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{13524}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{13542}\right)  \tag{4.44}\\
& -\left(v_{1}\left(\eta_{34},-\eta_{234}\right)+v_{1}\left(\eta_{2345},-\eta_{345}\right)\right) s_{13}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{13245}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{13425}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{13452}\right) \\
& -\left(v_{1}\left(\eta_{4},-\eta_{34}\right)+v_{1}\left(\eta_{345},-\eta_{45}\right)\right)\left(s_{14}+s_{24}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12435}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12453}\right)-(23 \leftrightarrow 54),
\end{align*}
$$

where the simultaneous relabelling $2 \leftrightarrow 5$ and $3 \leftrightarrow 4$ applies to all the three lines and concerns the labels of all of $\eta_{i}, s_{i j}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 i j k l}$ ，for instance，$\left.v_{1}\left(\eta_{3},-\eta_{23}\right) s_{13} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{13254}\right|_{23 \leftrightarrow 54}=$ $v_{1}\left(\eta_{4},-\eta_{45}\right) s_{14} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{14523}$ ．

The first example where both $\gamma$ and $\pi$ in the last line of（4．41）are non－empty sets appears at length $m=6$ ．For example，the choice $p=q=1, u=2, v=4, w=6$ corresponds to the following contribution to $\boldsymbol{M}_{123456}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(v_{1}\left(\eta_{345},-\eta_{2345}\right)+v_{1}\left(\eta_{23456},-\eta_{3456}\right)\right) s_{14}  \tag{4.45}\\
& \times\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{143256}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{143526}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{143562}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{145326}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{145362}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{145632}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 4. Illustration of the way the ordered sets $\rho$ and $\sigma$ specifying the chains $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, v, \sigma}$ in the last two lines of (4.41) are formed for a given choice of the summation variables $p, u, v, w, q$. Arrows indicate where ordered sets are reversed before the shuffle.

After expanding out all shuffles, it becomes evident that the number of terms $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}$ in the first two lines of (4.41) is equal to $\sum_{b=2}^{m}\binom{m-2}{b-2}=2^{m-2}$. Importantly, it should be noted that each $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, v, \sigma}$ appearing in the last two lines of (4.41) is distinct for different choices of $\{p, u, v, w, q\}$ and $\{\rho, \gamma, \pi, \sigma\}$. Consequently, the total number of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, v, \sigma}$ in the last two lines can be determined as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1 \leq p<u<v<w<q \leq m+1}\binom{m+p-q}{m-q+1}\binom{v-p-2}{v-u-1}\binom{q-v-2}{q-w-1}\binom{q-p-2}{q-v-1}=2^{2 m-5}-(m-1) 2^{m-3} \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the counting at $m=2,3,4,5,6,7,8$ is $0,0,2,16,88,416,1824$, respectively, which coincides with the sequence on the right-hand side inferred from [63].

### 4.4 Applications

With the general formula (4.40), we now have the means to break a Kronecker-Eisenstein cycle (2.46) of arbitrary length $m$. However, when dealing with string integrands in (2.29), the focus shifts to handling cycles $f_{12}^{\left(k_{1}\right)} f_{23}^{\left(k_{2}\right)} \ldots f_{m-1, m}^{\left(k_{m-1}\right)} f_{m 1}^{\left(k_{m}\right)}$ of Kronecker-Eisenstein coefficients at given $k_{i} \neq 0$ instead of their generating series $\Omega_{i j}(\eta)$. A prime example of this is the cycle of $f_{i j}^{(1)}$ found in the elliptic functions $V_{m}(1,2, \ldots, m)$ to be reviewed below which enter correlators of various string theories.

In this section, we utilize (4.40) as a generating function of component formulae for breaking the cycles of Kronecker-Eisenstein coefficients. We also introduce several helpful techniques to simplify the computation process.

### 4.4.1 Elliptic functions

An elegant construction of elliptic functions of $m$ punctures $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{m}$ is based on cyclic products of meromorphic or equivalently doubly-periodic ${ }^{5}$ Kronecker-Eisenstein series at the same second argument $\eta[21,22,64]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(z_{12}, \eta, \tau\right) F\left(z_{23}, \eta, \tau\right) \ldots F\left(z_{m, 1}, \eta, \tau\right) \\
& =\Omega\left(z_{12}, \eta, \tau\right) \Omega\left(z_{23}, \eta, \tau\right) \ldots \Omega\left(z_{m, 1}, \eta, \tau\right) \\
& =: \eta^{-m} \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} \eta^{w} V_{w}(1,2, \ldots, m \mid \tau) \tag{4.47}
\end{align*}
$$

The elliptic functions $V_{w}(1,2, \ldots, m)$ in the $\eta$-expansion are indexed by their holomorphic modular weight $w \geq 0$. At fixed multiplicity $m$, their instances with weight $0 \leq w \leq m$ are sufficient to recover cases with $w>m$ via linear combinations with holomorphic Eisenstein series $\mathrm{G}_{k}$ as coefficients, e.g. $V_{4}(1,2)=3 \mathrm{G}_{4}$ or $V_{5}(1,2,3)=3 \mathrm{G}_{4} V_{1}(1,2,3)$. The $\eta$-expansion of the left-hand side of (4.47) yields the following representations in terms of $f_{i j}^{(w)}$ (manifesting doubly-periodicity) or equivalently $g_{i j}^{(w)}$ (manifesting meromorphicity)

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{w}(1,2, \ldots, m) & =\sum_{k_{1}+k_{2}+\ldots+k_{m}=w} f_{12}^{\left(k_{1}\right)} f_{23}^{\left(k_{2}\right)} \ldots f_{m-1, m}^{\left(k_{m-1}\right)} f_{m 1}^{\left(k_{m}\right)}  \tag{4.48}\\
& =\sum_{k_{1}+k_{2}+\ldots+k_{m}=w} g_{12}^{\left(k_{1}\right)} g_{23}^{\left(k_{2}\right)} \ldots g_{m-1, m}^{\left(k_{m-1}\right)} g_{m 1}^{\left(k_{m}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

with cyclic identification $z_{m+1}=z_{1}$, for instance

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{0}(1,2, \ldots, m)=1, \quad V_{1}(1,2, \ldots, m)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{j, j+1}^{(1)}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{j, j+1}^{(1)} \\
& V_{2}(1,2, \ldots, m)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{j, j+1}^{(2)}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=i+1}^{m} f_{i, i+1}^{(1)} f_{j, j+1}^{(1)} \tag{4.49}
\end{align*}
$$

The notation for $v_{1}$ in the definition (3.5) is motivated by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}\left(z_{12}, z_{23}\right)=V_{1}(1,2,3) \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the elliptic function $V_{1}(1,2, \ldots, m)$ at $m=3$.

### 4.4.2 Breaking $V_{m}(1,2, \ldots, m)$

Based on Fay identities, any $V_{w}(1,2, \ldots, m)$ with $w<m$ can be expressed in terms of expansion coefficients in permutations of the chain $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots m}$ in (2.41). However, this is not the case for $V_{w}(1,2, \ldots, m)$ at $w=m$ since it includes the $f$-cycle $f_{12}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(1)} \ldots f_{m-1, m}^{(1)} f_{m 1}^{(1)}$. To handle this specific case, we will isolate suitable components of the generating-function identity (4.40) due to IBP to break the $f$-cycle. As discussed at the four-point level in [23], the breaking of cycles of $f_{i j}^{(1)}$ has immediate applications in the gauge sector of heterotic-string amplitudes.

[^4]According to $(4.47)$, the function $V_{m}(1,2, \ldots, m)$ can be produced from the KroneckerEisenstein cycle via

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{m}(1,2, \cdots, m)=\left.\left(\Omega_{m, 1}(\xi) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \Omega_{i, i+1}(\xi)\right)\right|_{\xi^{0}}=\left.\left(\lim _{\eta_{m} \rightarrow 0} \cdots \lim _{\eta_{3} \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\eta_{2} \rightarrow 0} \boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)\right)\right|_{\xi^{0}} \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the right-hand side of (4.51), one can also trade the operations of taking limits for taking coefficients,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\eta_{m} \rightarrow 0}\left(\cdots\left(\lim _{\eta_{3} \rightarrow 0}\left(\lim _{\eta_{2} \rightarrow 0} \boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)\right)\right) \cdots\right) & =\left(\left.\cdots\left(\left.\left(\left.\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}}\right)\right|_{\eta_{3}^{0}}\right) \cdots\right|_{\eta_{m}^{0}}\right) \\
& =: \boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)| |_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}} \tag{4.52}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last equality, we have introduced the shorthand notation $\|_{\eta_{i}^{0}, \eta_{j}^{0}, \ldots}$ for the process of taking limits in a specific order. Applying this operation to the single-cycle formula (4.40), we break $V_{m}(1,2, \cdots, m)$ into pieces free of cycles,

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{m}(1,2, \cdots, m)= & \frac{\boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}(\xi) \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}, \xi^{0}}}{1+s_{12 \cdots m}}-\frac{1}{1+s_{12 \cdots m}} \sum_{b=2}^{m}(-1)^{m-b}  \tag{4.53}\\
& \times \sum_{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, b-1\} \uplus\{m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}\left(\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} s_{b i} f_{b i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{b}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b} \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}} .
\end{align*}
$$

At length $m=2$ in presence of an $n$-point Koba-Nielsen factor, this specializes to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}(1,2)=\frac{1}{1+s_{12}}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{12}(\xi) \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \xi^{0}}-f_{12}^{(1)} \sum_{i=3}^{n} s_{2 i} f_{2 i}^{(1)}-\nabla_{2} f_{12}^{(1)}\right), \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the expansion of $\hat{g}^{(1)}$ in (2.14) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{M}_{12}(\xi)| |_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \xi^{0}} & =\left.s_{12} \partial_{\eta_{2}} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}}-\left.\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}}+\left(1+s_{12}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{2}, \xi\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)| |_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \xi^{0}} \\
& =2 s_{12} f_{12}^{(2)}+\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2} \tag{4.55}
\end{align*}
$$

and results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}(1,2)=\frac{1}{1+s_{12}}\left(2 s_{12} f_{12}^{(2)}+\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2}-f_{12}^{(1)} \sum_{i=3}^{n} s_{2 i} f_{2 i}^{(1)}-\nabla_{2} f_{12}^{(1)}\right) \tag{4.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

This example illustrates the convenience of taking coefficients of $\eta_{2}^{0}$ and $\xi^{0}$ in (4.52) as compared to taking limits: the operation $\|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \xi^{0}}$ can be individually employed to separate parts of (4.40). However, we emphasize that the operation $\|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \ldots, \eta_{m}^{0}}$ defined in (4.52) carries the information on the ordering used to extract the coefficients. This ordering is inherited from the ordering used to take the limits. Once an ordering of $\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \ldots, \eta_{m}^{0}$ is chosen, it must be consistently applied to every term on the right-hand side of (4.53). The non-trivial dependence on the ordering is exemplified by ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}}=f_{12}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(1)}+f_{12}^{(2)}+f_{23}^{(2)}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}\left\|_{\eta_{3}^{0}, \eta_{2}^{0}}=f_{12}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(1)}+f_{12}^{(2)} \neq \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}\right\|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}} \tag{4.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^5]For any alternative ordering, such as $\|_{\eta_{m}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{3}^{0}, \eta_{2}^{0}}$, it is evident from the definition (2.46) that $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}| |_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}}=\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}| |_{\eta_{m}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{3}^{0}, \eta_{2}^{0}}$ while

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}(\xi)\left\|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}, \xi^{0}}=\boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}(\xi)\right\|_{\eta_{m}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{3}^{0}, \eta_{2}^{0}, \xi^{0}} \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

can only be established by applying Fay identities.

### 4.4.3 Tools for extracting coefficients

Notice that the length- $m$ chains $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \rho(2 \cdots m)}$ in the expression (4.41) for $\boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}$ do not depend on the auxiliary variable $\xi$. The $\xi$-dependence entirely resides in the elliptic functions $v_{1}\left(\eta_{p}, \eta_{p+1 \cdots m}+\xi\right)$, and we can easily extract the $\xi^{0}$ coefficients by combinations of ${ }^{7}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{g}^{(1)}(\xi) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \cdots}| |_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}, \xi^{0}} & =0  \tag{4.59}\\
\left.\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{I}+\xi\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \cdots}\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}, \xi^{0}} & =\left(\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{I}\right)-\frac{1}{\eta_{I}}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \cdots} \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}}, \text { for } I \neq \emptyset
\end{align*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1}\left(\eta_{m}, \eta_{m}+\xi\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \cdots} \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}, \xi^{0}} & =\frac{1}{\eta_{m}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \cdots} \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}}  \tag{4.60}\\
v_{1}\left(\eta_{p}, \eta_{p+1 \cdots m}+\xi\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \cdots} \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}, \xi^{0}} & =\left(v_{1}\left(\eta_{p}, \eta_{p+1 \cdots m}\right)+\frac{1}{\eta_{p \cdots m}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{p+1 \cdots m}}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \cdots} \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

for $p<m$. Note that the right-hand side further simplifies in view of $v_{1}\left(\eta_{p}, \eta_{p+1, \cdots, m}\right)+$ $\frac{1}{\eta_{p, \cdots, m}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{p+1, \cdots, m}}=\frac{1}{\eta_{p}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\eta_{i}\right)$. Thus, (4.60) furnishes a convenient lemma to perform the $\|_{\xi^{0}}$ operation in (4.53).

Another useful identity is ${ }^{8}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\eta_{i}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \cdots}\left\|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}}=\frac{1}{\eta_{i}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \cdots}\right\|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}}, \quad \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq m \tag{4.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that all derivative operators $\partial_{\eta_{i}}$ entering (4.53) through the expression (4.41) for $\boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}$ can be traded for simple multiplications.

### 4.4.4 Length-three example

At length $m=3$, the general formula (4.53) for the chain decomposition of $V_{m}(1,2, \ldots, m)$ specializes to

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{3}(1,2,3)=\frac{\boldsymbol{M}_{123}(\xi) \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \xi^{0}}}{1+s_{123}}-\frac{1}{1+s_{123}} {[ } \\
&\left(\sum_{i=4}^{n} s_{3 i} f_{3 i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{3}\right)\left(f_{12}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(1)}+f_{12}^{(2)}+f_{23}^{(2)}\right)  \tag{4.62}\\
&\left.+\left(\sum_{i=4}^{n} s_{2 i} f_{2 i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{2}\right)\left(f_{13}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(1)}-f_{13}^{(2)}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

[^6]see (4.19) for $\boldsymbol{M}_{123}(\xi)$, and the tools of section 4.4.3 for taking coefficients yield
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{M}_{123}(\xi) \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \xi^{0}}= & \hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2} V_{1}(1,2,3)+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}\left(\frac{s_{12}}{\eta_{23}}+\frac{2\left(s_{13}+s_{23}\right)}{\eta_{3}}-\frac{s_{12}+s_{23}}{\eta_{2}}\right) \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}} \\
& +\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}\left(-\frac{s_{13}}{\eta_{23}}+\frac{s_{13}+s_{23}}{\eta_{3}}-\frac{2\left(s_{12}+s_{23}\right)}{\eta_{2}}\right) \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}} \tag{4.63}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Extracting the coefficients of $\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}$ on the right-hand side is straightforward, for example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}}{\eta_{23}}\left\|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}}=\frac{\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}}{\eta_{3}}\right\|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}}=f_{23}^{(1)} f_{12}^{(2)}+f_{12}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(2)}+f_{12}^{(3)}+f_{23}^{(3)}, \tag{4.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{M}_{123}(\xi) \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \xi^{0}}= & \hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2} V_{1}(1,2,3)+\left(2 s_{12}+s_{23}\right) f_{23}^{(1)} f_{13}^{(2)}+\left(2 s_{13}+s_{23}\right) f_{23}^{(1)} f_{12}^{(2)} \\
& +f_{23}^{(2)}\left(\left(s_{12}+2 s_{13}+2 s_{23}\right) f_{12}^{(1)}-2\left(s_{12}+s_{23}\right) f_{13}^{(1)}\right) \\
& +\left(2 s_{13}-s_{12}\right) f_{12}^{(3)}+\left(s_{13}-2 s_{12}\right) f_{13}^{(3)}+\left(2 s_{12}+2 s_{13}+3 s_{23}\right) f_{23}^{(3)} . \tag{4.65}
\end{align*}
$$

Except for the first term $s_{12} f_{12}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(2)}$ of the second line, the right-hand side is manifestly antisymmetric under $2 \leftrightarrow 3$. The exceptional term $s_{12} f_{12}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(2)}$ in (4.65) compensates for the lack of $-\left(\sum_{i=4}^{n} s_{2 i} f_{2 i}^{(1)}+\nabla_{2}\right) f_{23}^{(2)}$ on the right-hand side of (4.62) such that the antisymmetry $V_{3}(1,2,3)=-V_{3}(1,3,2)$ is preserved.

Additional examples for the chain decomposition of $V_{m}(1,2, \ldots, m)$ up to and including $m=5$ are provided in appendix A. We have checked via Fay identities that the results obtained at $m=4$ points agree with those reported in the literature [23, 65]. Higher-point cases of our general results (4.53) at $m \geq 5$ cannot be found in earlier work.

### 4.5 Reformulations of the single-cycle formula

Our presentation of the F-IBP decomposition (4.40) of Kronecker-Eisenstein cycles $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}$ singles out the first puncture $z_{1}$ in two respects: first, the permutations $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\sigma(12 \ldots m)}, \sigma \in S_{m}$ of the chains on the right-hand side are given in the ( $m-1$ )!-basis of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \rho(2 \ldots m)}$ w.r.t. the Fay identities (2.43) where the permutations $\rho \in S_{m-1}$ do not act on $z_{1}$. Second, the integrations by parts reflected by the $\nabla_{2}, \nabla_{3}, \ldots, \nabla_{m}$ in (4.40) exclude derivatives $\nabla_{1}$ w.r.t. $z_{1}$. While a change of $(m-1)$ ! basis of chains can be straightforwardly performed via (2.43), the goal of this section is to spell out reformulations of (4.40) where a general $\nabla_{a \neq 1}$ rather than $\nabla_{1}$ is skipped in the IBP relations. This will result in alternative chain decompositions of $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}$ where $z_{a \neq 1}$ rather than $z_{1}$ enters on special footing. Such reformulations will be essential for the chain decomposition of more general arrangements of Kronecker-Eisenstein series beyond a single cycle in later sections.

Our starting point is the consequence $\sum_{b=1}^{m} \partial_{b} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12 \cdots m}=0$ of translation invariance. Together with the definition (2.31) of $\nabla_{b}$, we are led to the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{b=1}^{m}\left(\nabla_{b}+\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} x_{b, i}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\sigma(12 \ldots m)}=0 \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

among $\nabla_{1}, \nabla_{2}, \ldots, \nabla_{m}$ acting on chains with any permutation $\sigma(12 \ldots m)$ of $12 \cdots m$. This can be used to eliminate any $\nabla_{a}$ with $2 \leq a \leq m$ in favor of $\nabla_{1}$ and the remaining $\nabla_{b \neq 1, a}$ in the decomposition formula (4.40):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{b=2}^{m}\left(\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} x_{b, i}+\nabla_{b}\right) \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, b-1\} \\
\\
i m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}  \tag{4.67}\\
& =\sum_{\substack{b=2 \\
b \neq a}}^{m}\left(\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} x_{b, i}+\nabla_{b}\right)\left(\sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, b-1\} \\
\omega\{m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}-\sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, a-1\} \\
\\
w m, m-1, \cdots, a+1\}}}(-1)^{m-a} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, a}\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} x_{1, i}+\nabla_{1}\right)\left(\sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, a-1\}\\
\\
}\{m, m-1, \cdots, a+1\}}(-1)^{m-a} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, a}\right), \quad a \in\{2,3, \ldots, m\} .
\end{align*}
$$

The difference of the permutation sums over $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, a}$ in the middle line can be simplified through the following corollary of Fay identities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, b-1\} \\ \amalg\{m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}-\sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, a-1\} \\ \\ \omega\{m, m-1, \cdots, a+1\}}}(-1)^{m-a} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, a}=\sum_{\substack{\rho \in A \amalg B^{\mathrm{T}} \\(a, A, b, B)=\mathbb{I}_{m}}}(-1)^{|B|} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a, \rho, b} . \tag{4.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

The notation $(a, A, b, B)=\mathbb{I}_{m}$ in the summation range on the right-hand side instructs to identify the (possibly empty) ordered sets $A, B$ by matching $(a, A, b, B)=(1,2, \cdots, m)$ up to cyclic transformations $i \rightarrow i+1 \bmod m$. Simple examples at $(m, a)=(3,2)$ and $(m, a)=(4,4)$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132}=-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{213}, \quad-\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1243}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1423}\right)-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}=\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{4123} \tag{4.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on (4.67) with the simplification of its middle line via (4.68), we can rewrite the single-cycle formula (4.40) as follows, for any $a \in\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+s_{12 \cdots m}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)=\boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}(\xi)-\sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in A \omega B^{\mathrm{T}} \\(a, A, b, B)=\mathbb{I}_{m}}}(-1)^{|B|}\left(\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} x_{b, i}+\nabla_{b}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a, \rho, b}, \tag{4.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B$ on the right-hand side are again determined by $(a, A, b, B)=(1,2, \cdots, m)$ modulo cyclic transformations. This reformulation of the chain decomposition of single cycles $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}$ reduces to the original formula (4.40) for $a=1$ and otherwise offers the flexibility to prevent one arbitrary $\nabla_{a \neq 1^{-} \text {-derivative from appearing on the right-hand side of (4.70). By virtue }}$ of (2.43), the chains $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a, \rho, b}$ on the right-hand side of (4.70) are readily expanded in the basis of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \ldots}$ employed in (4.40).

At length $m=2$ and $m=3$, setting $a=2$ in (4.70) to eliminate $\nabla_{2}$ leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1+s_{12}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}(\xi) & =\boldsymbol{M}_{12}(\xi)+\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \sum_{i=3}^{n} x_{1, i}+\nabla_{1} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)  \tag{4.71}\\
\left(1+s_{123}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(123)}(\xi) & =\boldsymbol{M}_{123}(\xi)-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{231} \sum_{i=4}^{n} x_{1, i}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{213} \sum_{i=4}^{n} x_{3, i}-\nabla_{1} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{231}+\nabla_{3} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{213}
\end{align*}
$$

where the multiplicity $n \geq m$ of the Koba-Nielsen factor has been kept arbitrary. We will make frequent use of (4.70) when dealing with products of cycles in section 6.

## 5 Chiral splitting

For the integrands of closed-string genus-one amplitudes, manifestly doubly-periodic representations are tied to Wick contractions of the joint zero modes of the left- and right-moving worldsheet fields $\partial_{z} X$ and $\partial_{\bar{z}} X$. Their Wick rules couple the left- and right-movers $\partial_{z} X$ and $\partial_{\bar{z}} X$ and lead to Lorentz contractions between the chiral halves $\epsilon_{i}, \bar{\epsilon}_{i}$ of the closed-string polarizations in (2.29), accompanied by factors of $\frac{\pi}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}$. Moreover, when applying F-IBP formulae such as (4.40), we need to be careful with holomorphic derivatives since their action $\partial_{i} \bar{f}_{i j}^{(m>0)}$ on the contributions from the opposite-chirality sector does not vanish.

Both of these interactions between left- and right-movers can be sidestepped by virtue of chiral splitting [47, 48]: the key idea is to separate the joint zero mode of the fields $\partial_{z} X$ and $\partial_{\bar{z}} X$ - the string-theory counterpart of the loop momentum in Feynman graphs - from the path integral over $X$ that defines the genus-one correlators in string amplitudes. At the level of the loop integrand of closed-string amplitudes, meromorphic and anti-meromorphic sectors then decouple. This can be viewed as the string-theory origin of the double-copy structures in the loop integrand of (super-)gravity amplitudes which grew into a wide and vibrant research field [66, 67].

The independent chiral amplitudes from left- and right-movers in the loop integrand of closed-string amplitudes no longer manifest the $z_{i} \rightarrow z_{i}+\tau$ periodicity term by term. In particular, the doubly-periodic Kronecker-Eisenstein coefficients $f_{i j}^{(w)}$ in the loop-integrated correlators typically translate into their meromorphic counterparts $g_{i j}^{(w)}$ in (2.6). Their B-cycle monodromies under $z_{i} \rightarrow z_{i}+\tau$ (see (5.9) below) are compensated by shifts of the loop momentum by the external momentum $k_{i}$ [47, 48], see [68-71] for recent applications of this mechanism to the construction of chiral amplitudes at different loop orders. In this section, we will reformulate the single-cycle formula (4.40) for generating series of $f_{i j}^{(w)}$ in terms of the meromorphic $g_{i j}^{(w)}$, loop momenta and a chiral Koba-Nielsen factor.

By the lack of term-by-term invariance under B-cycle shifts $z_{i} \rightarrow z_{i}+\tau$ in chiral amplitudes, total derivatives w.r.t. $z_{i}$ may no longer integrate to zero. As will be detailed below, B-cycle monodromies in the primitives of IBP relations lead to boundary terms which we shall track in the reformulation of (4.40) in a chiral-splitting context. ${ }^{9}$ These boundary terms are no obstruction to break cycles of the meromorphic Kronecker-Eisenstein coefficients $g_{i j}^{(w)}$. Since the tracking of boundary terms can be smoothly incorporated into the methods of this work, our main results are compatible with the reduction of closed-string problems to open-string ones using chiral splitting.

### 5.1 Basics of chiral splitting

As shown in [47, 48], chiral splitting allows to derive open- and closed-string amplitudes from the same chiral function $\mathcal{K}_{n}(\ell)$ of the kinematic data. Open-string $n$-point amplitudes at one loop descend from worldsheets of cylinder- and Moebius-strip topologies with punctures

[^7]$z_{i}$ on the boundaries,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{n}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{D}} \sum_{\text {top }} C_{\text {top }} \int_{D_{\text {top }}^{\tau}} d \tau \int_{D_{\text {top }}^{z}} d \mu_{n}^{\mathrm{op}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}} d^{D} \ell\left|\mathcal{J}_{n}(\ell)\right| \mathcal{K}_{n}(\ell) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Closed-string one-loop amplitudes in turn are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{n}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{D}} \int_{\mathfrak{F}} d^{2} \tau \int_{\mathfrak{F}_{\tau}^{n-1}} d \mu_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}} d^{D} \ell\left|\mathcal{J}_{n}(\ell)\right|^{2} \mathcal{K}_{n}(\ell) \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{n}(-\ell), \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

see the discussion below (2.25) and (2.26) for the integration domain of the moduli $z_{i}$ and $\tau$. As a universal part of the underlying correlation functions at fixed loop momentum, both (5.1) and (5.2) involve the chiral Koba-Nielsen factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{n}(\ell):=\exp \left(-\sum_{1 \leq i<j}^{n} s_{i j} \log \theta_{1}\left(z_{i j}, \tau\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j}\left(\ell \cdot k_{j}\right)+\frac{\tau}{4 \pi i} \ell^{2}\right), \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in contrast to the $\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}$ in (2.27) depends meromorphically on both $z_{i}$ and $\tau$. Even though we treat the momentum invariants $s_{i j}$ as independent variables, translation invariance of the chiral Koba-Nielsen factor (5.3) necessitates the condition $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\ell \cdot k_{j}\right)=0$, i.e. momentum conservation along the direction of the loop momentum.

The leftover factors of $\mathcal{K}_{n}(\ell)$ in the loop integrands of (5.1) and (5.2) carry the dependence on the polarizations and are referred to as chiral correlators. The $z$-dependence of $\mathcal{K}_{n}(\ell)$ is encoded in $g_{i j}^{(w)}$ with $w \leq n-4$ in maximally supersymmetric settings ${ }^{10}$ and $w \leq n$ for bosonic or heterotic strings. Given that chiral correlators $\mathcal{K}_{n}(\ell)$ are polynomials in loop momenta $\ell$, the loop integrals in (5.1) and (5.2) are of straightforward Gaussian type. In the simplest case, we recover the earlier Koba-Nielsen factors $\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\bullet}$ in (2.27)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{op}}=\frac{(\operatorname{Im} \tau)^{\frac{D}{2}}}{(2 \pi i)^{D}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}} d^{D} \ell\left|\mathcal{J}_{n}(\ell)\right|, \quad \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}}=\frac{(2 \operatorname{Im} \tau)^{\frac{D}{2}}}{(2 \pi i)^{D}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}} d^{D} \ell\left|\mathcal{J}_{n}(\ell)\right|^{2}, \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for open and closed strings respectively. The polynomial $\ell$-dependence of chiral correlators gives rise to polynomials in $\nu_{i j}:=2 \pi i \frac{\operatorname{Im} z_{i, j}}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}} d^{D} \ell\left|\mathcal{J}_{n}(\ell)\right|^{2} \ell^{\mu} & =\frac{(2 \pi i)^{D}}{(2 \operatorname{Im} \tau)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}} \sum_{a=2}^{n} k_{a}^{\mu} \nu_{1 a},  \tag{5.5}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{D}} d^{D} \ell\left|\mathcal{J}_{n}(\ell)\right|^{2} \ell^{\mu} \ell^{\lambda} & =\frac{(2 \pi i)^{D}}{(2 \operatorname{Im} \tau)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \mathcal{I}_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}}\left[\left(\sum_{a=2}^{n} k_{a}^{\mu} \nu_{1 a}\right)\left(\sum_{b=2}^{n} k_{b}^{\lambda} \nu_{1 b}\right)-\frac{\pi}{\operatorname{Im} \tau} \delta^{\mu \lambda}\right],
\end{align*}
$$

(with external momenta $k_{a}^{\mu}, k_{b}^{\lambda}$ and Lorentz indices $\mu, \lambda$ ) which eventually conspire with the meromorphic $g_{i j}^{(w)}$ in chiral correlators to obtain the doubly-periodic $f_{i j}^{(w)}$ in (2.6). Hence, the two types of terms $\sim \nu_{i j}$ and $\sim \frac{\pi}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}$ on the right-hand side of (5.5) illustrate how loop integration reproduces the manifestly doubly-periodic form (2.29) of one-loop closed-string integrands. ${ }^{11}$

[^8]
### 5.1.1 Koba-Nielsen derivatives

The derivatives of the Koba-Nielsen factor $\mathcal{J}_{n}(\ell)$ in (5.3) with respect to worldsheet positions $z_{i}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i} \mathcal{J}_{n}(\ell)=\left(\ell \cdot k_{i}-\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \tilde{x}_{i, j}\right) \mathcal{J}_{n}(\ell), \quad \text { with } \tilde{x}_{i, j}:=s_{i j} g_{i j}^{(1)} \text { for } j \neq i \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to (2.31), we can introduce operators $\tilde{\nabla}_{i}$ incorporating Koba-Nielsen derivatives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{i} \tilde{\varphi}:=\partial_{i} \tilde{\varphi}+\left(\ell \cdot k_{i}-\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \tilde{x}_{i, j}\right) \tilde{\varphi}=\frac{1}{\mathcal{J}_{n}} \partial_{i}\left(\tilde{\varphi} \mathcal{J}_{n}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary meromorphic contributions $\tilde{\varphi}=\tilde{\varphi}\left(z_{i}, \tau\right)$ to chiral correlators $\mathcal{K}_{n}(\ell)$. Similar to $(2.34)$, the operator $\tilde{\nabla}_{i}$ does not obey a Leibniz rule and instead acts as follows on products

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{i}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{1} \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right)=\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{i} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right) \tilde{\varphi}_{2}+\tilde{\varphi}_{1} \partial_{i}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right)=\left(\partial_{i} \tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right) \tilde{\varphi}_{2}+\tilde{\varphi}_{1} \tilde{\nabla}_{i}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, two operators $\tilde{\nabla}_{i}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_{j}$ still commute with each other as an alogue of (2.35).

For a meromorphic function $\tilde{\varphi}$ expressed in terms of $g_{i j}^{(w>0)}$ with B-cycle monodromies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{(w)}(z+\tau, \tau)=\sum_{k=0}^{w} \frac{(-2 \pi i)^{k}}{k!} g^{(w-k)}(z, \tau) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

integrals over total derivatives - $\int_{D_{\text {top }}^{z}} d \mu_{n}^{\mathrm{op}} \mathcal{J}_{n} \tilde{\nabla}_{i} \tilde{\varphi}$ for open strings and $\int_{\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}^{n-1}} d \mu_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}} \mathcal{J}_{n} \tilde{\nabla}_{i} \tilde{\varphi}$ for closed strings - do not necessarily vanish: they violate doubly-periodicity which was already highlighted as salient point (i) below (2.32). However, such integrals over total derivatives can still be reduced to boundary terms using Stokes' theorem.

### 5.1.2 Stokes' theorem and boundary terms

We shall now evaluate the integrals over total derivatives in chiral splitting by means of Stokes' theorem, following the perspective on boundary terms developed during the preparation of [72]. Consider a typical contribution $\Phi\left(z_{2}\right)=\left|\mathcal{J}_{n}\left(z_{2}\right)\right|^{2} \tilde{\varphi}_{i}\left(z_{2}\right) \overline{\tilde{\varphi}_{j}\left(z_{2}\right)}$ to closed-string integrands, viewed as a function of $z_{2}$ (say $\tilde{\varphi}_{i}\left(z_{2}\right) \rightarrow g_{12}^{(1)} g_{13}^{(1)}$ and $\overline{\tilde{\varphi}_{j}\left(z_{2}\right)} \rightarrow 1$ in the concrete example $\left.\Phi\left(z_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left|\mathcal{J}_{n}\left(z_{2}\right)\right|^{2} g_{12}^{(1)} g_{13}^{(1)}\right)$. Then, integrating a total $z_{2}$-derivative via Stokes' theorem yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}} d^{2} z_{2} \partial_{2}\left(\Phi\left(z_{2}\right)\right) & =\int_{\partial \mathfrak{T}_{\tau}} d \bar{z}_{2} \Phi\left(z_{2}\right)  \tag{5.10}\\
& =\int_{A_{2}} d \bar{z}_{2} \Phi\left(z_{2}\right)+\int_{B_{2}+1} d \bar{z}_{2} \Phi\left(z_{2}\right)-\int_{A_{2}+\tau} d \bar{z}_{2} \Phi\left(z_{2}\right)-\int_{B_{2}} d \bar{z}_{2} \Phi\left(z_{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} d \bar{z}_{2} \Phi\left(z_{2}\right)+\int_{1}^{1+\tau} d \bar{z}_{2} \Phi\left(z_{2}\right)-\int_{\tau}^{\tau+1} d \bar{z}_{2} \Phi\left(z_{2}\right)-\int_{0}^{\tau} d \bar{z}_{2} \Phi\left(z_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We have decomposed the boundary $\partial \mathfrak{T}_{\tau}$ of the parallelogram in figure 5 into four components, namely the homology cycles $A_{2},-B_{2}$ and their translates $\left(B_{2}+1\right),-\left(A_{2}+\tau\right)$ with minus


Figure 5. The fundamental parallelogram $\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}$ at fixed loop momentum for $z_{2}$ and its boundary. The boundary $\partial \mathfrak{T}_{\tau}$ is given in terms of the integration contours $A_{2}, B_{2}$ for $z_{2}$, and their displacements $\left(A_{2}+\tau\right)$ and $\left(B_{2}+1\right)$.
signs accounting for their orientation. The four contributions to (5.10) can be reorganized into two integrals by absorbing the displacements by 1 and $\tau$ into the integrand,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}} d^{2} z_{2} \partial_{2}\left(\Phi\left(z_{2}\right)\right) & =\int_{0}^{1} d \bar{z}_{2}\left[\Phi\left(z_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(z_{2}+\tau\right)\right]+\int_{0}^{\tau} d \bar{z}_{2}\left[\Phi\left(z_{2}+1\right)-\Phi\left(z_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} d \bar{z}_{2}\left[\Phi\left(z_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(z_{2}+\tau\right)\right]=:-\int_{0}^{1} d \bar{z}_{2} \hat{b}_{2} \Phi\left(z_{2}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

In passing to the second line, we have exploited the A-cycle shift invariance $\Phi\left(z_{2}+1\right)=\Phi\left(z_{2}\right)$ of chiral correlators inherited from the periodicity $g^{(w)}(z+1, \tau)=g^{(w)}(z, \tau)$. The last line of (5.11) defines the difference operator $\hat{b}_{j}$ associated with a B-cycle shift of $z_{j}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{b}_{j} \Phi(z)=\left.\Phi(z)\right|_{z_{j} \rightarrow z_{j}+\tau}-\Phi(z) . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since a typical primitive $\Phi\left(z_{2}\right)$ in the total derivative (5.10) may have B-cycle monodromies (5.9), the integrand $\hat{b}_{2} \Phi\left(z_{2}\right)$ in the last line of (5.11) is in general non-zero. Nevertheless, the surface integral over $\mathfrak{T}_{\tau}$ on the left-hand side has simplified to a boundary integral where $z_{2}$ is restricted to the A-cycle $(0,1)$. These boundary terms can be reconstructed from the images of $\tilde{\nabla}_{i}$ in subsequent formulae for the breaking of cycles of $g_{i j}^{(w)}$ in chiral amplitudes. Hence, by consistently retaining $\tilde{\nabla}_{i}$ before the loop integral, the results of this section provide the chiral-splitting analogues of the IBP relations (2.33).

### 5.2 Single cycles versus chains of meromorphic $\boldsymbol{F}$

By analogy with our notation (2.41) for products of doubly-periodic Kronecker-Eisenstein series, we denote chains of their meromorphic counterparts $F_{i j}(\eta)=F\left(z_{i}-z_{j}, \eta, \tau\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{F}_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \cdots \alpha_{m}}:=\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \eta_{\alpha_{i}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} F_{\alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+1}}\left(\eta_{\alpha_{i+1} \cdots \alpha_{m}}\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that for instance $\boldsymbol{F}_{123}=\delta\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}+\eta_{3}\right) F_{12}\left(\eta_{23}\right) F_{23}\left(\eta_{3}\right)$. Since the Fay identity (2.11) is universal to $F_{i j}(\eta)$ and $\Omega_{i j}(\eta)$, the relation (2.43) for doubly-periodic chains with ordered
sets $\alpha, \beta$ straightforwardly propagates to the $F$-chain in (5.13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{F}_{\alpha, i, \beta}=(-1)^{|\alpha|} \boldsymbol{F}_{i, \alpha^{\mathrm{T}}} \boldsymbol{F}_{i, \beta}=(-1)^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\rho \in \alpha^{\mathrm{T}} \omega \beta} \boldsymbol{F}_{i, \rho} . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, only $(m-1)$ ! out of the $m$ ! permutations of $\boldsymbol{F}_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \cdots \alpha_{m}}$ are independent under (5.14), and one can for instance take those chains with $\alpha_{1}=1$ as the independent representatives. However, it is a separate question whether the ( $m-1$ )! independent chains in (5.14) offer a basis for cycles and more general configurations of $F_{i j}(\eta)$. By the discussion in section 5.1.2, total derivatives may lead to non-vanishing boundary terms in chiral splitting which may be thought of as additional basis elements for a twisted cohomology associated with the chiral Koba-Nielsen factor (5.3). While the identification of cohomology bases with a full account of boundary terms is beyond the scope of this work, we shall spell out the F-IBP relations between cycles of $F_{i j}(\eta)$ and combinations of chains and boundary terms.

More specifically, as the meromorphic counterpart of the doubly-periodic cycle in (2.46), we will be interested in the F-IBP reduction of the cycles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi):=\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \eta_{i}\right) F_{12}\left(\eta_{23 \cdots m}+\xi\right) F_{23}\left(\eta_{3 \cdots m}+\xi\right) \cdots F_{m-1, m}\left(\eta_{m}+\xi\right) F_{m 1}(\xi) \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with multiplicities $2 \leq m \leq n$. The arguments $\eta_{i \cdots m}+\xi$ are again tailored to attain the same reflection and cyclicity properties (2.47) as in the doubly-periodic case. Moreover, the antiholomorphic derivatives (2.48) of $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)$ are converted to B-cycle monodromies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)\right|_{z_{j} \rightarrow z_{j}+\tau}=e^{2 \pi i \eta_{j}} \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

in passing to the meromorphic $\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)$ in (5.15). The main result of this section will be a formula analogous to (4.40) to break such a single $F$-cycle (5.15).

### 5.2.1 Breaking of length- $m$ cycles

We recall that Fay identities together with (2.13), (2.17) and the IBP relations (2.33) conspire in deriving the formula (4.40) to break a single $\Omega$-cycle. The building blocks $F_{i j}(\eta)$ of the meromorphic cycles (5.15) obey almost identical identities, except for the loop-momentum dependent term $\ell \cdot k_{i}$ in the chiral Koba-Nielsen derivative (5.6) which is absent in (2.30). On these grounds, one can apply the substitution rules

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{F}_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots}, \quad x_{i, j} \rightarrow \tilde{x}_{i, j}, \quad \hat{g}^{(1)}(\eta) \rightarrow g^{(1)}(\eta), \quad \nabla_{b} \rightarrow \tilde{\nabla}_{b}-\ell \cdot k_{b}, \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

to convert (4.40) to a very similar identity to break the $F$-cycles (5.15),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12 \cdots m}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)=\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12 \cdots m}(\xi)  \tag{5.18}\\
& \quad-\sum_{\substack{b=2}}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\in\{2, \cdots, \cdots, b-1\} \\
\omega\{m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b}\left(-\ell \cdot k_{b}+\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \tilde{x}_{b, i}+\tilde{\nabla}_{b}\right) \boldsymbol{F}_{1, \rho, b} .
\end{align*}
$$

The linear combinations of chains (5.13) analogous to (4.41) are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12 \cdots m}(\xi):=\sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, b-1\} \\
\\
w m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} s_{i b} \partial_{\eta_{b}}-\sum_{i=2}^{m} s_{i b} \partial_{\eta_{i}}+\left(1+s_{12 \cdots m}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{b}, \eta_{b+1, \cdots, m}+\xi\right)\right. \\
& \left.-g^{(1)}\left(\eta_{b}\right)-\sum_{i=2}^{b-1} S_{i, \rho} v_{1}\left(\eta_{b}, \eta_{i, i+1, \cdots, b-1}\right)-\sum_{i=b+1}^{m} S_{i, \rho} v_{1}\left(\eta_{b}, \eta_{b+1, b+2, \cdots, i}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{F}_{1, \rho, b} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{ \\
\\
(-1)^{m+u<v<w+w} \\
\\
m<m+1}}\left(v_{1}\left(\eta_{u+1, \cdots, w-1},-\eta_{u, \cdots, w-1}\right)+v_{1}\left(\eta_{u, \cdots, w},-\eta_{u+1, \cdots, w}\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(\sum_{i=q}^{m} s_{v i}+\sum_{i=1}^{p} s_{v i}\right) \sum_{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, p\} Ш\{m, m-1, \cdots, q\}} \sum_{\sigma \in\{\gamma, u\} Ш\{\pi, w\}} \boldsymbol{F}_{1, \rho, v, \sigma}, \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\gamma \in\{p+1, p+2, \cdots, u-1\} Ш\{v-1, v-2, \cdots, u+1\} \\
\pi \in\{v+1, v+2, \cdots, w-1\} Ш\{q-1, q-2, \cdots, w+1\}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

see figure 4 for an illustration of the nested sums over ordered sets $\rho, \gamma, \pi, \sigma$ and (4.38) for the definition of $S_{i, \rho}$. In other words, the total Koba-Nielsen derivatives $\nabla_{b}$ in the doubly-periodic case (4.40) completely determine the new class of terms $\ell \cdot k_{b}$ involving the loop momentum of chiral splitting. One can view the closely related formulae (4.40) and (5.18) as different manifestations of the same combinatorial principle of cycle-breaking. The substitution rules (5.17) will also be applied in sections 6.4 and 6.5 to convert F-IBP reductions of multiple $\Omega$-cycles to those of $F$-cycles.

While the total Koba-Nielsen derivatives $\nabla_{b}(\ldots)$ in (4.40) can be discarded due to double-periodicity of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots .}$, the total derivatives $\tilde{\nabla}_{b}$ in the chiral-splitting counterpart (5.18) generically lead to non-vanishing boundary terms (5.10).

### 5.2.2 Length-two examples and elliptic functions

The two-point example of (5.18) in presence of an $n$-point chiral Koba-Nielsen factor reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12)}(\xi)=\frac{1}{1+s_{12}}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}(\xi)+\ell \cdot k_{2} F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)-F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \sum_{i=3}^{n} s_{2 i} g_{2 i}^{(1)}-\tilde{\nabla}_{2} F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right) \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}(\xi):=\left(s_{12} \partial_{\eta_{2}}-g^{(1)}\left(\eta_{2}\right)+\left(1+s_{12}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{2}, \xi\right)\right) F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generating functions (4.47) of the elliptic $V_{w}(1,2, \cdots, m)$ can written in terms of either $\Omega$-cycles or $F$-cycles. Hence, the manipulations of $F$-cycles in this section offer an alternative to the breaking of $V_{m}(1,2, \cdots, m)$ via (4.51), (4.52) and (4.40). More speficially,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{m}(1,2, \cdots, m)=\left.\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \cdots, \eta_{m}^{0}, \xi^{0}} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with (5.18) leads to F-IBP decompositions of cycles of $g_{i j}^{(1)}$ into $F$-chains and boundary terms specific to chiral splitting.

At two points, combining (5.20) with (5.22) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}(1,2)=\frac{1}{1+s_{12}}\left(\left.\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}(\xi)\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \xi^{0}}+g_{12}^{(1)} \ell \cdot k_{2}-g_{12}^{(1)} \sum_{i=3}^{n} s_{2 i} g_{2 i}^{(1)}-\tilde{\nabla}_{2} g_{12}^{(1)}\right) \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}(\xi)\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \xi^{0}} & =\left.s_{12} \partial_{\eta_{2}} F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}}-\left.g^{(1)}\left(\eta_{2}\right) F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}}+\left.\left(1+s_{12}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{2}, \eta_{3}\right) F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \xi^{0}} \\
& =2 s_{12} g_{12}^{(2)}+\mathrm{G}_{2}, \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

where the total Koba-Nielsen derivative $\tilde{\nabla}_{2} g_{12}^{(1)}$ leads to non-trivial boundary terms by the B-cycle monodromy $\hat{b}_{2} g_{12}^{(1)}=2 \pi i$, see (5.11). Note that the manifestly doubly-periodic analogue of (5.23) can be found in (4.56).

### 5.2.3 Reformulation of the meromorphic single-cycle formula

The F-IBP formula (4.40) to break cycles of $\Omega_{i j}(\eta)$ was reformulated in (4.70) such as to single out an arbitrary $z_{a}$ with $a=2,3, \ldots, m$ instead of $z_{1}$. We can similarly rewrite the meromorphic counterpart (5.18) of the single-cycle formula in a more flexible form,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12 \cdots m}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12 \cdots m)}(\xi)=\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12 \cdots m}(\xi)  \tag{5.25}\\
& \quad-\sum_{\substack{b=1 \\
b \neq a}}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in A \amalg B^{\mathrm{T}} \\
(a, A, b, B)=\mathbb{I}_{m}}}(-1)^{|B|}\left(-\ell \cdot k_{b}+\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \tilde{x}_{b, i}+\tilde{\nabla}_{b}\right) \boldsymbol{F}_{a, \rho, b},
\end{align*}
$$

where the special leg 1 in (5.18) is replaced by a general one $a \in\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$. As before, the summation range defines the ordered sets $A, B$ by matching $(a, A, b, B)=(1,2, \cdots, m)=$ $(a, A, b, B)$ up to cyclic transformations $i \rightarrow i+1 \bmod m$. At $m=2$, for instance, as a comparison with (5.20), we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12)}(\xi)=\frac{1}{1+s_{12}}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}(\xi)-\ell \cdot k_{1} F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)+F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \sum_{i=3}^{n} s_{1 i} g_{1 i}^{(1)}+\tilde{\nabla}_{1} F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right) . \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reformulation (5.25) will be applied to break products of $F$-cycles in section 6.4.

## 6 Breaking of two or more cycles

This section is dedicated to applications of the single-cycle formula (4.40) to reduce KobaNielsen integrals over more general arrangements of Kronecker-Eisenstein series to the conjectural chain basis. Our focus is mainly on products of two isolated $\Omega$-cycles,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1, m+2 \cdots n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

though pioneering examples of triple cycles are discussed in subsection 6.5. The approach of this section is to break down the cycles one by one using the single-cycle formula. However, the second term of (4.40) introduces factors of $x_{i, j}=s_{i j} f_{i j}^{(1)}$ which connect the chains from the broken cycle with the unbroken cycle. The resulting $f-\Omega$ chains ending on a leg of the unbroken cycle are visualized through a tadpole graph in figure 6 and require extra care in identifying the appropriate F-IBP manipulations that break the second cycle.

The $f-\Omega$ tadpoles in figure 6 are still amenable to the single-cycle formula (4.70) which eventually leads to an expansion of the product of two cycles in (6.1) in an ( $n-1$ )!-element


Figure 6. Tadpole graphs resulting from the breaking of the first cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ in (6.1) via (4.40). Similar to figures 2 and 3, solid lines between vertices $a$ and $b$ refer to Kronecker-Eisenstein series with first argument $z_{a b}$ (with dashed lines to refer to an indefinite number of them). The dotted line represents the factors of $f_{i j}^{(1)}$ connecting the legs $i \in\{2,3, \ldots, m\}$ of the broken cycles with those of the unbroken one, $j \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$.
chain basis. In some cases, cycles involving all the $n$ legs of both cycles and two insertions of $f_{i j}^{(1)}$ may appear in intermediate steps, see the last line in the compact formula (6.25) below for the chain reduction of the two cycles in (6.1). Nevertheless, these cycles are readily eliminated using the results of earlier sections and illustrate that the elimination of multiple cycles is most conveniently approached with a recursive strategy.

We start by illustrating the general strategy via special cases of (6.1), namely two cycles of length two in section 6.1 as well as two cycles of length $m$ and two in section 6.2. After addressing two cycles of general length in section 6.3, later subsections elaborate on F-IBP reductions of the meromorphic analogues of the cycles in (6.1) as well as the elimination of products of three cycles at six and seven points. The Koba-Nielsen derivatives discarded in this section are reinstated in appendix $B$, and the treatment of an arbitrary number of cycles is presented in a companion paper [49].

### 6.1 Two cycles of length 2 and 2

The simplest instance of the product (6.1) of two Kronecker-Eisenstein cycles occurs at $n=4$ points,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}+\xi_{1}\right) \Omega_{21}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}+\xi_{2}\right) \Omega_{43}\left(\xi_{2}\right) . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and in the next two subsections, the products $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1, m+2 \cdots n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ are understood to occur in a string integrand along with the Koba-Nielsen factors in (2.27). Hence, we drop the total Koba-Nielsen derivative $\boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \nabla_{2} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)=\nabla_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right)$ when breaking the first cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ via (3.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+s_{12}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \stackrel{\text { IBP }}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)-\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) x_{2,34} \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right), \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ is given by (3.6) and free of cycles. Here and in the rest of this work, we use the shorthand notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i, P}:=\sum_{j \in P} x_{i, j}, \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a set $P$ in the subscript of $x_{i,}$. encodes a sum over its elements $j \in P$, e.g. $x_{2,34}=$ $x_{2,3}+x_{2,4}$. To address the first term on the right-hand side of (6.3), we can safely employ the relabeling of (3.7) to break the second cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}+\xi_{2}\right) \Omega_{43}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+s_{34}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right)-\Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) x_{4,12}-\nabla_{4} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right), \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\left(\left(1+s_{34}\right) v_{1}\left(\eta_{4}, \xi_{2}\right)+s_{34} \partial_{\eta_{4}}-\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\right) \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This time, the Koba-Nielsen derivative in the last term of (6.5) can still be dropped.
The last term on the right-hand side of (6.3) requires extra caution in view of the $f-\Omega$ chains $\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) f_{2 i}^{(1)}$ with $i=3,4$ that are attached to the cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ through $z_{3}$ or $z_{4}$. The first of the resulting tadpoles $\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) f_{23}^{(1)} \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ can still be broken by literally following (6.5) since the $\nabla_{4}$-derivative in the last term does not interfere with $f_{23}^{(1)}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) f_{23}^{(1)} \nabla_{4} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)=\nabla_{4}\left(\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) f_{23}^{(1)} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{IBP}}{=} 0 \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the second tadpole $\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) f_{24}^{(1)} \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ necessitates a reformulation of (6.5) without reference to $\nabla_{4}$ since

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{24}^{(1)} \nabla_{4} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) \neq \nabla_{4}\left(f_{24}^{(1)} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\right) . \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following a relabelling of (4.71), we interchange the role of $z_{3}$ and $z_{4}$ and break the second cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}(\xi)$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+s_{34}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+\Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) x_{3,12}+\nabla_{3} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{24}^{(1)}\left(1+s_{34}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=f_{24}^{(1)}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+\Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) x_{3,12}\right)+\nabla_{3}\left(f_{24}^{(1)} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\right) . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assembling the results of the above IBP manipulations and discarding total derivatives such as $\nabla_{3}\left(f_{24}^{(1)} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\right)$ in (6.10), we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1+s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{34}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol { C } _ { ( 3 4 ) }}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \stackrel{\text { IBP }}{=} & \boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{6.11}\\
& -\boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) x_{4,12} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)-x_{2,34} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \\
& +\left(x_{2,3} x_{4,1}-x_{2,4} x_{3,1}\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We note that the right-hand side manifests the symmetry of the left-hand side under exchange of the two cycles $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$, i.e. under $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \eta_{2}, \xi_{1}\right) \leftrightarrow\left(z_{3}, z_{4}, \eta_{4}, \xi_{2}\right)$. Accordingly, the outcome of (6.11) would take the same form if the cycles had been broken in reverse order, starting with $\boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ instead of $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$. A schematic representation of the sequential breaking of the cycles carried out in this section can be found in figure 7.


Figure 7. Graphical representation of the products of the Kronecker-Eisenstein series (solid lines) and factors of $f_{i j}^{(1)}$ (dotted lines) in the chain decomposition of the product of length-two cycles $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$. The arrows indicate applications of the two-cycle IBP relation (3.7) to break the cycles, starting with $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$.

### 6.1.1 Basis decomposition of the single-cycle terms

The two terms in the last line of (6.11) feature new $f-\Omega$ cycles of length four and do not yet line up with the desired chain form. However, they arise from the expansion coefficients of single cycles $\boldsymbol{C}_{(1234)}(\xi)$ and $\boldsymbol{C}_{(1243)}(\xi)$ whose F-IBP reduction to chains has already been accomplished in section 4.2.2. This can be exploited by rewriting $\Omega_{12} f_{23}^{(1)} \Omega_{34} f_{41}^{(1)}$ in the last line of (6.11) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) f_{23}^{(1)} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) f_{41}^{(1)}=\left.\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) \Omega_{41}\left(\zeta_{1}\right)\right|_{\zeta_{1}^{0}, \zeta_{2}^{0}} \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the prescription (4.30) to break length-four cycles, this simplifies to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{1234}\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \Omega_{23}\left(\zeta_{2}\right) \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) \Omega_{41}\left(\zeta_{1}\right)  \tag{6.13}\\
& \left.\stackrel{\mathrm{IBP}}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{1234}(\xi)\right|_{\eta_{234}+\xi \rightarrow \eta_{2}, \eta_{34}+\xi \rightarrow \zeta_{2}, \eta_{4}+\xi \rightarrow \eta_{4}, \xi \rightarrow \zeta_{1}} \\
& \quad=: \hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_{1234}\left(\eta_{2}, \zeta_{2}, \eta_{4}, \zeta_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{M}_{1234}(\xi)$ is given by (4.31) and free of cycles. Hence, we arrive at the following final result for the chain decomposition of the simplest double cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{34}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{6.14}\\
& \stackrel{\mathrm{IBP}}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right)-\boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) x_{4,12} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)-x_{2,34} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left.\frac{s_{23} s_{41} \hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_{1234}\left(\eta_{2}, \zeta_{2}, \eta_{4}, \zeta_{1}\right)+s_{24} s_{31} \hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_{1243}\left(\eta_{2}, \zeta_{2},-\eta_{4}, \zeta_{1}\right)}{1+s_{1234}}\right|_{\zeta_{1}^{0}, \zeta_{2}^{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.1.2 Application to Kronecker-Eisenstein coefficients

The applications of the above results to the Kronecker-Eisenstein coefficients occurring in actual string integrands are straightforward. For instance, we can use (6.11) to break the two $f$-cycles in the integrand $V_{2}(1,2) V_{2}(3,4)$ of the non-planar four-point one-loop amplitude


Figure 8. Graphical representation of the products of the Kronecker-Eisenstein series (solid lines) and factors of $f_{i j}^{(1)}$ (dotted lines) in the chain decomposition of the product $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(3 \ldots n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$. The arrows indicate applications of IBP relations (3.7) and (4.40) to break the cycles.
in the gauge sector of the heterotic string [23],

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{34}\right) V_{2}(1,2) V_{2}(3,4)  \tag{6.15}\\
& \left.\left.\stackrel{\text { IBP }}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \xi_{1}^{0}} \boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right|_{\eta_{4}^{0}, \xi_{2}^{0}}-\left.\left.\boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \xi_{1}^{0}} x_{4,12} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\right|_{\eta_{4}^{0}} \\
& \quad-\left.\left.x_{2,34} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}} \boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right|_{\eta_{4}^{0}, \xi_{2}^{0}}+\left.\left.\left(x_{2,3} x_{4,1}-x_{2,4} x_{3,1}\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right|_{\eta_{2}^{0}} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\right|_{\eta_{4}^{0}} \\
& = \\
& =\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2}^{2}+\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2}\left(2 s_{12} f_{12}^{(2)}+2 s_{34} f_{34}^{(2)}+s_{14} f_{14}^{(1)} f_{34}^{(1)}-s_{23} f_{12}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(1)}-s_{24} f_{12}^{(1)} f_{24}^{(1)}+s_{24} f_{24}^{(1)} f_{34}^{(1)}\right) \\
& \quad-2 s_{34} f_{34}^{(2)} f_{12}^{(1)}\left(s_{23} f_{23}^{(1)}+s_{24} f_{24}^{(1)}\right)+2 s_{12} f_{12}^{(2)} f_{34}^{(1)}\left(s_{24} f_{24}^{(1)}+s_{14} f_{14}^{(1)}\right)+4 s_{12} s_{34} f_{12}^{(2)} f_{34}^{(2)} \\
& \quad+s_{13} s_{24} f_{12}^{(1)} f_{24}^{(1)} f_{43}^{(1)} f_{31}^{(1)}+s_{14} s_{23} f_{12}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(1)} f_{34}^{(1)} f_{41}^{(1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

The length-four cycles $s_{13} s_{24} f_{12}^{(1)} f_{24}^{(1)} f_{43}^{(1)} f_{31}^{(1)}$ and $s_{14} s_{23} f_{12}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(1)} f_{34}^{(1)} f_{41}^{(1)}$ in the last line can be rewritten in terms of the elliptic $V_{4}$-function in (4.48), $f_{12}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(1)} f_{34}^{(1)} f_{41}^{(1)}=V_{4}(1,2,3,4)+\ldots$, where the terms in the ellipsis are free of cycles. Then, $V_{4}(1,2,3,4)$ can be decomposed into chains using (A.1), and the same applies to its relabelling $V_{4}(1,2,4,3)$ due to $f_{12}^{(1)} f_{24}^{(1)} f_{43}^{(1)} f_{31}^{(1)}$ in (6.15). The outcome of this procedure is consistent with the IBP reduction of the KobaNielsen integral of $V_{2}(1,2) V_{2}(3,4)$ in the literature and related to the results in appendix D of [23] via Fay identities.

### 6.2 Two cycles of length 2 and $m$

We shall now generalize the IBP reduction in the previous section to more general products $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34 \cdots n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$, where the length $m$ of one cycle is arbitrary, in this case $m=n-2 \geq 2$. As schematically shown in figure 8 , we first break the length-two cycle,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+s_{12}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34 \cdots n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{IBP}}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34 \cdots n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)-\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34 \cdots n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \sum_{a=3}^{n} x_{2, a} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the first term on the right-hand side, we can break the longer cycle by directly substituting (4.40) with a relabeling $\{1 \rightarrow 3,2 \rightarrow 4, \cdots, m \rightarrow n=m+2\}$. However, for the last term in (6.16), we need to break the cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(34 \cdots n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ in different ways based on the attachment points $a \in\{3,4, \cdots, n\}$ of $x_{2, a}$. In this case, it is convenient to use the reorganized formula (4.70) with a relabeling $\{1 \rightarrow 3, \cdots, m \rightarrow m+2\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{2, a}\left(1+s_{34 \cdots n}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34 \cdots n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) & \stackrel{\text { IBP }}{=} x_{2, a} \boldsymbol{M}_{34 \cdots n}\left(\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{6.17}\\
& -\sum_{\substack{b=3 \\
b \neq a}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in A \amalg B^{\mathrm{T}} \\
(a, A, b, B)=(3,4, \ldots, n)}}(-1)^{|B|} x_{2, a} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a, \rho, b} x_{b, 12} .
\end{align*}
$$

The terms $x_{2, a} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a, \rho, b} x_{b, 2}$ cancel each other when we sum over all $a, b \in\{3,4, \cdots, n\}$ with $a \neq b$ as prescribed by (6.16), and we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{34 \cdots n}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34 \cdots n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{IBP}}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{34 \cdots n}\left(\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{6.18}\\
& \quad-\boldsymbol{M}_{34 \cdots n}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \sum_{a=3}^{n} x_{2, a}-\boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \sum_{b=4}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{4, \cdots, b-1\}\\
}\{n, n-1, \cdots, b+1\}}(-1)^{n-b} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{3, \rho, b} x_{b, 12} \\
& \quad+\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \sum_{3 \leq a<b}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in A \amalg B^{\mathrm{T}} \\
(a, A, b, B)=(3,4, \ldots, n)}}(-1)^{|B|} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a, \rho, b}\left(x_{2, a} x_{b, 1}-x_{2, b} x_{a, 1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The first two lines are free of cycles, and the last line contains $f-\Omega$ cycles $\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) x_{2, a} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a, \rho, b} x_{b, 1}$ of length $n$ which we already know how to break. Again, reversing the order of breaking the cycles $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{C}_{(34 \cdots n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ does not change the form of the outcome of the F-IBP reduction. When specializing the length of the second cycle to $m=2$, the ordered sets $\rho$ in the last two lines of (6.18) are empty, and we reproduce (6.11). Additional examples at $m=3,4$ will be given below.

### 6.2.1 Example at $n=5$ points

For cycles of length two and $m=3$, (6.18) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1+s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{345}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(345)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \stackrel{\text { IBP }}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{345}\left(\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{6.19}\\
-\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{345}\left(\xi_{2}\right) x_{2,345}-\boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{345} x_{5,12}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{354} x_{4,12}\right) \\
+\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\left(\left(x_{2,3} x_{5,1}-x_{2,5} x_{3,1}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{345}+\left(x_{2,4} x_{3,1}-x_{2,3} x_{4,1}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{354}\right. \\
\left.+\left(x_{2,5} x_{4,1}-x_{2,4} x_{5,1}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{435}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which can for instance be applied to simplify the integrand $V_{2}(1,2) V_{3}(3,4,5)$ of five-point heterotic-string amplitudes.






Figure 9. Graphical representation of the products of the Kronecker-Eisenstein series (solid lines) and factors of $f_{i j}^{(1)}$ (dotted lines) in the chain decomposition of the product $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1, m+2 \ldots n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$. The initial two arrows demonstrate the use of IBP relations, specifically those in (6.21) and (6.23), to break the cycles. The concluding arrows elucidate the simplification process that leads to (6.24).

### 6.2.2 Example at $\boldsymbol{n}=6$ points

For cycles of length two and $m=4$, (6.18) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left(1+s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{3456}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(3456}\right)\left(\xi_{2}\right) \stackrel{\text { IBP }}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{3456}\left(\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{6.20}\\
& \quad-\boldsymbol{M}_{3456}\left(\xi_{2}\right) x_{2,3456} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)-\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{3456} x_{6,12}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{3654} x_{4,12}-\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{3465}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{3645}\right) x_{5,12}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \\
& \quad+\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\left(\left(x_{2,3} x_{6,1}-x_{2,6} x_{3,1}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{3456}+\left(x_{2,3} x_{4,1}-x_{2,4} x_{3,1}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{3654}\right. \\
& \quad-\left(x_{2,3} x_{5,1}-x_{2,5} x_{3,1}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{3465}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{3645}\right)+\left(x_{2,4} x_{5,1}-x_{2,5} x_{4,1}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{4365} \\
& \left.\quad+\left(x_{2,5} x_{6,1}-x_{2,6} x_{5,1}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{5436}-\left(x_{2,4} x_{6,1}-x_{2,6} x_{4,1}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{4356}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{4536}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which can for instance be applied to simplify the integrand $V_{2}(1,2) V_{4}(3,4,5,6)$ of six-point heterotic-string amplitudes.

### 6.3 Two cycles of general length

As a further generalization of the previous F-IBP reductions, we shall now consider the most general product $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1, m+2, \cdots, n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ of two cycles of arbitrary length $m$ and
$n-m \geq 2$. As schematically shown in figure 9 , we start by breaking $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(1+s_{12 \cdots m}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1, m+2, \cdots, n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \stackrel{\text { IBP }}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1, m+2, \cdots, n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{6.21}\\
&-\sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, b-1\} \\
山\{m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b} \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} x_{b, j} \boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1, m+2, \cdots, n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The next step is to break the second cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1, m+2, \cdots, n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ according the attachment point $j \in\{m+1, \ldots, n\}$ of the factors $x_{b, j}$ in the second line,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{b, j}\left(1+s_{m+1, m+2, \cdots n}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1, m+2, \cdots, n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{IBP}}{=} x_{b, j} \boldsymbol{M}_{m+1, m+2, \cdots n}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \sum_{\substack{p=m+1 \\ p \neq j}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in X \amalg Y^{\mathrm{T}} \\(j, X, p, Y)=(m+1, m+2, \ldots, n)}}(-1)^{|Y|} x_{b, j} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j, \sigma, p} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq b}}^{m} x_{p, k} . \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the above two equations, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12 \cdots m}\right)\left(1+s_{m+1 \cdots n}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1, \cdots, n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \stackrel{\text { IBP }}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{m+1 \cdots n}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \\
& -\boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \sum_{p=m+2}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in\{m+2, m+3, \cdots, p-1\}}(-1)^{n-m-p} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{m+1, \sigma, p} \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{p, k}  \tag{6.23}\\
& ш\{n, n-1, \cdots, p+1\} \\
& -\boldsymbol{M}_{m+1, m+2, \cdots, n}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, b-1\} \\
\\
w m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b} \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} x_{b, j} \\
& +\sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, b-1\} \\
\\
\psi m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b} \sum_{\substack{j, p=m+1 \\
j \neq p}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\left.\sigma \in X \amalg Y^{\mathrm{T}} \\
j, X, x, Y\right)=(m+1, m+2, \ldots, n)}}(-1)^{|Y|} x_{b, j} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j, \sigma, p} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\
k \neq b}}^{m} x_{p, k} .
\end{align*}
$$

The first three lines are readily seen to be free of cycles and symmetric under exchange of $\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1, m+2, \cdots, n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$. However, this exchange symmetry is not manifest in the last line: new $f-\Omega$ cycles are formed, with lengths ranging from $n-m+1$ to $n$, and they may have Kronecker-Eisenstein chains attached to them. In order to simplify the last line of (6.23) and expose its symmetries, we exchange the summation variables $b$ and $k$ and subsequently take their average. By virtue of (4.68), we rewrite the last line of (6.23) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\substack{a, b=1 \\
a<b}}^{m} \sum_{\substack{j, p=m+1 \\
j \neq p}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in A \uplus B^{\mathrm{T}} \\
(a, A, b, B)=(1,2, \ldots, m)}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in X \uplus Y^{\mathrm{T}} \\
(j, X, p, Y)=(m+1, m+2, \ldots, n)}}(-1)^{|B|+|Y|} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a, \rho, b} x_{b, j} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j, \sigma, p} x_{p, a}  \tag{6.24}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a, b=1 \\
a \neq b}}^{m} \sum_{\substack{j, p=m+1}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{p \in A \amalg B^{\mathrm{T}} \\
j \neq p}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in X \uplus Y^{\mathrm{T}} \\
(a, A, b, B)=(1,2, \ldots, m)}}(-1)^{|B|+|Y| Y \mid} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a, \rho, b} x_{b, j} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j, \sigma, p} x_{p, a} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, our final formula to break two cycles of arbitrary length is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12 \cdots m}\right)\left(1+s_{m+1 \cdots n}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1 \cdots, n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \stackrel{\text { IBP }}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{m+1 \cdots, n}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \\
& -\boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \sum_{p=m+2}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in\{m+2, m+3, \cdots, p-1\} \\
\\
w\{n, n-1, \cdots, p+1\}}}(-1)^{n-m-p} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{m+1, \sigma, p} \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{p, k}  \tag{6.25}\\
& -\boldsymbol{M}_{m+1, m+2, \cdots, n}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2,3, \cdots, b-1\} \\
\\
\\
\hline m, m-1, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b} \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} x_{b, j} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a, b=1 \\
a \neq b}}^{m} \sum_{\substack{j, p=m+1 \\
j \neq p}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in A \amalg B^{\mathrm{T}} \\
(a, A, b, B)=(1,2, \ldots, m)}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in X ш Y^{\mathrm{T}} \\
(j, X, p, Y)=(m+1, m+2, \ldots, n)}}(-1)^{|B|+|Y|} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a, \rho, b} x_{b, j} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j, \sigma, p} x_{p, a},
\end{align*}
$$

where the $f-\Omega$ cycles of length $n$ in the last line can be broken by isolating suitable components in the Laurent expansion of (4.40). As indicated by the equivalence relation $\stackrel{\text { IBP }}{=}$, total KobaNielsen derivatives have been discarded on the right-hand side whose explicit form can be found in appendix B. As before, the right-hand side of (6.25) would take the same form if the cycles had been broken in reversed order.

At length $m=2$, the sets $\rho$ in the last two lines of (6.25) are empty, which implies that $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}$ in the third line becomes $\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$, and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a, \rho, b}$ in the last line becomes $\pm \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$. Therefore, we can see how the specialization of (6.25) to $m=2$ reproduces (6.18). Applications of $(6.25)$ to products $V_{m}(1,2, \cdots, m) V_{n-m}(m+1, \cdots, n)$ in actual string integrands are straightforward, see (6.15) for an example at $(m, n)=(2,4)$.

### 6.3.1 Example with two cycles of length three

The simplest example of our general result (6.25) for products of two cycles that has not been covered in section 6.2 is the IBP reduction of $\boldsymbol{C}_{(123)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(456)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ at $n=6$ points,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{123}\right)\left(1+s_{456}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(123)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(456)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \stackrel{\text { IBP }}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{123}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{456}\left(\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{6.26}\\
& \quad-\boldsymbol{M}_{123}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{456} x_{6,123}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{465} x_{5,123}\right)-\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} x_{3,456}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132} x_{2,456}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{456}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{456}\left(x_{1,4} x_{3,6}-x_{1,6} x_{3,4}\right)+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{465}\left(x_{1,5} x_{3,4}-x_{1,4} x_{3,5}\right) \\
& \quad+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{123} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{546}\left(x_{1,6} x_{3,5}-x_{1,5} x_{3,6}\right)+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{456}\left(x_{1,6} x_{2,4}-x_{1,4} x_{2,6}\right) \\
& \quad+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{546}\left(x_{1,5} x_{2,6}-x_{1,6} x_{2,5}\right)+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{132} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{465}\left(x_{1,4} x_{2,5}-x_{1,5} x_{2,4}\right) \\
& \quad+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{213} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{456}\left(x_{2,6} x_{3,4}-x_{2,4} x_{3,6}\right)+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{213} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{465}\left(x_{2,4} x_{3,5}-x_{2,5} x_{3,4}\right) \\
& \quad+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{213} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{546}\left(x_{2,5} x_{3,6}-x_{2,6} x_{3,5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.4 Two $\boldsymbol{F}$-cycles

The procedure to break two meromorphic $F$-cycles in $(5.15)$ is similar to that of $\Omega$-cycles, with the additional consideration of terms involving $\ell \cdot k_{b}$ when applying (5.25). We shall first demonstrate this with a four-point example and then state a general result for arbitrary lengths of the two cycles.

For a product of two length-two cycles, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}+\xi_{1}\right) F_{21}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=$ $F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}+\xi_{2}\right) F_{43}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ at $n=4$ points, we start by breaking the $F$-cycle $\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ via (5.20),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{6.27}\\
& \quad+\left(\ell \cdot k_{2}-\tilde{x}_{2,34}\right) F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)-\tilde{\nabla}_{2}\left(F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{x}_{i, j}$ is defined by (5.6). We then proceed to breaking the second cycle in two different ways, depending on the attachment points $i=3,4$ of the products $\tilde{x}_{2, i} \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(1+s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{34}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right)+F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\left(\ell \cdot k_{2}-\tilde{x}_{2,3}\right)-\tilde{\nabla}_{2} F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\left(\ell \cdot k_{4}-\tilde{x}_{4,12}\right)-\tilde{\nabla}_{4} F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\right) \\
&-F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \tilde{x}_{2,4}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{34}\left(\xi_{4}\right)+F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\left(\tilde{x}_{3,12}-\ell \cdot k_{3}\right)+\tilde{\nabla}_{3} F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\right) . \tag{6.28}
\end{align*}
$$

This can be rewritten as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{34}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{6.29}\\
& \quad-\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \tilde{x}_{4,12} F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)-\tilde{x}_{2,34} F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\tilde{x}_{2,3} \tilde{x}_{4,1}-\tilde{x}_{2,4} \tilde{x}_{3,1}\right) F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) \\
& \quad+\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) \ell \cdot k_{4}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right) F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \ell \cdot k_{2}+F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) \ell \cdot k_{2} \ell \cdot k_{4} \\
& \quad+F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\left(\tilde{x}_{2,4} \ell \cdot k_{3}-\tilde{x}_{4,12} \ell \cdot k_{2}-\tilde{x}_{2,3} \ell \cdot k_{4}\right) \\
& \quad+\tilde{\nabla}_{4}\left(F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\left(\tilde{x}_{2,3}-\ell \cdot k_{2}\right)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+\tilde{\nabla}_{2}\left(F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) F_{34}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\left(\tilde{x}_{4,12}-\ell \cdot k_{4}\right)-\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right) F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad-\tilde{\nabla}_{3}\left(F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) \tilde{x}_{2,4}\right)+\tilde{\nabla}_{2} \tilde{\nabla}_{4}\left(F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where the first three lines are free of $\ell$ and related to (6.11) by $\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{i j}(\xi) \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{M}_{i j}(\xi)$ as well as $F_{i j}(\eta) \leftrightarrow \Omega_{i j}(\eta)$ and $\tilde{x}_{i, j} \leftrightarrow x_{i, j}$ as expected. The symmetry of (6.29) under the exchange $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \eta_{2}, \xi_{1}\right) \leftrightarrow\left(z_{3}, z_{4}, \eta_{4}, \xi_{2}\right)$ of the cycles is not fully manifest: the loop-momentum dependence in the fifth line differs from its image under the exchange of the cycles by a term $\sim \tilde{x}_{2,4} \ell \cdot\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}+k_{4}\right)$. Following the discussion below (5.3), translation invariance of the chiral Koba-Nielsen factor requires $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \ell \cdot k_{j}=0$ which establishes the expected exchange symmetry.

The total Koba-Nielsen derivatives in the last three lines of (6.29) yield boundary terms by the application of Stokes' theorem as in section 5.1.2. They cannot be discarded in a closed-string context since the primitives $\sim F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)$ of the $\tilde{\nabla}_{a}$ in (6.29) have B-cycle monodromies and therefore yield a non-vanishing right-hand side of (5.11).

### 6.4.1 Generalization to cycles of arbitrary length

The additional $\ell$-dependence in the four-point example (6.29) which is absent in its doublyperiodic counterpart (6.11) can be easily generalized to cycles of arbitrary length. For the
product of two arbitrary $F$－cycles $\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{\text {．．．}}(\xi)$ defined by（5．15），we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12 \cdots m}\right)\left(1+s_{m+1, \cdots n}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(m+1, \cdots, n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12 \cdots m}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{m+1, \cdots, n}\left(\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{6.30}\\
& -\sum_{p=m+2}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in\{m+2, \cdots, p-1\} \\
\lfloor\{n, \cdots, p+1\}}}(-1)^{n-m-p}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \tilde{x}_{p, k}-\ell \cdot k_{p}+\tilde{\nabla}_{p}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{m+1, \sigma, p} \tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12 \cdots m}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right) \\
& -\sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{ \\
\rho \in\{2, \cdots, b-1\} \\
山\{m, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b} \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{p=m+1 \\
p \neq j}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in X \uplus Y^{\mathrm{T}} \\
(j, X, p, Y)=(m+1, m+2, \ldots, n)}} \\
& \times(-1)^{|Y|}\left(\ell \cdot k_{p}-\tilde{\nabla}_{p}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{1, \rho, b} \tilde{x}_{b, j} \boldsymbol{F}_{j, \sigma, p}\right) \\
& -\sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2, \cdots, b-1\} \\
\omega\{m, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b}\left(\sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \tilde{x}_{b, j}-\ell \cdot k_{b}+\tilde{\nabla}_{b}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{1, \rho, b} \tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{m+1, m+2, \cdots, n}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right) \\
& -\sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2, \cdots, b-1\} \\
\omega\{m, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b} \sum_{p=m+2}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in\left\{\begin{array}{c}
m+2, \cdots, p-1\} \\
\\
\omega\{n, \cdots, p+1\}
\end{array}\right.}} \\
& \times(-1)^{n-m-p}\left(\ell \cdot k_{b}-\tilde{\nabla}_{b}\right)\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \tilde{x}_{p, k}-\ell \cdot k_{p}+\tilde{\nabla}_{p}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{1, \rho, b} \boldsymbol{F}_{m+1, \sigma, p}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a, b=1 \\
a \neq b}}^{m} \sum_{\substack{j, p=m+1 \\
j \neq p}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{p \in A \amalg B^{\mathrm{T}} \\
(a, A, b, B)=(1,2, \ldots, m)}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in X \uplus Y, \mathrm{~T} \\
(j, X, p, Y)=(m+1, m+2, \ldots, n)}}(-1)^{|B|+|Y|} \boldsymbol{F}_{a, \rho, b} \tilde{x}_{b, j} \boldsymbol{F}_{j, \sigma, p} \tilde{x}_{p, a} .
\end{align*}
$$

Apart from the last line，all terms not containing $\tilde{\nabla}_{b}$ on the right－hand side are free of $F$－cycles or combined cycles of $F_{i j}(\eta)$ and $g_{i j}^{(1)}$ ．Similar to the doubly－periodic case in（6．25）， the single－cycles $\boldsymbol{F}_{a, \rho, b} \tilde{x}_{b, j} \boldsymbol{F}_{j, \sigma, p} \tilde{x}_{p, a}$ in the last line can be broken using（5．18），by isolating suitable terms in the Laurent expansion．

Following the substitution rules（5．17），any $\tilde{\nabla}_{b}$ in（6．30）can be anticipated from the total derivatives $\nabla_{b}$ in the F－IBP reduction of two $\Omega$－cycles in appendix B．Moreover，the rules in（5．17）imply that the $\tilde{\nabla}_{b}$ always appear together with $\ell \cdot k_{b}$ with opposite signs．As discussed below（6．29），the total Koba－Nielsen derivatives $\tilde{\nabla}_{b}$ yield boundary terms by the B－cycle monodromies of the respective primitives．

## 6．4．2 Example at $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{5}$ points

Specializing（6．30）to $m=2$ and $n=5$ yields the following meromorphic analogue of（6．19），

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{345}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(345)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\left(\text { r.h.s. of }\left.(6.19)\right|_{x \rightarrow \tilde{x}, \boldsymbol{M} \rightarrow \tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}, \Omega \rightarrow F, \boldsymbol{\Omega} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{F}}\right)  \tag{6.31}\\
& \quad+\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{345}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\left(\ell \cdot k_{2}-\tilde{\nabla}_{2}\right) F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)+\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\left(\left(\ell \cdot k_{5}-\tilde{\nabla}_{5}\right) \boldsymbol{F}_{345}-\left(\ell \cdot k_{4}-\tilde{\nabla}_{4}\right) \boldsymbol{F}_{354}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\ell \cdot k_{2}-\tilde{\nabla}_{2}\right) F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\left(\left(\ell \cdot k_{5}-\tilde{\nabla}_{5}-\tilde{x}_{5,12}\right) \boldsymbol{F}_{345}-\left(\ell \cdot k_{4}-\tilde{\nabla}_{4}-\tilde{x}_{4,12}\right) \boldsymbol{F}_{354}\right) \\
& \quad+F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{F}_{345}\left(\tilde{x}_{2,5}\left(\ell \cdot k_{3}-\tilde{\nabla}_{3}\right)-\tilde{x}_{2,3}\left(\ell \cdot k_{5}-\tilde{\nabla}_{5}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{F}_{354}\left(\tilde{x}_{2,3}\left(\ell \cdot k_{4}-\tilde{\nabla}_{4}\right)-\tilde{x}_{2,4}\left(\ell \cdot k_{3}-\tilde{\nabla}_{3}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{F}_{435}\left(\tilde{x}_{2,4}\left(\ell \cdot k_{5}-\tilde{\nabla}_{5}\right)-\tilde{x}_{2,5}\left(\ell \cdot k_{4}-\tilde{\nabla}_{4}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

see（6．29）for its four－point counterpart．

### 6.4.3 Example at $\boldsymbol{n}=6$ points

Specializing (6.30) to $m=2$ and $n=6$ yields the following meromorphic analogue of (6.26),

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1+ & \left.s_{123}\right)\left(1+s_{456}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(123)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(456)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\left(\text { r.h.s. of }\left.(6.26)\right|_{x \rightarrow \tilde{x}, \boldsymbol{M} \rightarrow \tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}, \boldsymbol{\Omega} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{F}}\right) \\
& +\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{123}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\left(\ell \cdot k_{6} \boldsymbol{F}_{456}-\ell \cdot k_{5} \boldsymbol{F}_{465}\right)+\left(\ell \cdot k_{3} \boldsymbol{F}_{123}-\ell \cdot k_{2} \boldsymbol{F}_{132}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{456}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \\
& +\left(\ell \cdot k_{3} \boldsymbol{F}_{123}-\ell \cdot k_{2} \boldsymbol{F}_{132}\right)\left(\ell \cdot k_{6} \boldsymbol{F}_{456}-\ell \cdot k_{5} \boldsymbol{F}_{465}\right) \\
& +\left[\left(\tilde{x}_{3,6} \ell \cdot k_{4}-\tilde{x}_{6,123} \ell \cdot k_{3}-\tilde{x}_{3,4} \ell \cdot k_{6}\right) \boldsymbol{F}_{123} \boldsymbol{F}_{456}+\left(\tilde{x}_{3,5} \ell \cdot k_{6}-\tilde{x}_{3,6} \ell \cdot k_{5}\right) \boldsymbol{F}_{123} \boldsymbol{F}_{546}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\tilde{x}_{5,123} \ell \cdot k_{3}-\tilde{x}_{3,5} \ell \cdot k_{4}+\tilde{x}_{3,4} \ell \cdot k_{5}\right) \boldsymbol{F}_{123} \boldsymbol{F}_{465}-(2 \leftrightarrow 3)\right] \\
& +(\text { total Koba-Nielsen derivatives })
\end{aligned}
$$

where the total Koba-Nielsen derivatives can be reinstated by substituting $\ell \cdot k_{i} \rightarrow \ell \cdot k_{i}-\tilde{\nabla}_{i}$ acting from the left on the accompanying functions of $z_{i}$. Double derivatives due to bilinears in $\ell \cdot k_{i}$ (say $\tilde{\nabla}_{3} \tilde{\nabla}_{6} \boldsymbol{F}_{123} \boldsymbol{F}_{456}$ due to $\ell \cdot k_{3} \boldsymbol{F}_{123} \ell \cdot k_{6} \boldsymbol{F}_{456}$ ) do not introduce any ordering ambiguities in view of $\tilde{\nabla}_{i} \tilde{\nabla}_{j}-\tilde{\nabla}_{j} \tilde{\nabla}_{i}=0$ for any pair $i \neq j$.

Similar to (6.29), the first three lines on the right-hand side of (6.32) are manifestly symmetric under exchange of the cycles, i.e. under $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}, \xi_{1}\right) \leftrightarrow\left(z_{4}, z_{5}, z_{6}, \eta_{5}, \eta_{6}, \xi_{2}\right)$. The fourth and fifth line in turn share this symmetry up to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell \cdot\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+\cdots+k_{6}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{123} \boldsymbol{F}_{456} \tilde{x}_{3,6}-\boldsymbol{F}_{132} \boldsymbol{F}_{456} \tilde{x}_{2,6}-\boldsymbol{F}_{123} \boldsymbol{F}_{465} \tilde{x}_{3,5}+\boldsymbol{F}_{132} \boldsymbol{F}_{465} \tilde{x}_{2,5}\right), \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which vanishes by translation invariance of the chiral Koba-Nielsen factor.

### 6.5 Towards triple cycles

We conclude this section with a glimpse of F-IBP reductions of triple cycles, following the earlier approach of sequentially breaking the cycles and prioritizing the breaking of tadpoles. Even though larger numbers of cycles do not introduce any conceptual challenges, the combinatorial complexity increases. In a companion paper [49], we provide systematic methods for breaking three or more cycles as well as more general configurations of KroneckerEisenstein series by introducing new terminologies and applying combinatorial tools beyond the scope of this work to simplify the expressions.

### 6.5.1 Doubly-periodic cycles at six points

By extending the techniques of section 6.1 to a third cycle of length two, we derive the following six-point result:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{34}\right)\left(1+s_{56}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(56)}\left(\xi_{3}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{IBP}}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{56}\left(\xi_{3}\right)  \tag{6.34}\\
& -\boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{56} x_{6,1234}-\boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{56}\left(\xi_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{34} x_{4,1256}-\boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{56}\left(\xi_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} x_{2,3456} \\
& +\boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{34} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{56}\left(x_{4,12} x_{6,1234}+x_{4,5} x_{6,12}-x_{4,6} x_{5,12}\right) \\
& +\boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{56}\left(x_{2,34} x_{6,1234}+x_{2,5} x_{6,34}-x_{2,6} x_{5,34}\right) \\
& +\boldsymbol{M}_{56}\left(\xi_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{34}\left(x_{2,56} x_{4,1256}+x_{2,3} x_{4,56}-x_{2,4} x_{3,56}\right) \\
& +\left(1+s_{12}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{34} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{56}\left(x_{4,5} x_{6,3}-x_{4,6} x_{5,3}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(1+s_{34}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{56}\left(x_{2,5} x_{6,1}-x_{2,6} x_{5,1}\right) \\
& +\left(1+s_{56}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(56)}\left(\xi_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{34}\left(x_{2,3} x_{4,1}-x_{2,4} x_{3,1}\right) \\
& +\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{34} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{56}\left(x_{1,4} x_{2,6} x_{3,5}+x_{1,5} x_{2,4} x_{3,6}-x_{1,6} x_{2,4} x_{3,5}-x_{1,4} x_{2,5} x_{3,6}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+x_{1,6} x_{2,3} x_{4,5}-x_{1,3} x_{2,6} x_{4,5}-x_{1,5} x_{2,3} x_{4,6}+x_{1,3} x_{2,5} x_{4,6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

While the first five lines on the right-hand side are already in the desired chain form, the remaining lines feature two types of cycles of lower complexity:

- In the third to fifth line of (6.34) from below, each term is a product of a length-two cycle $\boldsymbol{C}_{(i j)}(\xi)$ and a $f-\Omega$ cycle $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a b} x_{b, c} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{c d} x_{d, a}$ of length four. Their decomposition into the chain basis follows from Laurent expansion of (6.20) in its bookkeeping variables.
- The last two lines of (6.34) feature single-cycles $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a b} x_{b, c} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{c d} x_{d, e} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{e f} x_{f, a}$ of length six whose F-IBP reduction is determined by (4.40).

Hence, by importing results of earlier sections, the entire right-hand side of (6.34) can be reduced to expansion coefficients of the conjectural chain basis $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \rho(23456)}$ with $\rho \in S_{5}$.

### 6.5.2 Doubly-periodic cycles at seven points

The methods of deriving (6.34) can be straightforwardly extended to the following sevenpoint case,

$$
\begin{align*}
&(1+\left.\left.s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{34}\right)\left(1+s_{567}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(567)}\right) \\
&\left.\quad-\xi_{3}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{IBP}}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{567}\left(\xi_{3}\right) \\
&-\boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{567}\left(\xi_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{567} x_{7,12567}-\boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{567}\left(\xi_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} x_{2,34567}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{576} x_{6,1234}\right)  \tag{6.35}\\
&+ {\left[\boldsymbol { M } _ { 1 2 } ( \xi _ { 1 } ) \boldsymbol { \Omega } _ { 3 4 } \left(x_{4,12}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{567} x_{7,1234}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{576} x_{6,1234}\right)+x_{4,5}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{567} x_{7,12}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{576} x_{6,12}\right)\right.\right.} \\
&\left.\left.\quad+x_{4,6}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{675} x_{5,12}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{657} x_{7,12}\right)+x_{4,7}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{756} x_{6,12}-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{765} x_{5,12}\right)\right)+(12 \leftrightarrow 34)\right] \\
&+ \boldsymbol{M}_{567}\left(\xi_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{34}\left(x_{2,567} x_{4,12567}+x_{2,3} x_{4,567}-x_{2,4} x_{3,567}\right) \\
&+ {\left[( 1 + s _ { 3 4 } ) \boldsymbol { C } _ { ( 1 2 ) } ( \xi _ { 1 } ) \boldsymbol { \Omega } _ { 3 4 } \left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{567}\left(x_{4,5} x_{7,3}-x_{4,7} x_{5,3}\right)+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{576}\left(x_{4,6} x_{5,3}-x_{4,5} x_{6,3}\right)\right.\right.} \\
&\left.\left.\quad+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{657}\left(x_{4,7} x_{6,3}-x_{4,6} x_{7,3}\right)\right)+(12 \leftrightarrow 34)\right] \\
&+\left(1+s_{567}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(567)}\left(\xi_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{34}\left(x_{2,3} x_{4,1}-x_{2,4} x_{3,1}\right) \\
&+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{34}\left[\left(x_{1,4}\left(x_{2,7} x_{3,5}-x_{2,5} x_{3,7}\right)+x_{1,7}\left(x_{2,3} x_{4,5}-x_{2,4} x_{3,5}\right)\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\quad+x_{1,5}\left(x_{2,4} x_{3,7}-x_{2,3} x_{4,7}\right)+x_{1,3}\left(x_{2,5} x_{4,7}-x_{2,7} x_{4,5}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{567}+\operatorname{cyc}(5,6,7)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

While the first six lines on the right-hand side are term-by-term in chain form, we have

- products of two cycles (lengths $2+5$ and $3+4$ ) in the third to fifth line from below which can be broken via Laurent expansion of (6.25);
- single-cycles of length seven in the last two lines which can be broken via (4.40).

This example illustrates once more that a recursive approach in the number of cycles is well adapted to the F-IBP reduction of multiple cycles.

### 6.5.3 Meromorphic cycles at six points

As a last case study of triple cycles, we shall spell out the meromorphic analogue of the six-point F -IBP reduction in (6.34)

$$
\begin{align*}
(1+ & \left.s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{34}\right)\left(1+s_{56}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(56)}\left(\xi_{3}\right)=\left(\text { r.h.s. of }\left.(6.34)\right|_{M \rightarrow \tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}, \Omega \rightarrow F} ^{x \rightarrow \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}, \boldsymbol{C}}\right) \\
& +\left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \ell \cdot k_{6} F_{56}\left(\eta_{6}\right)+\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \ell \cdot k_{4} \ell \cdot k_{6} F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) F_{56}\left(\eta_{6}\right)\right.  \tag{6.36}\\
& \left.+\tilde{\boldsymbol{M}}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\left(\ell \cdot k_{5} \tilde{x}_{4,6}-\ell \cdot k_{4} \tilde{x}_{6,1234}-\ell \cdot k_{6} \tilde{x}_{4,125}\right) F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) F_{56}\left(\eta_{6}\right)+\operatorname{cyc}(12,34,56)\right] \\
& +F_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) F_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) F_{56}\left(\eta_{6}\right)\left(\ell \cdot k_{2} \ell \cdot k_{4} \ell \cdot k_{6}-\ell \cdot k_{2} \ell \cdot k_{4} \tilde{x}_{6,1234}+\ell \cdot k_{2} \ell \cdot k_{5} \tilde{x}_{4,6}-\ell \cdot k_{2} \ell \cdot k_{6} \tilde{x}_{4,125}\right. \\
& +\ell \cdot k_{3} \ell \cdot k_{6} \tilde{x}_{2,4}+\ell \cdot k_{4} \ell \cdot k_{5} \tilde{x}_{2,6}-\ell \cdot k_{4} \ell \cdot k_{6} \tilde{x}_{2,35}+\ell \cdot k_{6}\left(\tilde{x}_{2,3} \tilde{x}_{4,5}-\tilde{x}_{2,4} \tilde{x}_{3,5}+\tilde{x}_{2,5} \tilde{x}_{4,1256}\right) \\
& +\ell \cdot k_{5}\left(\tilde{x}_{2,4} \tilde{x}_{3,6}-\tilde{x}_{2,3} \tilde{x}_{4,6}-\tilde{x}_{2,6} \tilde{x}_{4,1256}\right)+\ell \cdot k_{4}\left(\tilde{x}_{2,5} \tilde{x}_{6,3}-\tilde{x}_{2,6} \tilde{x}_{5,3}+\tilde{x}_{2,3} \tilde{x}_{6,1234}\right) \\
& \left.+\ell \cdot k_{3}\left(\tilde{x}_{2,6} \tilde{x}_{5,4}-\tilde{x}_{2,5} \tilde{x}_{6,4}-\tilde{x}_{2,4} \tilde{x}_{6,1234}\right)+\ell \cdot k_{2}\left(\tilde{x}_{4,5} \tilde{x}_{6,12}-\tilde{x}_{4,6} \tilde{x}_{5,12}+\tilde{x}_{4,12} \tilde{x}_{6,1234}\right)\right) \\
& \text { (total Koba-Nielsen derivatives). }
\end{align*}
$$

Both the second and the third line are manifestly symmetric under cyclic permutations of the three cycles, i.e. the associated groups of variables $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \eta_{2}, \xi_{1}\right),\left(z_{3}, z_{4}, \eta_{4}, \xi_{2}\right)$, and $\left(z_{5}, z_{6}, \eta_{6}, \xi_{3}\right)$. Lines four through seven, by contrast, only share this symmetry in the cycles after imposing the corollary $\sum_{j=1}^{6} \ell \cdot k_{j}=0$ of translation invariance of the chiral Koba-Nielsen factor. Manifest permutation symmetry in $\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right), \tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{(56)}\left(\xi_{3}\right)$ can of course be enforced by averaging (6.36) over permutations of the cycles.

Similar to the discussion below (6.32), the total Koba-Nielsen derivatives in the last line can again be reinstated by replacing $\ell \cdot k_{i} \rightarrow \ell \cdot k_{i}-\tilde{\nabla}_{i}$. This is unambiguous for any number of factors $\ell \cdot k_{i}$ since any pair of $\tilde{\nabla}_{i}, \tilde{\nabla}_{j}$ commutes.

In this work, we have significantly advanced the integration-by-parts methodology for oneloop string integrals of Koba-Nielsen type. Specifically, we have reduced cyclic products of Kronecker-Eisenstein series and their coefficients $f^{(w)}\left(z_{i}-z_{j}, \tau\right)$ into conjectural bases of oneloop string integrals built from Kronecker-Eisenstein products of chain topology [24-26]. Our results not only furnish strong validations of the chain bases in the references but also provide explicit formulae for the basis decompositions of one or two cycles of Kronecker-Eisenstein series of arbitrary length. A companion paper [49] will

- provide a Mathematica implementation of our main formulae,
- extend the recursive approach of this work to arbitrary numbers of Kronecker-Eisenstein cycles and identify the combinatorial structure of their integration-by-parts reduction,
- address more general configurations of Kronecker-Eisenstein series and coefficients besides cyclic products, to be represented via tadpoles, multibranch and even connected multiloop graphs.

As a first motivation for the detailed integration－by－parts reductions in this work，they can be applied to low－energy expansions of one－loop string amplitudes in bosonic，heterotic and supersymmetric theories．For the one－loop basis integrals of chain topology，differential equations in the modular parameter $\tau$ led to powerful expansion techniques for open strings［24， 25 ］and for closed strings［30］，supplemented by the Mathematica package［73］．The results of this work and［49］allow to swiftly export these expansions of chain integrals to string amplitudes in their more basic representation involving cyclic products of Kronecker－Eisenstein coefficients．The most interesting applications should arise in heterotic string theories whose bosonic conformal－field－theory sector tends to yield numerous cyclic products but which at the same time offer a rewarding window into string dualities．

As a second motivation，the techniques for basis decompositions of string integrals in this work pave the way for structural insights into one－loop amplitudes in string and field theory．The basis decompositions of one－loop string integrals unlocked in this work organize amplitudes in various string theories into gauge－invariant kinematic functions of external polarizations．For one－loop open－superstring amplitudes with maximal supersymmetry，these kinematic functions admit a field－theory interpretation which unravelled a surprising double－ copy structure［68，69］．Our results give access to the analogous one－loop kinematic functions in heterotic and bosonic theories and therefore guide the quest for similar double－copy structures． At tree level，this line of investigations revealed an elegant web of double－copy relations among different classes of open－string，closed－string and field－theory amplitudes［12，15－17］． Hence，this work is an opportunity to explore loop－level echoes of this web of double copies．

This work additionally spawns several mathematical lines of follow－up research．The consideration of string integrals over all the punctures at fixed modular parameter $\tau$ initiated fruitful crosstalk with algebraic geometers and number theorists through the appearance of elliptic multiple zeta values［22，27］and modular graph forms［31，32］in the low－energy expansion．When keeping not only $\tau$ but also some of the punctures $z_{i}$ fixed，intermediate steps of string－amplitude computations serve as generating functions of elliptic polylogarithms［22， 51,52 ］and their single－valued versions［74－77］．Conjectural $n$－point integral bases of dimension $n$ ！depending on one unintegrated puncture have been presented in $[28,29]$ and generalized to an arbitrary number of unintegrated punctures in［78］．This work offers concrete starting points to substantiate these bases through explicit integration－by－parts relations，and the recursive methods of the companion paper［49］may furthermore stimulate a general proof．

Moreover，the quest for bases of integrands under integration by parts is a common theme of string amplitudes and Feynman integrals in particle physics．Integration by parts in the presence of an ubiquitous Koba－Nielsen factor or its Feynman－integral counterparts［79－85］is closest to the setting of the twisted de Rham theory，initiated by Aomoto［1］and beautifully communicated by Mizera to the physics community in［2－4］．Finding a suitable framing in terms of twisted de Rham theory may offer a particularly elegant way to rigorously establish the Kronecker－Eisenstein chains as a basis of genus－one string integrals．In particular，this kind of understanding should offer a unified description of integration－by－parts reduction，monodromy relations［86－90］as well as relations between open and closed strings［91－94］at genus one．

In fact，previous mathematical work［95－97］identifies a twisted－cohomology setup where meromorphic Kronecker－Eisenstein series are proven to form a basis．The cohomology
setup in these references enjoys striking parallels with the chiral-splitting approach to string amplitudes [47, 48] but has so far only been developed for a single integrated puncture (with an arbitrary number of unintegrated ones). A generalization of their work to multiple integration variables could readily prove the conjectures addressed in the present work. Alternatively, one could take the Lie-algebraic toolkit of Felder and Varchenko [98] as a starting point to attempt an alternative derivation of the results in our work.

Finally, the conjectural integral bases and integration-by-parts techniques in this work call for generalizations to higher genus. Based on the recent proposal for higher-genus analogues of the Kronecker-Eisenstein kernels [99], the most immediate question concerns their Fay identities and generating functions of Koba-Nielsen integrals that close under moduli derivatives. The mechanisms for integration by parts in this work including the role of Fay identities and their coincident limit should offer essential guidance for several of the challenging steps in developing a comprehensive framework for higher-genus string integrals. On the one hand, these generalizations of our results will feed into tools for concrete string-amplitude computations at higher genus. On the other hand, the study of suitable families of Koba-Nielsen integrals will have valuable input for the construction of function spaces of interest to particle physicists and mathematicians including higher-genus incarnations of modular tensors, polylogarithms and multiple zeta values.
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## A Chain decompositions of $V_{m}(1,2, \ldots, m)$ up to five points

This appendix is dedicated to the chain decomposition (4.53) of the elliptic $V_{m}(1,2, \ldots, m)$ functions in (4.47) at $m=4,5$ points (see section 4.4.4 for a detailed discussion at $m=3$ ). For simplicity, the Koba-Nielsen factor is considered at the same multiplicity $n=m$ : the extra terms for $n>m$ can be straightforwardly reconstructed from the second line of (4.53).

## A. 1 Four points

The $(n=m=4)$-point instance of (4.53) together with the tools in section 4.4 .3 lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{1234}\right) V_{4}(1,2,3,4) \stackrel{\mathrm{IBP}}{=} \boldsymbol{M}_{1234} \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \eta_{4}^{0}, \eta_{5}^{0}} \\
& =\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2} V_{2}(1,2,3,4)+\mathrm{G}_{4}\left(1+3 s_{13}+3 s_{24}\right) \\
& \quad+ \\
& \quad\left[\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}\left(\frac{s_{12}}{\eta_{234}}-\frac{s_{12}}{\eta_{23}}+\frac{2\left(s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34}\right)}{\eta_{4}}-\frac{s_{24}}{\eta_{2}}-\frac{s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{34}}{\eta_{3}}+\frac{s_{13}+s_{23}}{\eta_{34}}\right)\right. \\
& \quad+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1243}\left(\frac{s_{12}+s_{23}}{\eta_{2}}-\frac{s_{12}}{\eta_{23}}+\frac{s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34}}{\eta_{4}}-\frac{2\left(s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{34}\right)}{\eta_{3}}-\frac{s_{14}+s_{24}}{\eta_{34}}\right)  \tag{A.1}\\
& \left.\quad+s_{13} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1324}\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{23}}+\frac{1}{\eta_{34}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{234}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{3}}\right)+(2 \leftrightarrow 4)\right] \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \eta_{4}^{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

where the relabelling $2 \leftrightarrow 4$ of the subscripts of $s_{i j}, \eta_{i}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 i j k}$ applies to the last three lines. As detailed below (6.3), the notation $\stackrel{\text { IBP }}{=}$ in the first line indicates that total Koba-Nielsen derivatives $\nabla_{i}(\ldots)$ have been discarded in passing to the right-hand side. It remains to extract the coefficients of $\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \eta_{4}^{0}$ in ratios such as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1234}}{\eta_{2}} \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \eta_{4}^{0}}= & f_{34}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(1)} f_{12}^{(2)}+2 f_{23}^{(1)} f_{12}^{(3)}-f_{23}^{(1)} f_{34}^{(3)}-f_{34}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(3)}+2 f_{34}^{(1)} f_{12}^{(3)}  \tag{A.2}\\
& +f_{12}^{(2)} f_{23}^{(2)}-f_{34}^{(2)} f_{23}^{(2)}+f_{12}^{(2)} f_{34}^{(2)}-f_{23}^{(4)}+3 f_{12}^{(4)}-f_{34}^{(4)} \\
\frac{\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1324}}{\eta_{34}} \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}, \eta_{4}^{0}}= & f_{24}^{(1)} f_{13}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(2)}-f_{23}^{(1)} f_{13}^{(1)} f_{24}^{(2)}-f_{23}^{(1)} f_{24}^{(1)} f_{13}^{(2)}-f_{13}^{(1)} f_{23}^{(3)}+f_{13}^{(1)} f_{24}^{(3)}+f_{23}^{(2)} f_{24}^{(2)} \\
& +f_{24}^{(1)}\left(f_{13}^{(3)}-f_{23}^{(3)}\right)-f_{23}^{(1)}\left(f_{24}^{(3)}+f_{13}^{(3)}\right)+f_{13}^{(2)}\left(f_{23}^{(2)}+f_{24}^{(2)}\right)+f_{13}^{(4)}+f_{23}^{(4)}+f_{24}^{(4)}
\end{align*}
$$

which is straightforward to implement via Mathematica.

## A. 2 Five points

At $n=m=5$ points, (4.53) together with the tools in section 4.4.3 yield

$$
\begin{align*}
(1+ & \left.s_{12345}\right) V_{5}(1,2,3,4,5) \stackrel{\text { IBP }}{=} \hat{\mathrm{G}}_{2} V_{3}(1,2,3,4,5)+\mathrm{G}_{4} V_{1}(1,2,3,4,5)  \tag{A.3}\\
+ & 3 \mathrm{G}_{4}\left[\left(s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{35}\right) f_{12}^{(1)}+s_{13} f_{13}^{(1)}+\operatorname{cyc}(1,2,3,4,5)\right] \\
+ & {\left[\boldsymbol { \Omega } _ { 1 2 3 4 5 } \left(\frac{s_{12}}{\eta_{2345}}-\frac{s_{12}}{\eta_{234}}-\frac{s_{25}}{\eta_{2}}-\frac{s_{35}}{\eta_{3}}-\frac{s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34}+s_{45}}{\eta_{4}}\right.\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{2\left(s_{15}+s_{25}+s_{35}+s_{45}\right)}{\eta_{5}}-\frac{s_{13}+s_{23}}{\eta_{34}}+\frac{s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34}}{\eta_{45}}+\frac{s_{13}+s_{23}}{\eta_{345}}\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12534}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{15234}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12354}\right)\left(-\frac{s_{12}}{\eta_{23}}+\frac{s_{12}}{\eta_{234}}-\frac{s_{24}}{\eta_{2}}-\frac{s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{34}+s_{35}}{\eta_{3}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2\left(s_{14}+s_{24}+s_{34}+s_{45}\right)}{\eta_{4}}-\frac{s_{15}+s_{25}+s_{45}}{\eta_{5}}+\frac{s_{13}+s_{23}+s_{35}}{\eta_{34}}+\frac{s_{15}+s_{25}}{\eta_{45}}\right) \\
& +\mathbf{\Omega}_{12354}\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{5}}+\frac{1}{\eta_{34}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{45}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{3}}\right) s_{35}+\mathbf{\Omega}_{15234}\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{23}}+\frac{1}{\eta_{45}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{234}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{5}}\right) s_{25} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{34}}+\frac{1}{\eta_{45}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{345}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{4}}\right)\left(s_{14}+s_{24}\right)\left(\mathbf{\Omega}_{12435}+\mathbf{\Omega}_{12453}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{234}}+\frac{1}{\eta_{345}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{2345}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{34}}\right) s_{13}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{13245}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{13425}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{13452}\right) \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{\eta_{234}}+\frac{1}{\eta_{3}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{23}}-\frac{1}{\eta_{34}}\right) s_{13}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{13254}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{13524}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{13542}\right)-(23 \leftrightarrow 54)\right] \|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \ldots, \eta_{5}^{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the simultaneous relabelling $2 \leftrightarrow 5$ and $3 \leftrightarrow 4$ applies to the last eight lines. The extraction of coefficients $\eta_{2}^{0}, \ldots, \eta_{5}^{0}$ in the ratios $\frac{\Omega_{1 i j k l}}{\eta_{I}}$ is again straightforward, see (A.2) for examples at four points.

## B Restoring total Koba-Nielsen derivatives in breaking double cycles

In sections 6.1 to 6.3 , we explained how to break a product of any two Kronecker-Eisenstein cycles. However, it is important to note that the main result (6.25) is an equivalence relation as we omitted several total Koba-Nielsen derivatives. In this appendix, we reinstate these total Koba-Nielsen derivatives which not only feed into the discussions of sections 6.4 and 6.5 but also pave the way for closed-string applications.

The exact version of (6.25) including all total Koba-Nielsen derivatives reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12 \cdots m}\right)\left(1+s_{m+1 \cdots n}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1 \cdots n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{m+1 \cdots n}\left(\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{B.1}\\
& -\sum_{p=m+2}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in\{m+2, \cdots, p-1\} \\
山\{n, \cdots, p+1\}}}(-1)^{n-m-p}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{p, k}+\nabla_{p}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{m+1, \sigma, p} \boldsymbol{M}_{12 \cdots m}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{ \\
\rho \in\{2, \cdots, b-1\} \\
\omega\{m, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b} \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{p=m+1 \\
p \neq j}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in X \uplus Y^{\mathrm{T}} \\
(j, X, p, Y)=(m+1, m+2, \ldots, n)}} \\
& \times(-1)^{|Y|} \nabla_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b} x_{b, j} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j, \sigma, p}\right) \\
& -\sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\rho \in\{2, \cdots, b-1\} \\
\omega\{m, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b}\left(\sum_{j=m+1}^{n} x_{b, j}+\nabla_{b}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b} \boldsymbol{M}_{m+1, m+2, \cdots, n}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{b=2}^{m} \sum_{\substack{ \\
\rho \in\{2, \cdots, b-1\} \\
\omega\{m, \cdots, b+1\}}}(-1)^{m-b} \sum_{p=m+2}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in\{m+2, \cdots, p-1\} \\
\omega\{n, \cdots, p+1\}}}(-1)^{n-m-p} \\
& \times \nabla_{b}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{p, k}+\nabla_{p}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{m+1, \sigma, p}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{a, b=1 \\
a \neq b}}^{m} \sum_{\substack{j, p=m+1 \\
j \neq p}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{p \in A \amalg B^{\mathrm{T}} \\
(a, A, b, B)=(1,2, \ldots, m)}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in X \Perp Y^{\mathrm{T}} \\
(j, X, p, Y)=(m+1, m+2, \ldots, n)}}(-1)^{|B|+|Y|} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a, \rho, b} x_{b, j} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{j, \sigma, p} x_{p, a}
\end{align*}
$$

and implies (6.30) under the substitution rules (5.17). At $n=4$ points with two cycles
of length $m=2$, this specializes to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+s_{12}\right)\left(1+s_{34}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(12)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(34)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right)  \tag{B.2}\\
& \quad-\boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) x_{4,12} \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)-x_{2,34} \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right)+\left(x_{2,3} x_{4,1}-x_{2,4} x_{3,1}\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) \\
& \quad+\nabla_{2} \nabla_{4}\left(\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)\right)-\nabla_{4}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{12}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right)-\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) x_{2,3}\right) \\
& \quad-\nabla_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{34}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right)-\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{2}\right) x_{4,12}\right)-\nabla_{3}\left(\Omega_{12}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \Omega_{34}\left(\eta_{4}\right) x_{2,4}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where the first two lines were already spelt out in (6.11), and the last two lines are total Koba-Nielsen derivatives discarded in section 6.1.

The tracking of total Koba-Nielsen derivatives in (B.1) is essential for applications to generating functions of closed-string integrands (2.29). As exemplified in section 3.1, the factors of $\overline{\Omega\left(z_{i}-z_{j}, \eta, \tau\right)}$ in a closed-string context - to be collectively denoted by $\bar{\varphi}=\overline{\varphi\left(z_{j}, \tau\right)}$ in the rest of this appendix - interfere with the $\nabla_{b}$ in the F-IBP manipulations of $\Omega\left(z_{i}-z_{j}, \eta, \tau\right)$. Hence, the images of $\nabla_{b}$ in (B.1) are key information for the chain decomposition of products

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{C}_{(12 \cdots m)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{C}_{(m+1, m+2, \cdots, n)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) \bar{\varphi} \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in presence of combinations of $\overline{\Omega\left(z_{i}-z_{j}, \eta, \tau\right)}$. The extra terms from integration by parts of holomorphic derivatives are obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{b} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}\right) \bar{\varphi}=\nabla_{b}\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b} \bar{\varphi}\right)-\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b} \partial_{i} \bar{\varphi}, \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1, \rho, b}$ was chosen as a placeholder for any $\nabla_{b}$-image on the right-hand side of (B.1). As long as $\bar{\varphi}$ is a product of $\overline{\Omega\left(z_{i}-z_{j}, \eta, \tau\right)}$, the derivatives in the last term of (B.4) are easily evaluated via (2.5) or (2.48). If $\bar{\varphi}$ comprises individual Kronecker-Eisenstein coefficients, one can furthermore make use of $\partial_{i} f_{i j}^{(w)}=-\frac{\pi}{\operatorname{Im} \tau} \overline{f_{i j}^{(w-1)}}$ with $w \geq 1$, see (2.5).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See [28] for an alternative method to obtain the $\alpha^{\prime}$-expansion of open-string integrals at genus one from elliptic associators and [29] for its reformulation in terms of generating series.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Delta-function contributions to antiholomorphic derivatives (2.5) and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} g^{(w)}(z, \tau)=\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} F(z, \eta, \tau)=0$ are not tracked in this work.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ For massless two- and three-point amplitudes, momentum conservation together with the on-shell conditions $k_{j}^{2}=0$ would enforce all the $s_{i j}$ to vanish. Starting from [56], it proved convenient to relax momentum conservation and on-shell conditions in intermediate steps of studying string amplitudes.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The analogous decompositions of $n$-point one-loop integrands in orbifold compactifications with reduced supersymmetry to combinations of $f^{(w)}$ are discussed in [57] building upon earlier results in [58, 59]. For bosonic strings, the $K_{n}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $K_{n}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ at genus one straightforwardly boil down to derivatives $f_{i j}^{(1)}$ and $\partial_{i} f_{i j}^{(1)}$ of the bosonic Green function.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ The non-holomorphic exponential in (2.3) drops out from the cyclic products of $\Omega$ in (4.47).

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ The difference in (4.57) can be understood from the fact that $\frac{\eta_{3}}{\eta_{2}+\eta_{3}}\left\|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}}=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\eta_{2}}{\eta_{3}}\right)^{r}\right\|_{\eta_{2}^{0}, \eta_{3}^{0}}=1$ whereas $\frac{\eta_{3}}{\eta_{2}+\eta_{3}}\left\|\left\|_{\eta_{3}^{0}, \eta_{2}^{0}}=-\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\eta_{3}}{\eta_{2}}\right)^{r}\right\|_{\eta_{3}^{0}, \eta_{2}^{0}}=0\right.$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ This can be understood by separating $\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{I}+\xi\right)$ into a non-singular part $\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{I}+\xi\right)-\frac{1}{\eta_{I}+\xi}$ in $\eta_{I}+\xi$ and a singular one $\frac{1}{\eta_{I}+\xi}$. The non-singular part $\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{I}+\xi\right)-\frac{1}{\eta_{I}+\xi}$ admits a Taylor-expansion in $\xi$ whose zeroth-order coefficient is readily identified as $\hat{g}^{(1)}\left(\eta_{I}\right)-\frac{1}{\eta_{I}}$. The non-singular part is considered at $\left|\eta_{I}\right|<|\xi|$ to obtain the geometric-series expansion $\frac{1}{\eta_{I}+\xi}=\frac{1}{\xi} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\eta_{I}}{\xi}\right)^{r}$ and read off a vanishing coefficient of $\xi^{0}$.
    ${ }^{8}$ This is a simple consequence of the fact that the zeroth order in $\eta_{i}$ of some Laurent series $\partial_{\eta_{i}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1 \ldots}\left(\eta_{i}\right)$ is only sensitive to the linear order of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{1} \ldots\left(\eta_{i}\right)$ in $\eta_{i}$ where $\partial_{\eta_{i}}$ acts by multiplication with $\left(\eta_{i}\right)^{-1}$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ OS is grateful to Filippo Balli for discussions and collaboration on related topics that led to the understanding of boundary terms as presented in section 5.1.2.

[^8]:    ${ }^{10}$ See [65] for a construction of multiparticle invariants under B-cycle monodromies $z_{i} \rightarrow z_{i}+\tau$ together with $\ell \rightarrow \ell-2 \pi i k_{i}$ and [69] for different representations of $\mathcal{K}_{n}(\ell)$ at $n \leq 7$ points in pure-spinor superspace.
    ${ }^{11}$ Whenever the two loop momenta $\ell^{\mu} \ell^{\lambda}$ in the second line of (5.5) arise from the same chiral amplitude (i.e. both from the left- or right-movers), the factors of $\frac{\pi}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}$ can be absorbed into the conversion of $\partial_{i} g_{i j}^{(w)}$ into $\partial_{i} f_{i j}^{(w)}$ such as $\partial_{i} g_{i j}^{(1)}+\frac{\pi}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}=\partial_{i} f_{i j}^{(1)}$. That is why factors of $\frac{\pi}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}$ are absent in the schematic form of open-string integrands $K_{n}^{\text {op }}$ in the first line of (2.29).

